```
Page 1
                     ORIGINAL
 1
 2
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
        FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
 3
                  NASHVILLE DIVISION
 4
     AMY ELIZABETH CONNOR BOWEN,
                                       )
 5
                  Plaintiff,
                                       ) Case No.
                                       ) 3:13-0414
 6
                                       ) Magistrate
     v.
 7
                                       ) Judge Bryant
     BRAD DOUGLAS PAISLEY, et al.,
 8
                  Defendants,
 9
     and
10
     JOHN KELLEY LOVELACE and
11
     CHARLES CHRISTOPHER DUBOIS,
12
                  Counterclaimants,
13
    v.
14
    AMY ELIZABETH CONNOR BOWEN,
15
                  Counter-defendant. )
16
17
18
19
20
             DEPOSITION OF JUDITH FINELL
21
                  October 29, 2015
22
23
24
25
```

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
	Robins Kaplan, LLP
10	601 Lexington Avenue
	New York, New York
11	
	October 29, 2015
12	10:05 a.m.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	DEPOSITION of JUDITH FINELL, an expert
18	witness in the above-entitled action,
19	held at the above time and place, taken
20	before Dawn Matera, a Shorthand Reporter
21	and Notary Public of the State of New
22	York pursuant to the Federal Rules of
23	Civil Procedure.
24	
25	* * *

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	
Τ	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDITH FINELL

- of expressing a musical phrase or musical work, if it's longer than a phrase.
 - Q. From a musicological perspective, how do you determine whether something is distinctive or not?

MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

A. Again, it comes down to the specific work. So it's very hard for me to answer that kind of general question without looking at a piece of music and showing examples as to why I believe that.

But with my background of many, many decades of studying music, I've learned what are unusual, if you will, sort of solutions to a musical beginning point.

In other words, a kind of musical journey that occurs when one note follows another. And there are all kinds of choices of rhythms, and pitches, and length and other musical elements that all combine together to form the final musical statement. And it's the way

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	those are done. And sometimes they are
3	done in an unusual way that's not the
4	norm. And if it's more unusual, it's
5	there is a spectrum. Some things are
6	more original, or more unusual or more
7	distinctive than others.
8	Q. So let me see if I can collapse
9	what you've said.
10	As a musicologist, in order to
11	determine whether something is
12	distinctive or original, you would
13	require a musical work to form that
14	opinion, correct?
15	A. Definitely.
16	Q. From a musicological
17	perspective, what does copyrightable
18	mean?
19	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
20	A. Well, I am not an attorney. I
21	don't know that I would be the
22	appropriate person to answer that
23	question.
24	Q. I understand. But I will ask
25	you, do you have any definition for what

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	is copyrightable or what is not
3	copyrightable?
4	A. Well, if it conforms to the
5	copyright laws in whatever country the
6	copyright laws are being applied, because
7	it changes from country to country.
8	Q. Let's focus on the United
9	States, please, because that's what this
10	case is about.
11	What's your definition as a
12	musicologist of what is copyrightable?
13	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
14	Q. If you have no definition,
15	that's an answer. But I would like to
16	have your answer?
17	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
18	A. This is just what I've learned
19	by being in the field. It is not
20	something that is normally in the
21	musicologist's training in that it's a
22	legal concept.
23	As I understand it applying in
24	the field, since I've been in the field
25	applying it, I would say that there are a

1	JUDITH	FINELI
---	--------	--------

- 2 lot of criteria. The year it was
- 3 written, whether or not -- there is a
- 4 certain fairly low bar in terms of
- 5 originality, but there are certain
- 6 elements in terms of are there any other
- 7 third--- other works that it is either
- 8 built upon or arranging instead of
- 9 originating with itself.
- 10 There are a lot of different
- 11 factors that go into the criteria to
- 12 determine if something is copyright
- 13 protected or not.
- But again, I would think that's
- 15 really outside the boundaries of my
- 16 knowledge. I am not a lawyer.
- Q. So is it fair to say that as a
- 18 musicologist, you do not form an opinion
- 19 about whether a work is copyrightable or
- 20 not?
- A. I've never been asked to, no.
- Q. You've not been asked to do
- 23 that in this case?
- A. No, I have not.
- 25 Q. You have not been asked to do

JUDITH FINELL

that in any case in your career?

A. That's fair.

- Q. So is it fair to say, as a musicologist, you do not form an opinion about whether a work or element of a work are copyrightable?
- A. You're the first attorney that actually ever asked me that in over 20 years of doing this. But I could say and qualify it a little bit and say we're often asked in my firm to comment on whether one piece of music could be seen as infringing the copyright of another because of substantial similarity considerations.

But if a client were to say is it copyrightable, I would give them the telephone number of the next copyright attorney I can think of, you know. I would say that that's beyond my knowledge.

Q. So beyond your knowledge. It's not in your charge as a musicologist to make a determination about whether an

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	element of a work or an entire work is
3	copyrightable, correct?
4	A. No, I have never been asked to
5	do that.
6	Q. You haven't done so in this
7	case?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Do you have any idea what
10	musicological analysis would be required
11	to determine if a work or elements in a
12	work were copyrightable?
13	A. Could you repeat the question,
14	please?
15	Q. Do you have any idea as a
16	musicologist what elements would be
17	required, what analysis would be required
18	to determine if elements in a work were
19	copyrightable?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
21	A. I don't know what the examiners
22	in the U.S. Copyright Office do to
23	determine granting copyright protection
2 4	of one work over another. But I think it

involves, you know, proper filing, and

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	particular dates and certain elements
3	that are protected and certain ones that
4	are not. And whether or not it's a
5	derivative work or it's been licensed.
6	But those are involved with the
7	copyright regulations. And that is
8	really beyond my knowledge. I am asked
9	whether or not one piece of music
10	resembles another, or infringes another
11	or appears to be original. But it's
12	never gone so far as to ask me to draw a
13	legal conclusion.
14	Q. You just said that you were
15	asked to determine if one work infringes
16	another.
17	What's your definition of
18	infringement?
19	A. Copying.
20	Q. Copying?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Any other definition of
23	infringement from a musicological
2 4	perspective?
25	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

	Page 14
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Well, that's on a spectrum,
3	again. Sometimes things aren't exact
4	copies, but they seem to mimic or imitate
5	something else, but it's not 100 percent
6	the same. So there is judgment that's
7	required.
8	But on some level, I would, if
9	I felt something infringed something
10	else, there would be an element of
11	copying or imitation.
12	Q. So it's based on your feeling,
13	correct?
14	A. Not my feeling. My training.
15	Q. You just said if you felt
16	something was copied?
17	A. Well, by felt, I meant
18	believed.
19	Q. Okay. So based on your belief?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Based on your belief? You have
22	been deposed many times, correct?
23	A. Yes.

So in this deposition, I'll ask

Q.

24

25

you to answer my questions. If you don't

1	JUDITH	FINELI

understand something, please ask me to repeat or recharacterize, otherwise I will assume that you have understood my question and answered it as fully and completely as best you can.

Is that all right?

A. Yes.

Q. So we have the definitional terms.

In your report that you've submitted in connection with this case you used the terms the Connor work and the Paisley work. So just for ease of today's discussion, let's use the term, as best we can, "Connor work" as being the submission that Ms. Connor made to the copyright office in 2008.

Is that all right?

- A. Okay.
- Q. And it is not the demo that is also referred to in your report. You understand that we're not going to be referring to the Connor work as the demo today, correct?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. I understand.
3	Q. You understand through counsel
4	for the Plaintiff that the demo work is
5	not in this lawsuit, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You've been informed of that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And the "Paisley work," let's
10	describe the Paisley song as the song
11	that has coauthors Paisley, DuBois and
12	Lovelace; is that okay? So we use those
13	two terms for "Connor work" and "Paisley
14	work"?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. We asked Ms. Connor, at her
17	deposition at Page 305, what of her work
18	was copyrightable. And she didn't know.
19	You don't know what of
20	Ms. Connor's work is copyrightable, if
21	anything, do you?
22	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
23	A. I don't understand your
24	question.
25	Q. From a musicological

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	perspective, do you know or have you
3	conducted any analysis to determine
4	whether any portion of Ms. Connor's work
5	is copyrightable?
6	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
7	A. I was never asked to conduct
8	that kind of evaluation.
9	Q. So you have not conducted any
LO	analysis to determine whether any element
L 1	of Ms. Connor's work is copyrightable,
L 2	correct?
L 3	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
L 4	A. I would have to explain. I was
L 5	given a work that had already been filed
L 6	for copyright protection as both the
L 7	underlying work and, as I understood it,
L 8	the recording, which are two separate
L 9	copyrights.
20	I am not quite sure I don't
21	understand your question.
22	Q. Let me ask you this way. I
23	think you do.
24	Ms. Finell, you just said you

were not asked to determine whether any

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	portion of Ms. Connor's work was
3	copyrightable, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. You have not conducted any
6	analysis to determine whether any portion
7	of Ms. Connor's work was copyrightable
8	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
9	Q correct?
10	A. I have analyzed the music, but
11	it's not with the objective of
12	determining if it's copyrightable. I was
13	never asked to have that as a criteria.
14	Q. I am not trying to make this
15	difficult.
16	Is it fair to say that you have
17	not conducted an analysis to determine
18	whether any portion of Ms. Connor's work
19	is copyrightable?
20	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
21	Q. I have not is a fair answer.
22	But I am trying to get you to answer the
23	question where I think the answer is
24	obvious.
25	A. Well, Mr. Harvey, if you

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	wouldn't mind just rephrasing. I don't
3	understand what you're asking.
4	Q. Have you conducted any
5	musicological analysis to determine
6	whether any portion of Ms. Connor's work
7	is copyrightable?

MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

- A. That wouldn't be within the purview of a musicologist. So I guess the answer is no.
- Q. Thank you. With the understanding that I'm using the term original, you understand that in order for a work to be copyrightable, there has to be originality to it; is that correct?

 A. I understand it as a nonlawyer,

18 yes.

Q. Okay. So with the understanding that I am using the term original as you understand it as a copyrightable -- excuse me, as you understand it as a musicologist, did you perform any analysis to determine whether any portion of the Connor work is

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	original?
3	A. I don't understand your
4	question.
5	Q. Were you asked by counsel for
6	the Plaintiff to determine what elements
7	of the Connor work were original?
8	A. No, I was never asked that.
9	Q. So with the understanding that
10	I am using the term original as you'd use
11	it as a musicologist, did you perform any
12	analysis to determine whether any element
13	of the Connor work is original?
14	A. I'm sorry, I thought I just
15	answered that question a moment ago.
16	Q. So is the answer to my question
17	no?
18	A. No.
19	Q. The answer to my question is
20	no, correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. Thank you. Did you conduct any
23	analysis of the Connor song to determine
24	what was not original?
25	A. No.

	rage 21
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Did you perform any analysis to
3	filter out any nonoriginal elements of
4	the Connor song?
5	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
6	A. Well, that's not a yes or no
7	answer. I would have to explain my
8	process, I guess.
9	Q. I will ask you your process.
10	But I think you already told us that you
11	conducted no analysis to determine what
12	was original in her song. You have
13	conducted no analysis to determine what
14	was not original in her song, correct?
15	A. Well, I need to I need to
16	explain something in order to answer your
17	question.
18	Q. I'll ask you about your process
19	in a moment.
2 0	My question on the table is,
21	did you perform any analysis as a
22	musicologist to filter out nonoriginal
23	elements of the Connor song?

Same objection.

Α.

24

25

I think that --

MR. SANDERS:

_	
7	
_	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDITH FINELL

- A. I think the word that you're
 using is inaccurate in the description.
 I did filter out what I felt was
 distinctive and recognizable in her song.
 That was really the element that I
 focused on in my analysis and assessment
 of her song compared to the other song.
 - Q. So are you claiming that you did do a filtering process?
 - A. It's part of my process to determine what's distinctive, what's unusual and if it sounds like another work when I am asked to compare musical works.
 - Q. And what of her song did you filter out that was not distinctive?

 MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
 - A. It's not -- that's not -- I
 focused on what was distinctive. I can't
 give you a list of what wasn't
 distinctive. I focused on the, what I
 saw as the key characteristics of her
 song. And I don't mean key in a musical
 sense. But meaning the main

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	characteristics of her song.
3	Q. So taking that answer,
4	Ms. Finell, is it correct that you did
5	not conduct a filtering analysis to take
6	out nonoriginal elements of the Connor
7	song?
8	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
9	A. I really have never been asked
10	that question before. So in all the
11	years that I've had assignments from
12	attorneys, nobody's ever actually asked
13	me about filtering.
L 4	Q. So you did not do so in this
L 5	case, correct?
L 6	A. It is my process to determine
L 7	what distinctive characteristics are the
L 8	signature or profile of the song and what
L 9	distinguishes it.
2 0	Q. List the elements in the Connor
21	song that you removed or filtered as
22	being nonoriginal.
23	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
24	A. I didn't do any removal. I
2.5	looked at it in a positive way, not in a

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	separation way, meaning I looked at what
3	was distinctive and that's what I
4	analyzed.
5	Q. Did you make the assumption
6	that the Connor work, the entire work is
7	original or copyrightable?
8	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
9	A. I am not an attorney, so I
10	don't determine if something is
11	copyrightable or not.
12	Q. Did you make the assumption
L 3	that the entire work of Connor is
14	original?
L 5	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
L 6	A. As I say, I wasn't focused on
L 7	originality. I was focused on
L 8	distinctiveness, recognizability, the
L 9	character of the song, and the features
2 0	that combine to create that profile for
21	the song, if you will.
22	Q. Ms. Finell, are you claiming
2 3	that the words "remind me," that is the
2 4	title of the Connor work, are original?
≥5	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

	rage 25
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. I certainly didn't claim that
3	in my report. It's not the words alone.
4	It's the way various elements combined in
5	distinctive ways that I focused on, not
6	just one element like that in isolation.
7	Q. Okay. And if you will, if you
8	will answer my question, please, so am I
9	correct that you are not claiming that
10	the words "remind me" are original?
11	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
12	A. I made no claims, no value
13	judgments on the originality level of any
14	of the material in either song that I
15	compared.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. That word doesn't, I believe,
18	occur anywhere in my report.
19	Q. Your report states what it
20	states.
21	Is it your view that the words
22	"remind me" are original in the Connor
23	work?
24	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

They're as original as I love

25

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	you. But what matters is how you set it
3	to music, what notes you use, what
4	rhythms, how you support it, how you
5	develop it. That's what matters in
6	analyzing and assessing a piece of music,
7	not an isolated element like that.
8	I am sure you would find 5000
9	songs with the words I love you. But how
10	they distinguish one another is how those
11	words are set and how they are
12	rhythmically organized, et cetera.
13	Q. So your focus has been on the
1 4	combination of music with the words
15	"remind me," correct?
16	A. Absolutely.
17	Q. Okay. And would you agree with
18	me that Connor does not own a copyright
19	on the title of her song "Remind Me"?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
21	A. Again, I am not an attorney.
2 2	And I have not been exposed to her
2 3	copyright documents with the U.S.

25

understanding as someone in the music

Copyright Office, but it is my

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	industry that titles alone are not
3	copyright protected, but lyrics are.
4	Q. So if it's your understanding
5	that the title is not copyrightable, as a
6	musicologist, is it your contention that
7	the lyrics "remind me," those two words,
8	are copyrightable or original to Connor?
9	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
10	A. Again, I am not I never
11	focused on whether or not the lyrics
12	alone were, first of all, copyrightable,
13	because I am not an attorney. And that
14	isn't was never defined as my
15	assignment.
16	Q. Okay. So it is not your
17	position as a musicologist that Connor
18	has some exclusive right to use the words
19	"remind me" in the lyrics of her song,
20	correct?
21	A. I don't
22	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
23	A. I don't understand your
24	question, Mr. Harvey.

It is not your position as a

Q.

25

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	musicologist that Ms. Connor has the
3	exclusive right to use the words "remind
4	me" in a song, correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Could you read it
6	back, please.
7	(The reporter read back as
8	follows:
9	"QUESTION: It is not your
10	position as a musicologist that
11	Ms. Connor has the exclusive right to
12	use the words "remind me" in a song,
13	correct?")
14	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
15	A. Are you saying my view or my
16	understanding?
17	Q. If you draw a distinction
18	between those two, please tell us what it
19	is.
20	A. Well, it is my understanding
21	that words can be used in various ways.
2 2	But that lyrics within the context of a
23	song do have copyright protection even if
2 4	they are similar to other works of art

because, again, it's the combination.

4	
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	It's not just how the words are used.
3	But what notes they are sung with and
4	other elements of musical expression.
5	Q. Is it your view as a
6	musicologist that Ms. Connor has an
7	exclusive right to use the words "remind
8	me" as lyrics in a song?
9	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
10	A. I don't that's a legal
11	conclusion, I think. I don't believe
12	that I have the right degree to give you
13	that kind of legal opinion.
14	Q. What, if anything, did you do
15	to search for other works that are titled
16	Remind Me or have the words "remind me"
17	in the title?
18	A. Well, it wouldn't be the title.
19	It would be the hook of the song.
20	Q. Ms. Finell, what, if anything,
21	did you do to search for other musical
22	works that are titled "Remind Me" or have
23	the words "remind me" in the title?
24	A. I did nothing, because I see it
25	as a combination of a number of elements

1	
_	

JUDITH FINELL

- including the use of the words "remind me," including the way the notes set those words, the structure of where the words appear and many other musical elements.
 - Q. So you did nothing to determine whether other works have the title "Remind Me," correct?
 - A. I didn't see that as relevant here.
 - Q. Do you know how to do so?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what would you do in order to determine whether other works have the title "Remind Me" or the words "remind me" in the title?
 - A. Well, I have a big database of other music that is used when I am doing a prior art search, but it's also very easy for a layperson to simply, you know, Google those lyrics. And you would find other songs that possibly are similar. They might say remember me, or I recall, or other words that refer to recollection

	rage 31
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	and remind conceptually.
3	Q. So other words would bring up
4	the same concept of recollection,
5	correct?
6	A. Possibly. But that's like
7	saying there are 500 Christmas carols
8	that all talk about Christmas. It's
9	really how they use the word Christmas or
10	Merry Christmas. Musically, that
L1	distinguishes one from the other.
L 2	Q. If you were looking for other
L 3	songs titled "Remind Me" or had the words
L 4	"remind me" in the title, you would
L 5	search your database that you have
L 6	yourself, correct?
L 7	A. Yes.
L 8	Q. And you've done that in other
L 9	engagements in the past, correct?
2 0	A. Sometimes.
21	Q. You did not do so in this case?
22	A. No, because the combination
) Q	would have been unlikely to have been

elements.

24

25

found. The combination of all the

	rage 32
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. You could also look at the
3	records, the online records of the United
4	States Copyright Office, could you not,
5	search by title?
6	A. You could.
7	Q. Have you done that in other
8	engagements?
9	A. The title isn't usually what I
10	am looking for. It is usually something
11	beyond the title. But I could, yeah.
12	Q. In this case, you have stated
13	that you think the hook is the words
14	"remind me." Correct?
15	A. Yes.
L 6	Q. So do you look for the hook in
L 7	your database in other engagements?
L 8	A. Sometimes.
L 9	Q. You did not do so in this case?
2 0	A. No, because all of the other
21	idiosyncrasies that set the hook in each
22	song that we've talked about in my report
23	I would be extremely unlikely to find

So you searched for -- your

Q.

24

25

those combined in the same way.

		JUDITH	FINEL
--	--	--------	-------

- 2 focus in your report is on that one
 3 discrete phrase "remind me," correct?
 - A. Well, that's the hook of the song, so that's what I focused on, yes.
 - Q. So the answer to my question is yes?
 - A. Well, it's not quite as narrow as you stated the question. But that's primarily what I focused on, yes.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do to search for other copyright works that have the words "remind me" in the lyrics?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. And do you know how to do so?
- 16 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

- Q. And how would you find other works with the lyrics "remind me"?
- A. Just as I said, I have a big database of titles, lyrics, melodic
- Q. And you did not do so in this case?

structures, and I would search them.

- 24 A. No.
- Q. You have done so in other cases

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	in which you have been engaged?
3	A. Oh, yes.
4	Q. And that wasn't part of your
5	charge in this case?
6	A. My charge?
7	Q. That wasn't part of the request
8	made to you?
9	A. I didn't it wasn't part of
10	the request, that's correct, but also, I
11	didn't think it was appropriate because
12	of the other elements that were part of
13	the song that resembled the Paisley song.
14	Q. Why didn't you look for other
1 5	songs that contained the words "remind
1 6	me" in the lyrics?
17	MR. SANDERS: Asked and
18	answered.
19	Q. No. Try again.
2 0	A. Yeah, I do believe that I just
21	answered you in my prior response.
22	Q. Ms. Finell, in this case, you
2 3	conducted no search for other songs that
24	contained the words "remind me" in the
1	

title, correct?

25

-	TT	-	-	т.	U	T	T	ħТ	T	т	Т.
	11	11		.1.	н .	M.		N	м.		

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And you conducted no search for other songs that have the words "remind me" in the lyrics, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do to search for any similar music as in the Connor work?
- 10 A. I don't understand your 11 question.
- Q. Did you conduct any
 musicological analysis to see if there
 was music that was similar to the music
 contained in the Connor work?
- 16 A. I don't know what you mean by music.
- Q. Would I be correct in stating that -- strike that.
- Ms. Finell, you do not know
 what I mean by the word music?
- A. I know what the word music means, Mr. Harvey. But I don't understand it in the context of your

question.

25

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. All right. There is music in
3	Ms. Connor's song, correct?
4	A. A song is music.
5	Q. There is lyrics in her song and
6	there is music in her song, correct?
7	A. Lyrics are part of the music.
8	Q. Okay. So then, let's say that
9	there is an instrumental portion and a
10	vocal portion in her song, correct?
11	A. Yes. In the Paisley song or
12	the Connor song?
13	Q. In the Connor song.
L 4	A. Yes.
L 5	Q. Let me put it this way:
L 6	Ms. Connor's song has a melody, correct?
L 7	A. Are you talking about the vocal
L 8	melody or the melody that the instrument
L 9	plays?
20	Q. I am talking about both.
21	A. Well, a series of pitches and
22	rhythms are defined as a melody, yes.
23	Q. Did you do any analysis to look
24	for a similar melody to Ms. Connor's song

in any other work other than the Paisley

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	song?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Can you name any other song
5	written or cowritten by Chris DuBois?
6	A. No, I cannot.
7	Q. Can you name any other song
8	written or cowritten by Kelly Lovelace?
9	A. Not offhand. I would probably
10	recognize the titles, but I can't list
11	them now.
12	Q. Can you list any other songs
13	written or cowritten by Brad Paisley?
14	A. No.
15	Q. You met or talked to the
16	Plaintiff's counsel prior to this
17	deposition to prepare, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. How many times?
20	A. One.
21	Q. In person or by telephone?
22	A. Well, by telephone, and then
23	today before we came here for a few
2 4	minutes.
25	Q. Ms. Finell, you are appearing

1	
_	

JUDITH FINELL

here today pursuant to subpoena, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Thank you for accepting service of that. And thanks to counsel on the telephone for arranging that.

MR. HARVEY: We had been provided a copy of a report. I will hand a copy to the court reporter and ask her to mark that as Exhibit 1.

[The Ms. Finell's expert report, was hereby marked as Defendants' Exhibit 1 for identification, as of this date.]

Q. Ms. Finell, this is what we received by e-mail from counsel who's participating by telephone. It's got 38 numbered pages, and then a verification at the very end.

I will ask you, please, just to confirm that that's the complete report that you prepared at the request of Plaintiff's counsel and has been made available to us?

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	(Witness reviews document.)
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. And we may be referring to that
5	on and off during the day.
6	In advance of the deposition,
7	Ms. Gonser had also asked Ms. Godwin if
8	you had any other materials to provide
9	upon which you relied in preparing for
10	the deposition or upon which you relied
11	in preparing for this report.
12	We received last Friday night
13	some sheet music for the Paisley "Remind
14	Me." And I will hand a copy of that to
15	the court reporter and ask her to mark
16	that as number 2.
17	[The sheet music for the
18	Paisley "Remind Me," was hereby
19	marked as Defendants' Exhibit 2 for
20	identification, as of this date.]
21	Q. And will you identify what that
22	is, please?
23	(Witness reviews document.)
2 4	A. This is the commercially
25	available sheet music of the Paisley song

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	with some of my analytical markings. I
3	compared it to the recording, briefly,
4	just for reference.
5	Q. So these are your handwritten
6	notes on the sheet music?
7	A. Partly mine and partly my
8	colleague Marianne Csizmadia, whose name
9	is in the lower right-hand corner.
10	Q. And can you tell us whose
11	printed words are at the top of the first
12	page of that?
13	A. I don't know where you are.
14	Q. Right underneath, somebody has
15	written at the top "Song B. Note: Sheet
16	music corresponds well to recording,
17	although there are some minor
18	discrepancies, not" necessarily,
19	abbreviated Nec, "noted here."
20	Is that your handwriting or
21	hers?
22	A. I think that's mine. We work
23	together often on the same cases. So I

The dates at the top of the

Q.

think that's mine.

24

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	page has 2/7/13 and 8/30/15.
3	Whose handwriting is that?
4	A. I think the dates are
5	Marianne's. There are two different
6	times that we worked on reports. Not the
7	exact dates, but that time period.
8	Q. What do those dates reflect?
9	A. I think the first report was in
10	February of 2013. So I just, we always
11	date when we look at music.
12	And then the second one had to
13	do with the fuller report. It was just
14	orienting.
15	Q. The complaint in this case was
16	originally filed after February of 2013.
17	So is it correct that you were
18	working on your analysis prior to the
19	filing of the lawsuit?
20	A. I would have to check my first
21	report. I don't remember the date of the
22	first report. It was 2013, though.
23	Well, it was dated March 28th,
2 4	2013. So I guess I was hired before

I mean, I usually am.

	rage 42
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. You had brought a notebook with
3	you to the deposition. Can you identify
4	what that is?
5	A. Yes. It is a copy of each of
6	my reports, the two, the preliminary and
7	the full, the sheet music that looks just
8	like this with my markings and the
9	recording I was given by the Connor firm.
10	That is the music that's at issue in this
11	case.
12	Q. And these are these
13	documents that you have maintained, you
14	reviewed those in preparation for your
15	deposition, did you not?
16	A. Yes. And those were the basis
17	of my report, too.
18	Q. Okay. And can you identify for
19	us what you have in the notebook?
2 0	A. Okay. The first is March 28th,
21	2013 preliminary report. The.
22	Second one is September 12th,
2 3	2015 full report.

The third one is the sheet

24

25

music for Remind Me by Paisley published

of the deposition, on a break.

MR. SANDERS: Preliminary report

was not produced?

MR. HARVEY: Never produced.

MR. WARNOCK: Want to go off the

record and go ahead and take it up

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 44	
1	JUDITH FINELL	
2	now?	
3	MR. HARVEY: Sure, let's go off	
4	a second.	
5	(Off the record.)	
6	Q. Ms. Finell, we are back after	
7	the break.	
8	MR. HARVEY: I will ask the	
9	court reporter to mark as Exhibits 3	
LO	and 4, respectively, the preliminary	
l 1	report and then the notations that you	
L 2	have on Remind Me - Connor Copyright	
L 3	that are dated September 12th, 2015.	
L 4	[The preliminary report, dated	
L 5	March 28th, 2013, was hereby marked	
L 6	as Defendants' Exhibit 3 for	
L 7	identification, as of this date.]	
8 .	[The notations on Remind Me -	
L 9	Connor Copyright, dated September	
2 0	12th, 2015, was hereby marked as	
21	Defendants' Exhibit 4 for	
22	identification, as of this date.]	
2 3	Q. Ms. Finell, you read your	
4	report, your full report to prepare for	
2 5	your deposition, correct?	

	Page 45
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. You're familiar with the
4	contents?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And is your report accurate?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you chose your words
9	carefully?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. You meant what you said?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. You were first contacted about
1 4	this case when?
1 5	A. I don't remember the exact
16	date, but it was in 2013, I believe.
1 7	Q. Who retained you?
18	A. Mr. Ken Connor.
19	Q. And did you provide counsel for
2 0	the Plaintiff the preliminary report that
2 1	is dated March 28th, 2013?
2 2	A. Yes, to Mr. Connor.
2 3	Q. What facts or data were you
2 4	given about the case or about the dispute
2 5	before giving counsel your preliminary

	-
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	report?
3	A. It's been a long time since
4	then, but I will do my best to recall.
5	Basically, Mr. Connor described
6	a song by Lizza Connor entitled "Remind
7	Me" that I believe the songwriter, Lizza
8	Connor, felt was similar to the Paisley
9	song, and asked me to prepare an initial
10	evaluation comparing the two works.
11	Q. Were you ever asked to conduct
12	a prior art search?
13	A. No.
14	Q. And you have not done so?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Do you intend to do so?
17	A. If I am engaged to do so, I
18	would be happy to do so.
19	Q. And who on the Plaintiff's
2 0	side, who have your communications been
21	with? Mr. Ken Connor is one, correct?
22	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. Have you had communication with
2 4	other employees or counsel on the

Plaintiff's side?

	Page 47
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Yes. Caleb Connor and Camille.
3	I am sorry
4	Q. Godwin?
5	A. Thank you.
6	Q. Yes, ma'am.
7	A. And recently with Mr. Sanders.
8	Those are the people.
9	Q. Have you ever spoken with the
10	Plaintiff?
11	A. Oh, the songwriter. I think I
12	did speak with her once.
13	Q. Tell me about that discussion.
1 4	A. It wasn't about actually this
15	song at all.
1 6	Q. Was it in connection with this
17	lawsuit?
18	A. No.
19	Q. So the Plaintiff gave you no
2 0	facts or data about this matter that you
2 1	relied upon for your report?
2 2	A. Not at all, no. I spoke to her
2 3	many, many months or weeks, at least,
2 4	after I had been engaged already to write
2 5	the report. It wasn't about these songs.

1	JUDITH	FINELL
---	--------	--------

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how many -- were you familiar with the Connor work before you were contacted?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were you familiar with the Paisley Remind Me before you were contacted?
 - A. No, I was not.
- Q. How many times did you speak with Plaintiff's counsel before you rendered your preliminary report?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. More than five, more than 10?
- A. Well, my process is to conduct a preliminary analysis and assessment of the case and deliver an oral report, either in person or by phone. And then if I am asked to, I do a written report after that.
- So I am guessing I had, at least, three or four conversations with Mr. Connor, the senior Mr. Connor, I mean, before turning in this report.
- Q. And since then, after turning

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	in the preliminary report, how many
3	different communications have you had
4	with Plaintiff's counsel?
5	A. Well, it's been two years in
6	the interim. And I don't know. I can't
7	answer how many conversations I had.
8	Q. More than five, more than 10?
9	A. I would be guessing. Probably
10	10 or less, unless I don't know if
11	e-mails are considered a conversation or
12	not.
13	Q. Have you ever read any notes
14	prepared by Ms. Connor?
15	A. You mean musical notes or
16	Q. Any notes of any kind?
17	A words?
18	Q. Any notes of any kind?
19	A. The only reading I've done by
20	Ms. Connor has to do with the lyrics that
21	I was given of her music of the song I
22	was evaluating.
23	Q. Did you ever were you ever
24	provided sheet music for the Connor work?
25	A. No, I was never.

.т	TT	n	Т	Т	H	F	Т	N	E	T.	Т.

- Q. Did you review the complaint or amended complaint in this case, at any time?
- 5 A. I don't think I've ever seen 6 that.
 - Q. Did you do anything to help prepare the complaint or amended complaint in this case?
 - A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Were you ever given a draft of the complaint or amended complaint prior to their filing?
 - A. I don't recall, but I doubt it.
 - Q. Other than what you received in preparing your preliminary report or final report, is there any other information that you would like to have been provided?
 - A. No. I always ask if there is anything like a lead sheet for the music on either side, and if there are earlier versions of the music, because I wanted to make sure that I'm studying what would be considered the most official musical

1

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		
7		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JUDITH FINELL

2 version of a particular song.

Often there are a lot of versions of songs that composers do before they finalize their songs. And I was provided with what the Connor firm felt answered my questions.

- Q. And what was that?
- A. I received electronically, and later as a follow-up, a CD from the Connor firm that contained the two versions of the Connor song, the one that was marked as a demo and then one that was called the copyright copy, I believe, they called it, and then the Paisley song which are on this CD. And lyric sheets for both songs. I think that's everything they gave me.
- Q. And the lyric sheet that's in your book with the lyrics on the Paisley song, is that something that you prepared or was it provided to you?
 - A. It was given to me.
- Q. Do you know who prepared it?
- 25 A. No. But I received it from the

JUDITH FINELL

2	C	o n	n c	r	£	i	rm	١.

- Q. Did you compare it to the sheet music or to the recording that you listened to?
- A. To the recording. There was no sheet music for the Connor song, either version of the Connor song. And I didn't really use the published sheet music for the basis of my report, but I used it as reference to kind of orient myself when I was first listening to the recording.

 It's a kind of road map of the song.
- Q. And what was the task assigned to you in preparing the preliminary or the full report?
- A. Well, those are different tasks. Should I take them one at a time?
- Q. What was the task assigned to you for the preliminary report?
- A. The purpose of that was to compare the two pieces of music for similarities and differences, and to assess whether or not there would be a reasonable case for substantial

1	JUDITH	CTNCTT
1		CINCLL

- 2 similarity; and if so, to determine what 3 elements would contribute to that.
- Q. What was your task assigned for the full report?
 - A. Well, the full report was meant as a much more in-depth analysis of each musical work, and more transcription of every iteration of the similar material and a deeper analysis, basically.
- 11 Q. Your report has fragments of
 12 transcriptions of the Connor work,
 13 correct?
 - A. Which report?
- Q. I am talking about your full report, which is Exhibit number 1.
- A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- 19 Q. Your report contains
 20 transcriptions in fragments of the Connor
 21 work, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that what appears on Exhibit A at Page 27?
- 25 A. Exhibit A is an index of every

6

7

8

9

10

1	JUDITH	FINELI
		F T 14 TO 311 T

- iteration of the hook lyrics "remind me" 2 in the Connor song. 3
- I understand that you have identified what you considered to be a 5

hook as the words "remind me"?

Α. Yes.

6

21

- So you had done a 8 Q. transcription. And this was done by you, 9 10 personally?
- 11 Well, in combination with my staff. 12
- 13 Who is your staff?
- 14 It's on my Website. You want Α. me to list each of them? 15
- 16 Q. No, ma'am.
- 17 Who assisted you in reviewing 18 the transcriptions on Exhibit A?
- There were two people. 19 main ones was Marianne Csizmadia is her 20

Do you want me to spell that?

- It's listed on the sheet of 22 Q.
- 23 music, thank you.

name.

24 And a new person whose last 25 name has just escaped me, I am

TIID.	ΤΨ	H 1	T	NF	! T.	T

- embarrassed to say, but Jennifer is her first name. There are two people who helped me sometimes with these kinds of
- Q. Is it correct to say that your full report does not contain a full transcription of the Connor work?
 - A. That's correct.

aspects of this.

- Q. And is it correct to say that your full report does not contain a full transcription of the Paisley work?
 - A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Your full report doesn't contain a full transcription of the chorus of either Connor or Paisley, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. Was the only transcription that
 was ever prepared of the words "remind
 me" and "Baby, remind me" in Connor and
- 23 A. I have to look through my 24 report to see if I did any other
- 25 transcriptions. If you could give me a

Paisley?

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

JUDITH FINELL

2 moment.

- (Witness reviews document.)
- Q. While you're looking, you don't
- 5 know, having looked at the report to
- 6 prepare for your deposition, whether you
- 7 transcribed anything other than "remind
- 8 me" and "Baby, remind me"?
- 9 A. I don't like to guess when I'm
- 10 answering questions. If I could spend a
- 11 moment, please.
- 12 Q. Please do.
- A. And we are only talking about
- 14 the full report, right?
- Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 A. No, that's all that this
- 17 included.
- 18 Q. Your full report contains all
- 19 the transcriptions that you made or your
- 20 staff made, correct?
- A. Yes, it does.
- 22 And by the way, they differ
- 23 from the sheet music of Paisley. I
- 24 corrected some of the sheet music that
- 25 was, some of the notes and rhythms, et

JUD	TIT	IJ	ET T	NI E	т	т
บบบ	T T	п	F T	NĿ	ш	L

- 2 cetera, were incorrect as compared to the 3 recording.
- **Q** . And did you prepare sheet music 5 for the Connor Remind Me?
 - No, I did not.
- But you did review the sheet music for the Paisley Remind Me that you 8 9 had downloaded, correct?
- That I downloaded? 10 Α.
- 11 Well, on what is Exhibit 2,
- 12 Remind Me, the sheet music for Paisley?
- 13 Α. Yes.

1

6

- 14 Q. And you downloaded that from 15 some source, correct?
- 16 Α. That's right.
- 17 0. Do you agree that a composition 18 may be represented in the form of notated 19 sheet music, as a musicologist?
- 20 Α. Yes, but it could be 21 representing a recording, or it could 22 represent an underlying work which may be 23 differentiated from a particular version 24 that be on the recording.
- 25 Q. Do you agree that a sound

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	recording results from a fixation of
3	musical spoken or other sounds?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
5	extent it's calling for a legal
6	conclusion.
7	A. I really don't understand your
8	question.
9	Q. How do you define a sound
10	recording?
11	A. In a musical in the musical
12	context or another
13	Q. As a musicologist, how do you
14	define a sound recording?
15	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
1 6	A. I am saying in musical context
17	or other kind of sound recordings that
18	are not musical?
19	Q. I am not talking about
2 0	theatrical. We are not talking about
2 1	motion pictures today. Talking about
2 2	music.
2 3	In a music context, as a
2 4	musicologist, how do you define a sound
2.5	recording?

JUDITH FINELL

- A. A sound recording is a fixation of a performance of a musical work, but it is only of that performance. It is not necessarily of the underlying core work.
 - Q. Did your work, in this case, mirror what you usually do in forming an opinion as a musicologist?
 - A. Definitely.
 - Q. As a musicologist and you analyzing two musical compositions in order to form an opinion about whether one copied the other, what are the fundamental elements to be examined?

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, please.

(The reporter read back as follows:

"QUESTION: As a musicologist and you analyzing two musical compositions in order to form an opinion about whether one copied the other, what are the fundamental elements to be examined?")

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

	JUDITH E	'I	N	E	L	L
--	----------	----	---	---	---	---

(Record read.)

- A. It depends on if I'm analyzing music that is in written form such as a lead sheet or a score, or if I'm analyzing a recording of a musical work, which is a different element in a musical work.
- Q. In this case, you were reviewing two recordings, correct?
- A. That's what I was hired to do, yes.
 - Q. So as a musicologist, when you're analyzing two musical compositions, two recordings of musical compositions in order to form an opinion about copying, what are the fundamental elements to be examined?
 - A. Again, if I am starting from the recording and not from the underlying music that led to the recording, then I would be analyzing the core musical elements to begin with to compare, which would be melodies, meaning pitches and rhythms, lyrics and how the lyrics are

٦		
_	ь	

JUDITH FINELL

- set to music, structure, harmony and many other elements. But those are some of the basic ones.
- Q. What are the many other
 6 elements to be examined?
 - A. Well, when you have a recording, you have other elements that would be considered beyond the underlying work, such as arrangement, what voicings have been used, is the music sung by a woman or a low male voice, are there instruments that are supporting the vocal lines, are there -- what kind of instruments are playing. All of that occurs on a recording or in a live performance, but isn't necessarily reflected on the underlying, what you would call, the deposit copy with the copyright office.
 - Q. Are those elements less significant in determining whether there has been copying from one musical composition of another?
 - A. I don't understand what you

ELL
Ε

mean by "those elements."

- Q. The ones you just referred to as the other elements, are those ones that, as a musicologist, you considered to be less significant in determining whether there has been copying between two songs?
- A. It's not a yes or no answer, because it depends on the reason for my assessment and my assessing that one recording copied another, whereas every element that one hears is at issue, or is it the underlying body of the music itself that has been accused of being copied.

It really depends entirely on the similarity and the position of the different people in conflict.

Q. When I asked you about fundamental elements, you mentioned melodies, lyrics, structure and harmony.

Are those the fundamental elements that you consider as a musicologist in determining whether there

JUDITH FINELL

2 has been copying?

- A. I have the same answer that I gave you a moment ago, Mr. Harvey, that if I am being asked to assess the underlying music, those would be the elements. But if I am being asked to compare the recorded version of the underlying music, then elements beyond that are significant, too.
- Q. And which were you asked to do in this case?
- A. It wasn't very specific. I was just given what existed on each song which was a recording. That was my starting point. And so that's what I compared.
- Q. As a musicologist in music copyright cases, do you have an understanding of the terms substantial similarity?
- A. I do, although it is, I think, considered a legal term, but, yes, I do understand how I use it.
- Q. How do you use it? What's your

1 JUDITH FINELL

. 1				_	_		_		_			
•	_	J.	_	_	-	-		-	• .		n '	7
		ш (☱.	_	ㅗ	11	ㅗ	L.,	_ (.	11	•

A. Well, again, there is a, sort of a spectrum. But in general, substantial similarity, to me, means whether or not two musical works contain both a quantity of similar material, and that the material is of importance within either or both of the songs so that it's both qualitatively and quantitatively similar.

And then there is how the degree of similarity will determine how very much or very little they are substantially similar.

MR. WARNOCK: May I ask you to read that last answer back, please.

(The reporter read back as

follows:

"ANSWER: Well, again, there is a, sort of a spectrum. But in general, substantial similarity, to me, means whether or not two musical works contain both a quantity of similar material, and that the

1	
т	

JUDITH FINELL

material is of importance within either or both of the songs so that it's both qualitatively and quantitatively similar.

And then there is how the degree of similarity will determine how very much or very little they are substantially similar.")

MR. WARNOCK: Thank you.

- Q. Are you using the term substantial similarity in a musicological or a legal sense?
- A. It's kind of the intersection of musicology and law, because in my training as a musicologist, substantial similarity and striking similarity and those kinds of legal terminology, and protectable and those kinds of words were not ever brought up. It was only brought up when I began to work in copyright infringement cases that this became an element of my work.
- Q. Is there a universally understood definition recognized in the

7	JUDITH	TOTAL	ו דידו
	UUDITA	LIN	

- 2 musicological literature for substantial 3 similarity?
 - A. I would say no.
- Q. You're not aware of any musicological literature that would define substantial similarity?
 - A. I've seen it used a great deal, often incorrectly. But it's not, it's not defined as other musical terminology would be, whether it's pitch, rhythm, duration, structure. Those are musicological terms.

This is -- what you're describing is more of a legal concept that's been, in essence, introduced into the field of musicology.

- Q. So you're basically using your own definition that you have derived after years of experience?
- A. Right. After working with attorneys who have defined it to me. And I've synthesized it to some extent.
- Q. So you're using your own definition, correct?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

_	•	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDITH FINELL

- A. I'm using the definition that I learned from the attorneys I've worked with.
 - Q. Have the attorneys in this case taught you a definition for substantial similarity?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And is the performance of the song part of the substantial similarity analysis?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. I don't understand your question.
 - Q. Well, tell me, what's your typical approach as you come across two recordings, as you did in this case, what's your typical approach in terms of defining substantial similarity? List the steps for me.
 - A. Oh, all right. Well, I listen to both songs, and I determine if there is material that sounds alike between them. And if so, I usually start to analyze it by transcribing those

1 JUDITH FINELL

particular passages, if there is, in fact, no underlying trustworthy written form of the music, which is common today. There is rarely written music that is trustworthy enough to use as the basis of my analysis.

And once that's transcribed, usually it is transposed into the same key for the purposes of comparing the various pitches so that they are, we're basically comparing the same context of the music. That's considered standard musicological practice for musical comparison.

And then I set about determining what, if anything, makes the compared material sound similar to one another. Is it pitches, is it rhythms, is it lyrics plus pitches and rhythms, is it an unusual feature, et cetera. There is a whole series of criteria that is applied to that.

And that begins sort of the journey of determining whether or not one

JUDITH	FINELI
UUDIIA	CTNCLI

- piece of music may be substantially
 similar to another one.
 - Q. It begins the journey?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And what series of criteria do you follow?
 - A. I just listed them.
 - Q. So that's the range of the criteria that you follow, correct?
- A. Well, that's the basis of it.
 - Q. As you use the term substantial similarity or substantially similar in your final report, have you provided the definition that you used for substantially similar?
 - A. In the report? No.
 - Q. You did not in the report.
 - On the record today, when I was asking about substantially similar, have you now provided the definition that you used for substantially similar as used in your report?
- A. It's as I applied it to my report. I mean, I didn't -- I just

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	explained the context of my understanding
3	of substantial similarity. But I didn't
4	I don't have a section in my report
5	that describes that definition.
6	Q. And so as used in your report,
7	what definition of substantially similar
8	or substantial similarity did you use?
9	A. The one I described to you a
10	few questions back.
11	Q. Thank you. That's my question.
12	You have stated on the record
13	the definition for that term as used in
L 4	your report, correct?
15	A. I would have to have my answer
16	read back to answer you.
17	Q. I think we'll move on.
18	Have you ever provided an
19	opinion that a certain percentage of a
2 0	Defendant's work is attributable to a
21	Plaintiff's work?
22	A. Sometimes.
23	Q. On how many occasions?
24	A. I don't know.
}	

You did so in this case?

Q.

1			
J	L		

JUDITH FINELL

- A. Not a percentage. I am thinking of cases that are digital sampling cases where the fixation of sound has been lifted and placed into a secondary recording.
 - Q. So in this case, you have not provided an opinion about a certain percentage of the Paisley work being attributable to the Connor work, correct?
 - A. I didn't say it was attributable. But I did say that similar material occupies nine iterations in the Connor work and 19 iterations in "Remind Me." And I did -- I am sorry, in Paisley. And I did define how much time that occupied. But I didn't use the word percentage. I didn't extend that into a mathematical formula.
 - Q. So from your count, there were nine iterations of the words "remind me" in the Connor work, correct?
 - A. There were nine iterations of the hook "remind me" in the Connor work, yes.

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

- Q. And you can continue using the word hook all day long if you choose to, but am I correct, nine iterations of the words "remind me" in Connor, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And 19 iterations, by your count, of the words "remind me" in Paisley?
- A. Yes. But they both also vary it with what I call the "partner phrase" preceding it with the word "Baby."
- Q. Yes, I understand you use the word partner phrase.
 - Are you aware of the word "partner phrase" being defined in any musicological literature?
- A. I don't know of any. I mean, I
 didn't use one to define it that way in
 this report.
 - Q. So the answer is you're not aware of musicological literature that uses the "phrase partner" phrase?
- A. I don't know if it uses the phrase. But the concept of one phrase

1	JUDITH	FINELI
-	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- being connected or musically related to 3 another is a very old concept.
- Okay. List any other cases in which you have used the term "partner 5 phrase." 6
 - I don't remember. Α.
 - Do you recall ever having used Q. the phrase "partner phrase" in another report?
- 11 Α. Yes.

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 You have no idea how many 0. 13 times?
- 14 No. Α.
 - Would you be able to determine Q. the cases and the number of times in which you have used the phrase "partner phrase" in other cases?
 - Α. No, I don't think my records would go that far back. It's not something I use very often. But I've certainly recognized it in other musical analyses. Not all of my analyses turn into reports. So I really am not sure.
 - Q. You recall using the same

JUDITH FINELL

- 2 | concept, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So tell me what you recall.
- 5 What partner phrases do you remember in
- 6 your past?

- 7 A. I really don't. It's been many
- 8 years of doing this kind of work. And I
- 9 do many, many reports a year. So I
- 10 really -- I can't tell you, to be honest.
- 11 Q. How many, many reports a year
- 12 do you do?
- A. Well, some are preliminary
- 14 reports, some are oral reports and some
- 15 are full reports. Should I combine all
- 16 of those?
- 17 O. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 A. A few hundred.
- 19 Q. A few hundred?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And this is your full-time
- 22 living, correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. So more than 500?
- A. No, I don't think so.

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

- 2 Q. Under 500?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And those are just -- and so when you're doing those reports, let's, as a ballpark number, use 500; is that maybe a reasonable number?
 - A. Well, I should explain. Some of the reports -- we do in my firm a lot of consulting work that is not copyright infringement disputing. We work a lot with film and television companies on music that's being used, and advertising, I should say, that's being used in their products. So they require reports, also. So in those 500, I am also including that.
 - Q. Do your reports typically include two songs?
 - A. Often not.
- Q. Sometimes just one song?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And how many of the reports that you do would involve two songs?
- A. Probably 50 percent.

JUDITH	FINELL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. So just doing the rough math, if we're doing 500 reports, oral, preliminary, full and the other work that you're doing in a year, you're talking about somewhere between 500 and 1000 songs a year that you're doing some analysis on?
 - A. It could be quite a bit more. Sometimes prior art research is involved. Sometimes we're asked to determine if something is like any other number of several hundred songs.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So it's really too broad to answer it with a number, I think.
- Q. So we're actually talking about the analysis that you go through could involve several thousand songs each year, correct?
- A. It can, yes.
- Q. And in the last two years, it
 has involved several thousand songs each
 year, correct?
- 25 A. The last year was a little

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	different from normal. So I would say
3	probably fewer in the last year because I
4	was focused on one major case for part of
5	that year. But normally, the volume is
6	pretty high, yes.
7	Q. And the major case you're
8	referring to is the Blurred Lines case?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. In which you were the expert
11	for the Marvin Gaye estate?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. In your mind, what is a
14	similarity between songs?
15	A. I can't answer that in the
16	abstract.
17	Q. Okay. You have to have two
18	songs to prepare or one song to look at
19	to determine similarity?
2 0	A. Well, if I am talking
21	similarity, it's a relationship. So I
22	would have to have at least two. It's a
2 3	comparative value.
~ <i>4</i>	O Warran and A black areas makes a

preliminary determination of what

JUDITH FINELL

- 2 material is alike, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then you transcribe what's alike?
- A. If it's -- yes. It depends on the alikeness, if you will. Or if I feel that it's not driven by similar unusual features, then I may not make a transcription.
 - I mean there is an appraisal or evaluation process that's occurring while the analysis is going on.
 - Q. In this case, what you determined was "alike" were the words "remind me" or "Baby, remind me," correct?
 - A. That was only the first similarity that I heard when I studied it. There were others that were more convincing as -- I shouldn't say more convincing, but that convinced me that there was substantial similarity.
 - Q. The only portions of the song that you transcribed were the portions

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	you thought sounded alike, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Is there any formal agreement
5	on musicology practices?
6	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
7	form.
8	A. I don't understand.
9	Q. Is there any convention on
10	musicological practices?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. I don't understand your
13	question.
14	Q. Is there a how-to book on how a
15	musicologist goes about determining
16	similarity?
17	A. There may be. But it's more to
18	do with the educational background of a
19	musicologist. It's a discipline that was
20	developed in Germany, and was meant,
21	originally, to analyze classical music,
22	but it has been applied in the music
23	industry for all forms of music.
24	Q. All right. Let me ask that
25	again, because you started with "There

.т	TID	т	中日	T T	NI T	T.T.

2	ma	V	b	e		* *
---	----	---	---	---	--	-----

Is it correct that you are not aware of a musicological treatise that describes how the process of comparing two songs should be done?

- A. Oh, there are, there are some written often by attorneys who have a musical background, and are trying to create a practical approach. But I haven't read any of them.
- Q. And you relied on no such treatise in connection with this case?
 - A. Not at all.
- Q. And you don't rely on any such treatise in connection with any of your cases?
- A. No. Except for my education which involved a lot of treatises, but from the standpoint of musicology. But not anything that's been recently done, to arrive at or disabuse the concept of substantial similarity.
- Q. And you completed your formal education in what year?

1 JUDITH FI	NELL
-------------	------

- A. Well, my master's was

 University of California at Berkeley in

 1970. And since then, I have done many,

 many years of lectures, attending

 lectures, and copyright and musicology

 CLE lectures, and given them myself and

 done a lot of writing on topic.
 - Q. You've not had any formal education or enrolled in any classes since 1970 in connection with musicology?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. And you do not have a Ph.D.?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. And you're not affiliated with the university as a professor?
- A. No. I am a guest lecturer quite often, but no.
- Q. What's the purpose of transposing two songs to the same key?
- A. So that the notes can be compared as being in the same context.
- One of the processes of

 comparing music to one another, musical,

10

11

12

1	JUDITH	FINEL
---	--------	-------

scale degrees. In other words, the relation that each note has within its own scale. So to do that, it's more appropriate normally to transpose them into the same key.

In other words, you can sing

Jingle Bells in the key of C, F, B-flat,

whatever key you wanted depending on your

vocal range, and it would still be Jingle

Bells.

The transposition process is simply putting them both in the same key so that difference is not a factor.

- Q. In connection with your musicological practice, do you make any effort to distinguish between ideas or musical building blocks or protectable expression?
 - MR. SANDERS: Object to the extent that it's calling for legal conclusion.
- A. Protectable expression is not a musicological term. It's a legal term.
 - Q. So you don't conduct an

1	JUDITH	FINELL
_	000111	T T 14 TO T

- analysis into protectable expression, 2
- correct? 3

8

9

18

- Not with that -- not for the Α. 4 5 word protectable, I don't.
- And you did not do so in this 6 0. case? 7
 - No, I was never asked to. Α.
- Do you make an effort to Q. distinguish between ideas and musical 10 11 expression?
- 12 Α. Yes.

works.

- And what do you do? 13 Ο.
- Well, ideas would be more 14 Α. either stylistic or genre related. And 15 sometimes music sounds alike because 16 that's what is shared between two musical 17
- I am more interested and 19 usually, my analysis in finding beyond 20 that is the actual content the same, are 21 the pitches the same, the rhythms. 22
- I was blessed with perfect 23 24 pitch, and I listen to music in a way that I hear very exact pitches in a 25

	rage of
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	certain way that sort of drives my
3	analysis in that direction, but in fact,
4	that's really part of the musical
5	content.
6	Q. Do you make a comparison to see
7	if similarities between two works are
8	nontrivial?
9	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
L O	A. What do you mean by trivial? I
L 1	mean, by whose definition?
L 2	Q. As a musicologist, what do you
L 3	consider the word trivial to be?
L 4	A. I have never used that in any
L 5	report or analysis, so I don't know what
L 6	you mean. I mean, I understand what the
L 7	word means by definition. But I don't
L 8	understand what it means in this context.
L 9	Q. Is it important for a
2 0	musicologist to include an analysis of
21	similarities and differences in their
2 2	reports?
2 3	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

I believe it's the role of a

24

25

musicologist to make clear, if there is a

JUDITH FINELL

relationship between the works, what are the elements that make the relationship exist.

And if there is not a relationship between the works, and I would say then, yes, the differences are more important.

But if the works sound related, and then upon analysis are proven to be related in their core musical properties, then I would focus on the relationship between them.

- Q. When you're making a comparison, just in a general context, what aspects of the melody do you look at for similarities or differences?
- A. Well, melody is defined as a sequence of pitches and rhythms, meaning duration. So I look at the pitches and the rhythms. Their placement in the bar has to do with their positioning, and that affects their rhythmic character, but basically, the pitches and the rhythms.

	J	U	D	Ι	T	Н	F	I	N	ΕI	٦Į

- Q. Do you look at the harmonic context in which a melody is presented?
 - A. Always.
 - Q. How?

A. Well, it depends on how the music is being composed and what drives it. Different pieces of music are sometimes harmony driven where the melody grows out of the harmony, and other times melodically driven where the harmony grows out of the melody.

So that's a determination that my training would enable me to do.

But if it's a vocal work, as opposed to purely instrumental work, the melody line that's, say, for example, sung by a singer, is it consonant with the harmony that's supporting it or dissonant.

In other words, is it, if it's dissonant, then it needs to be resolved in traditional music.

If it's consonant, then the vocal line is somehow part of the

1	JUDITH	FINELI

2 prevailing harmonic context.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Is the quantity of similarity important?
 - A. Sometimes. In what context?
- Q. You tell me the context that you're talking about.
 - A. What was your question?
 - Q. I asked if the quantity of the similarity is important. Does it matter?
- A. That's part of the factors that go into my determining to what degree two musical works are substantially similar.

 But that's only part of it.
- Q. Is a difference in key between

two works significant?

- A. Only if it's in mode. If one is in the major and one is in the minor mode, that would be important. But if one is in F-sharp major and the other one is in C major, it wouldn't matter at all.
- Except, I should say, unless
 you're comparing recordings for
 similarities. And that could impact how

it sounds in terms of the singing style,

JUDITH FINELL

- 2 et cetera.
- In other words, certain keys
- 4 will be favored by certain singers and
- 5 all.

- But eliminating that, the
- 7 underlying music being in one key over
- 8 another, as long as they are in the same
- 9 mode, would not have an impact on the
- 10 similarity.
- 11 Q. Is it a creative choice to use
- 12 different keys in a musical work?
- 13 A. That's a good question.
- 14 Partially. But it's partially because
- 15 some music is written for certain
- 16 people's voices, I think, in popular
- 17 music. But there are some composers who
- 18 write only in one key because they are
- 19 more familiar with that key. I think it
- 20 was in Irving Berlin who only wrote in
- 21 F-sharp.
- Q. You listened to the Connor and
- 23 Paisley works multiple times?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And what key is Connor recorded

	JUDIT	ΓH	FI	N	ΕL	L
--	-------	----	----	---	----	---

2 in?

- A. I am sorry, I don't remember
- 4 now. I transposed everything into the
- 5 same key for my analysis. So it's been a
- 6 while since I listened to it.
- 7 Q. So you don't know?
- 8 A. The sheet music is the key of F
- 9 major, but I don't recall right now if
- 10 the recording itself was, no.
- Q. Okay. And you're referring to
- 12 the Paisley sheet music?
- A. That's right. I am sorry, did
- 14 you say Connor?
- Q. I did say Connor.
- A. Excuse me, I am sorry.
- Q. You're referring to the sheet
- 18 music in Paisley to say that it's written
- 19 in F major?
- 20 A. The sheet music is. That
- 21 doesn't mean the recording is.
- Q. And key for Connor is you don't
- 23 recall?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall if it was major

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	or minor?
3	A. Yes. It's major. But my
4	report might have told. I just don't
5	recall without looking at my report. I
6	usually do say what the keys are.
7	Q. How would you define the term
8	color, in connection with musical work?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
10	form.
11	A. I don't understand what you
12	$m \in an$.
13	Q. Did you ever use the word color
14	in your reports?
15	A. Describing it's really an
16	aural, meaning A-U-R-A-L description. In
17	other words, a description of sound. Is
18	that what you mean, a vocal color?
19	Q. I am talking about when you use
20	the term color in musicological reports,
21	how do you define it?
22	A. I almost never use it unless
23	I'm being asked to evaluate recordings.
24	It's more of a description of sound than

25

it is of underlying music. But I should

1	JUDITH	FINELI
_	UUDII	T. T. 14 TO TO 3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- qualify that, because sometimes I use that when unusual cords are used, and I will say that an extra note adds some color. That's true. I do say that.
 - Q. What is cord progression?
- A. It's the -- it's the pattern of a series of cords progressing from one to another.
- Q. And from a musicological perspective, when should you look at the melody free from cord progression and when should you look at it as part of the cord progression?
 - MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. They are independent factors, but they do interrelate. So you should look at them both as they correlate with one another and also individually.
- Q. So in all cases you should always look at how the cord progressions are done?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. There is no always. It depends on what I am looking at in the music.

JUDITH FINELL

- What I am looking for. If the similarity in the music isn't related directly to the cords, than I won't focus on that. It depends on what the similarity is, if that's my assignment.
 - Q. In this case, you did not map out the cord progressions of either song, correct?
 - A. That's correct. Although the cord progressions in the sheet music for Paisley were roughly correct. But I did not analyze them in-depth.
 - Q. And in your report, your full report, Exhibit 1, you did the best job that you could to map out anything that you considered to be similar between the two songs, correct?
 - A. I mapped out what I thought were the most important similarities between the two songs.
- Q. Why didn't you map out the cord progressions in Connor and compare those to Paisley?
- 25 A. I didn't hear anything in

JUDITH FINELL

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

- particular in either song's cord progressions. And it wasn't the reason why I believed they sounded similar, except in their vocal line in which they created dissonance against their cord progression. And I talked about that when I discussed appoggiatura.
- Q. And in order to use the term appoggiatura and talk about dissonance, don't you have to know what cord is being resolved?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And when you're mapping those out, you did not map out the words in Paisley, correct?
- A. I listened to the recording and I could hear the dissonance. I didn't need to map it out.
 - Q. You didn't map out the cords in Paisley, did you?
 - A. As I say, they were accurate enough in the sheet music. And I was really looking for the vocal line above the cord. It wasn't necessary to map it

JUDITH FINELL

2 out.

1

- Q. In your report, you did not

 make a comparison of the cords in Connor

 versus the cords in Paisley, did you?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. How do you claim or believe that a lay listener picks out similarities?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. You know, it's a broad
- 12 question, because it depends on the lay
- 13 listener's musical knowledge, musical
- 14 abilities and sensitivities. And the
- 15 population varies in that a great deal.
- 16 So I would say that it would be
- 17 impossible for me to know how a sampling
- 18 of, say, 100 lay listeners would respond
- 19 to music being similar or not similar.
- 20 But there is certain consistencies I have
- 21 seen.
- Q. And you used the word
- 23 impossible, correct?
- A. I am not sure if that's the
- 25 right word.

	Lage 33
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	THE WITNESS: Could you read
3	that back, please?
4	MR. HARVEY: Madam Reporter, can
5	you confirm that she used the word
6	impossible in her answer?
7	(The reporter read back as
8	follows:
9	"ANSWER: "So I would say that
10	it would be impossible for me to know
11	how a sampling of, say, 100 lay
12	listeners would respond to music being
13	similar or not similar.")
14	A. May I correct myself?
15	Q. Would you like to correct
16	yourself?
17	A. I would like to, if you would
18	permit me to.
19	Yeah, I would say "impossible"
20	was a bit too strong of a word. But it
21	would be difficult for me to know rather
22	than just speculating how a sampling of
23	100 people who are considered lay
2 4	listeners would respond, because I would

not know anything about their own musical

JUDITH	FINELL

- background or knowledge.
- Q. Does the use of syncopated rhythm versus non-syncopated rhythm make a difference in determining similarity to you as a musicologist?
- A. Well, everything is specific.

 So syncopated rhythm is one similarity that may or may not be found between two pieces of music, but because syncopation by itself is a disruption of a normal pulse of a piece of music, if two pieces of music use it in a similar way, that would be one of the points of similarity, but within a context.
- Q. And if one work makes use of syncopation and the other work makes use of a word falling on a downbeat, that would not be similar?
- A. It depends on the context. Are you talking about just the rhythm or what notes they are using?
- There is more to the music than just the syncopation or the downbeat.

 It's what are the pitches that are being

ıΤ	UD	T	Т	H	F	T	N	E	L	L

- syncopated or used on the downbeat. How long does each one last? Are they setting -- are they setting lyrics to melodies. You know, there are a lot of other aspects occurring simultaneously. So it's hard to say if something would be similar or not just based on syncopation.
 - O. What's a melisma?
- A. A melisma describes a vocal technique in which one word or syllable is sung over multiple pitches.
- Q. And an example given by

 Ms. Connor in her deposition was the

 famous song Hallelujah, where the word

 "Hallelujah" is sung over many different

 notes; is that an example of --
- A. Are you talking about Handel's Hallelujah?
 - Q. Handel's Hallelujah, yes.
- A. Well, the theme, or you might want to call it the hook of "Hallelujah" is not melismatic. It's basically, one pitch per syllable of the word Hallelujah, which is a four syllable

JUDITH FINELL

2 word.

- That's a long classical work,
- 4 and there certainly parts of it where
- 5 there probably is melismatic sections.
- Q. Probably, okay. And as a
- 7 musicologist, do you agree that it is not
- 8 unusual, in and of itself, for two songs
- 9 to have similar musical elements?
- 10 A. That's too general for me to
- 11 answer.
- Q. Do you agree that it's not
- 13 unusual for musical works, in and of
- 14 itself, to have two different lyrical
- 15 elements?
- A. Do you mean lyrics alone?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. Some musical works share
- 19 lyrics, yes.
- Q. So you would agree that it is
- 21 not unusual, in and of itself, that two
- 22 works would share lyrical elements?
- A. It's hard for me to answer that
- 24 in the abstract.
- Q. You do this for a living. You

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

compare songs, hundreds of songs each
year.

Do you agree that it is not unusual, in and of itself, for two musical works to have similar lyrical elements?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. As I say, it's hard to answer you in the abstract, because I see the lyrics in a context of how long each lyric is sung or spoken, the rhythms, in other words. What notes those lyrics are assigned to. What harmonies those lyrics are supported by. It's a whole group of elements that work together to create the musical impression of one work over another.
- Q. How do you define the phrase or the word hook?
- A. Well, hook is really used in popular music to define what you might think of as one or more of the signature phrases of a musical work. Sometimes musical works have more than one. Vocal

works, often the hook is also the title lyrics of the song.

I usually use the Cyndi Lauper song "Girls Just Want to Have Fun," which is a hook of her song. And sometimes it's instrumental, and it can be a famous guitar melody or it could be a piano melody. It doesn't have to be vocal.

- Q. Is hook defined in musicological literature that you consider to be definitive?
- A. Well, it's defined in musicological literature that talks about popular musical genres. It's a term that is used -- it's a vernacular term that is used in the popular music industry.

You could say that Beethoven

Fifth Symphony, the four note melody,

G-G-G-E-flat is the hook of the melody,

but I would say that that would be

unusual in the academic world to describe

that as Beethoven's hook.

Q. How do you pick what you consider to be the signature melodic

2 material of a song?

- A. Can you repeat that, please?
- 4 Q. How do you pick what you
- 5 consider to be the signature melodic
- 6 material in a song?
- 7 A. You mean how do I define what
- 8 the hook would be of a song?
- 9 Q. Yes, ma'am. Well, excuse me,
- 10 is that what you consider a hook to be?
- 11 A. Yes, usually. But there is
- 12 sometimes more than one in a song.
- Q. Okay. So how do you pick a
- 14 hook in a song?
- A. Well, if it's a song with
- 16 words, as opposed to an instrumental
- 17 musical work, then often the hook is the
- 18 repeating phrase within a song. And I
- 19 would say a large percentage of the time
- 20 it's the same lyrics that are in the
- 21 title, or at least part of those lyrics
- 22 in the title. And it's usually used over
- 23 and over again as kind of an anchor to
- 24 the song.
- Q. So it's usually the title?

1 JUDITH FINELL

- A. In popular music, often it's
- 3 the title. Not usually. If I said
- 4 usually, I should have said the word
- 5 often.
- 6 Q. Does popular music include
- 7 | country music?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Hip hop?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Rap?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Urban?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Jazz?
- A. Well, jazz is kind of its own
- 17 genre in a way, but sometimes.
- 18 Q. Any other genres that you think
- 19 fall within the hook often being the
- 20 title?
- A. Yeah, the American Songbook.
- 22 In other words, that is sometimes shown
- 23 in musical theatre, or not musical
- 24 theatre, in cabaret settings, et cetera.
- Q. On a percentage basis, how

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	often do you pick the hook as the title
3	of the song?
4	A. Well, I don't pick it. The
5	composer picks it. I just recognize it.
6	Q. You recognize it.
7	Do you have any idea what the
8	Defendant songwriters or the performers
9	on the Paisley Remind Me responded when
10	asked what the hook of the Paisley Remind
11	Me was?
12	A. No, I do not.
13	Q. Have you read any depositions
14	in this case?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Have you had any deposition
17	testimony described to you, in this case?
18	A. I was I did have a brief
19	description of, I think it was the
2 0	Paisley deposition.
21	Q. And what description of the
22	Paisley deposition were you told?
2 3	A. I believe I was told that
2.4	Mr Daiglow said that he had never

written another song that sounded like

1	JUDITH	FINELL

- 2 this. I am paraphrasing, but that's what
 3 I recall the meaning of the sentence to
 4 be.
 - Q. Who told you that?
- A. Mr. Connor.
- Q. But you haven't looked at his transcript?
 - A. No, I have never have.
- Q. Have you looked at Ms. Connor's transcript?
- 12 A. No.

9

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you been told what the theory of access is in this case?
- A. I was told some background information on that, yes, when I was engaged.
- Q. And what do you understand access consisted of in this case?
 - A. As far as I remember -- and this was over two years ago that I was told this -- that Ms. Connor went to a session that was meant to give young artists an opportunity to be heard. I am not sure if it was Sony sponsored or not,

but an event that enabled young artists to showcase their work. And she sang her song there, I believe. That's really all I recall being told.

JUDITH FINELL

- Q. If the writer of the song identifies the hook differently than you, who is correct?
- A. If the writer of the song identifies -- it would be -- I may hear something based on the criteria I applied to it. But I would be -- I mean, the writer composed it. So I would show respect for the writer.

But the truth is that, you know, I may hear some other features that are hooklike. And that's why I say there is often more than one hook. Especially, in instrumental music.

- Q. So you're not really able to say who is correct, are you, you versus the writer of the song.
- A. I do always defer to the writer of the song. But today most writers of popular music have very, very little

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	formal musical education, so I would
3	still trust my own evaluation of an
4	important phrase. And maybe the hook
5	won't be the word that's used, but it
6	will be an important phrase.
7	Q. Can we say that your
8	identification of a hook is more properly
9	called hooklike?
L O	A. In what context?
L 1	Q. In the context of your attempt
L 2	to define a hook?
L 3	A. I don't understand your
L 4	question.
L 5	Q. Do you have any idea what the
L 6	musical education background is of
L 7	Mr. Paisley?
L 8	A. No, not at all.
L 9	Q. Or Mr. Lovelace?
2 0	A. No.
21	Q. Or Mr. DuBois?
22	A. No.
2 3	Q. But you defer to the writers of
2 4	the song about what the hook is?
2 5	A. Well, I know when a writer

1	JUDITH	FINELI
1		

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- says that, then I know that's what the writer was thinking of. So if I don't agree, I may say well, this is another important phrase in the song. I mean, just out of respect for the writer.
- Q. Do -- so you described what you understood to be allegations of access in this case.
- Do allegations of access make a difference in your preparation of a report?
- A. Not at all.
- Q. Do you make an assumption in your report that there was access between the Paisley song and the Connor song?
- A. No, it doesn't -- it has no impact on my musical analysis.
- Q. So your work involves -- strike that.
 - We talked earlier about the hundreds of songs you listened to in connection with your work each year.
- I'll ask, as a listener of music, do you listen to music going to

JUDITH FINELL

- and from work, or listen to Pandora, or listen to any musical library on a daily basis or weekly basis?
- 5 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. Yes, I do.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. How about on a daily basis, in addition to the work that you're performing for your business, how many songs do you think you listen to each day?
- A. It depends on the day.

 Basically, I work about 12 or 14 hours a day. And almost all of those hours I am spending analyzing and listening to music. So sometimes unless I am going to a performance of the opera or a symphony or something, I am not necessarily listening to music in those off hours.
- Q. Let's say on a weekly basis and in your off time, how many songs would you listen to? I am just looking for a blended average.
- 24 A. I have no idea.
- Q. Well, if you had the radio on

	Page 109
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	for an hour, that might be 15 songs or
3	so?
4	A. Well
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
6	A. I listen to NPR, which is more
7	political and, you know, other kinds of
8	informational radio rather than musical
9	when I am driving.
10	Q. What kind of music do you
11	listen to for leisure or for your own
12	personal pleasure?
13	A. I have a wide variety. But my
14	background is as a classical, classically
15	trained musician. So I attend the
16	Metropolitan Opera, and the New York
17	Philharmonic and many concerts at
18	Carnegie Hall, but I am also very
19	interested in popular music and have been
2 0	for most of my life.
21	Q. Is it correct that
2 2	musicologists tend to specialize in one
2 3	genre of music versus others?

For their academic work, they

Α.

24

25

often do, as did I. That doesn't mean

1 JUDITH FINEL

- 2 that's what they remain in.
 - Q. So your academic work focused on classical music, correct?
 - A. My graduate work focused on classical musical analysis in theory.

My undergraduate was in piano performance.

- Q. Do you have any -- strike that.
- A. I should explain. My degree, my graduate degree is in musicology from the University of California at Berkeley. But the work that was required and taught in order for me to conduct musicological studies was analysis and theory. I didn't explain it properly before.
- 17 Excuse me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you have any specialized training in country music?
 - A. No. I receive requests, many, many requests a year of all kinds of genres. The genre of the musical sound is really immaterial to my work. It is pitches, rhythms, harmonies, musical style and sound. And that's my

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

expertise. And it does not matter what style the music is written in for me to conduct a musical analysis whatsoever.

L

- Q. So I am correct in stating that you have no specialized experience or no specialized training in country music?
- A. I have years of experience in country music. But it's not something that was taught in the academic setting where I obtained my formal degrees.

I've had many, many cases involving country western music, as well as hip hop and others.

Q. In your report, you list five different cases in which you have been engaged to provide a report, or deposition testimony or trial testimony. And then you list some testimony before the copyright and royalty judges.

That's on Page 37 of your report, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And this engagement, because you're listing other engagements, this

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	engagement is not listed, correct?
3	A. Right, that's correct.
4	Q. So this engagement for the
5	Remind Me case involves country music,
6	right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. For the five songs in which you
9	have identified as having been engaged as
10	an expert witness, none of those cases
11	involve country music, correct?
12	A. Well, over the four-year period
13	that this listed for testimony.
14	Q. In the last four years, none of
15	the cases in which you have been engaged
16	to provide expert witness testimony
17	involve country music, correct?
18	A. Testimony, that doesn't mean
19	that I haven't reported on many, many
2 0	cases of music that didn't end up in
21	testimony.
22	Q. Ms. Finell, please listen to my
23	question and please answer it.
2 4	In the last four years, you
2 5	have not been engaged to provide

Page 113

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	testimony as an expert witness in any
3	other country music case, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Did anyone tell you about the
6	length of time between the supposed
7	access to the Connor work and the writing
8	of the Paisley work, in this case?
9	A. Could you repeat the question,
L 0	please?
L 1	(The reporter read back as
L 2	follows:
L 3	"QUESTION: Did anyone tell you
L 4	about the length of time between the
L 5	supposed access to the Connor work and
L 6	the writing of the Paisley work, in
L 7	this case?")
L 8	A. No.
L 9	Q. Does the length of time between
2 0	allegedly hearing a work and writing a
21	different work make a difference to you
2 2	as a musicologist?
2 3	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
2 4	form.
2 5	A But it's not the musicologist

	rage 114
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	who would be writing it. So I don't
3	understand the question.
4	Q. Yes, ma'am. In terms of your
5	consideration of two works and making a
6	determination of whether you thought they
7	were substantially similar or not, does
8	the length of time between the supposed
9	access from the listening to the earlier
L O	song and the writing of the later song,
L 1	does that make a difference to you?
L 2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 3	A. Not at all.
L 4	Q. As a musicologist, do you take
L 5	any consideration in what the lyrics in a
L 6	song are about?
L 7	A. Sometimes.
L 8	Q. Is it important to you as a
L 9	musicologist to consider whether two
20	songs express what they are about in
21	different ways?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
2 3	A. I don't quite understand what

I am talking about in terms of

Q.

24

25

you mean by "different ways."

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	expressing the idea behind a song;
3	different ways, dissimilarities,
4	differences.
5	A. I really don't understand,
6	Mr. Harvey, what you mean by "different
7	ways."
8	Q. You would agree, based on your
9	understanding as a musicologist, that the
10	copyright law does not protect what the
11	lyrics of a song are about?
12	MR. SANDERS: Object as to a
13	legal conclusion.
14	A. Well, I am not a copyright
15	lawyer. But I do understand that ideas
16	are not protected, and that content or
17	expression of the ideas is.
18	Q. Okay. So it's your
19	understanding that the style of a
20	songwriters express is not protected, but
21	the particular expression of that style
22	is protected, correct?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
24	A. That's not accurate the way you

verbalized it. Excuse me.

	Page 116
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Do you think a songwriter's
3	style is something that he or she can
4	own?
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
6	A. I don't understand your
7	question.
8	Q. From a musicological
9	perspective, is it possible that two
LO	songwriters can write in a similar style
L 1	and not infringe one another?
L 2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 3	A. Yes.
L 4	Q. And you listened to Connor and
L 5	Paisley several times, correct?
L 6	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. And you have not read Connor's
L 8	deposition?
L 9	A. Never.
2 0	Q. She having listened to her
21	song Ms. Connor described her work as
22	being written in the first person at Page
23	93. Do you agree with that?

Do you agree that the Connor

SANDERS: Object to form.

Q.

24

25

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	song is written in the first person?
3	A. Do you mean the lyrics?
4	Q. Yes, ma'am. We are talking
5	about the lyrics.
6	A. Just one minute, please.
7	Q. Ms. Finell, you don't have an
8	opinion on that without referring to your
9	notes?
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. I am a very precise person. I
12	would prefer to look at my documents.
13	It's in the first person. But it's also
14	verbalizing to a second person.
15	Q. And you agree that it's written
16	in the voice of a woman?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
18	A. You're not talking about
19	performed in the voice of a woman; you're
20	talking about the lyrics themselves?
21	Q. Yes, ma'am.
22	A. I don't see anything in the
23	lyrics that suggest gender.
24	Q. If Ms. Connor states that the

song, the song she wrote, was written in

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the voice of a woman, you don't disagree
3	with her, do you?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
5	A. It was sung by a woman. I
6	understand that. But I don't see
7	anything in here that uses gender-related
8	words such as female, or girl or woman.
9	Q. If Ms. Connor testified that
10	her song that she wrote was written in
L1	the voice of a woman, you do not disagree
L 2	with her, do you?
L 3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 4	A. It was written for the voice of
L 5	a woman, possibly. But not in the voice
L 6	of a woman. There is nothing technically
L 7	in this language that suggests the gender
L 8	of the writer of the song.
L 9	Q. Ms. Connor described her song
2 0	that she wrote as sentimental and sad, at
21	Pages 93 and 99.
22	Do you agree that the
23	expression of the idea of her song is
24	written as sentimental and sad?

Object to form.

25

MR. SANDERS:

	Page 119
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Are you talking about the
3	lyrics only, not the melody that sets the
4	lyrics?
5	Q. I am talking about the lyrics.
6	A. I would call it a regretful and
7	perhaps sentimental.
8	Q. Based on the lyrics as you've
9	reviewed them, the singer is singing
L O	about someone who is not physically
L 1	present, who is not physically there,
L 2	correct?
L 3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 4	A. It's not necessarily true since
L 5	the singer is addressing another person
L 6	when the singer says things like "Baby,
L 7	Remind Me." "All I need is your touch to
L 8	Remind Me."
L 9	It's possible that the singer
20	is visualizing I should say the writer
21	is visualizing another person listening.
22	Q. How do you distinguish between
23	regretful and sad?

Well, I am not a linguist.

Object to form.

Α.

24

25

I

MR. SANDERS:

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	am a musicologist. But to me, regretful
3	means that you hope you can change
4	something or modify something. And sad
5	is an emotion in which perhaps you lost
6	that hope.
7	Q. Ms. Connor described the song
8	of grieving the loss of a relationship
9	that she had had, at Page 93.
10	Do you agree with that
11	characterization of the lyrics?
12	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
13	A. Well, she's the person who
14	wrote it. So I would agree with it, yes.
15	Q. And you worked on thousands and
16	thousands of songs over your career.
17	Do you agree that the lyrical
18	idea of missing someone and the closeness
19	you once had is not original?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Object to form and
21	legal conclusion.
2 2	A. Well, again, I am not a
2 3	literary expert in terms of themes, but

25

certainly that theme does resonate

throughout musical literature, and

JUDITH	FINEL

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

theatrical literature and the rest of the various art forms.

- Q. So based on your long career, and your experiences, and your knowledge of the body of music that you studied and the body of music that you have been engaged in, you've heard many, many other songs that have expressed the lyrical idea of missing someone?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- 12 A. Is there a question?
 - Q. Yes, ma'am. You would agree that the lyrical idea of missing someone and the closeness you once had is not original to Ms. Connor?
- 17 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. I would say that the concept is not original to Ms. Connor.
 - Q. And you would agree, having looked at her lyrics, that she is not singing about a strong physical, sexual relationship that the couple wants to reenergize?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Well, actually, I think she
3	does. She talks about what could change
4	it would be physicality of a kiss or a
5	touch. And that would help remind, as
6	she calls it, remind her. So actually,
7	the physicality is the transformational
8	focus.
9	Q. Is there anything in the Connor
10	song that you think has a lyrical idea of
11	a strong physical, sexual or passionate
12	relationship?
13	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
14	A. Is that for me?
15	Q. That's for you.
16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could
17	you repeat that?
18	(The reporter read back as
19	follows:
20	"QUESTION: There anything in
21	the Connor song that you think has a
22	lyrical idea of a strong physical,
23	sexual or passionate relationship?")

There is definitely that

24

25

suggestion in the kissing and the

touching. But it's not vulgar. But I would say that it does contain some suggestion of the physicality between the characters in the story that the song is outlining.

Q. Do you agree, Ms. Finell, that the expression of wanting to be reminded about some aspect of a relationship is a commonplace musical thing?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. I haven't done an investigation into it at all, or never conducted a study as such. But I would say I believe it is, yes.
- Q. And you would agree that there are hundreds of ways to express missing something in a relationship, correct?

 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. Are you talking about with lyrics or with music?
- Q. I am talking about with lyrics in a song, you would agree that there are hundreds of ways expressing that idea?

 MR. SANDERS: Same objection.

1	JUDITH	FINELI

- A. I don't know if there are hundreds of ways. I haven't conducted a study. I would be just quessing.
- Q. And the study you would have to conduct would be an extensive prior art search?
- A. To answer the question you just asked me, I would have to do a search, yes.
- Q. Is a prior art search something that you generally conduct in connection with an engagement?
- A. It depends on the nature of the engagement and the nature of the musical relationships that I find.
- Q. If you aren't engaged to conduct a prior art search, you don't do it, correct?
- A. It's not quite that simple. I often recommend that I do it. And my recommendations are often followed.
- But in this case, the way in which the similarities combine were such that I did not feel that there would be

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	prior art found that would have much more
3	of a resemblance than just a random use
4	of words like "remind me" in a
5	fragmentary way rather than the exact way
6	that they are set with all of the melodic
7	characteristics that I found between the
8	two songs.
9	Q. Ms. Finell, in Ms. Connor's
10	deposition, we provided her records from
11	the U.S. Copyright Office showing
12	hundreds of songs with the title "Remind
13	Me" or the words "remind me" in the
1 4	title.
15	Did anyone ever mention that to
16	you?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Are you aware of any other
19	songs in the universe of the world, in
2 0	the history of the world, other than
2 1	Paisley and Connor, that have "Remind Me"
2 2	as a title?
2 3	A. I haven't done that search, so

25

the answer is I can't substantiate that.

But I would think that on a random basis,

JUDITH FINE	L]
-------------	----

- one would find other songs. Maybe not in the title, but within the song that have the words, either the exact words "remind me" or something meaning that.
- Q. And you know how to find similarly titled songs, but you didn't do so in this case?
- A. No, because I did not expect to find all of the combined characteristics in any other song.
- Q. Is it your claim, ma'am, that prior art requires a combination of every single similar element in order to be considered prior art?
- A. It may be a legal conclusion, but my experience is if you have eight or nine similar features, and the prior art only contains one or two of them, that wouldn't be particularly valid, unless those were the most unusual, unique features.
- But mostly, if you have a large combination of similar features, as you do here, and it's repeatedly used

Page 127

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	throughout both songs to become the, in
3	essence, the glue of the song that
4	creates the coherence of the song, I
5	would have to find those combined, at
6	least, in a fairly sufficient quantity in
7	other songs to be reasonable prior art.
8	Q. You didn't look in this case?
9	A. No. And nor did I expect to
10	find it.
11	Q. In Ms. Connor's deposition, she
12	was given information from Websites
13	identifying thousands of songs that have
14	the words "remind me" in the lyrics.
15	Did anyone tell you that that
16	is out there in the world?
17	A. No. You're the first one to
18	tell me that.
19	Q. You know how to find thousands
2 0	of songs containing the lyrics "remind
21	me," don't you?
2 2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
23	A. I don't know if there are
2 4	thousands of songs, sir. But I do know
2.5	how to look for them.

1	rage 120
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. You have a database that would
3	give you that information, correct?
4	A. I could find them if I needed
5	to. But I don't know how many I would
6	find and how many would apply.
7	Q. Did you the Plaintiff's counsel
8	in this case that you often conduct a
9	prior art search?
10	A. We did discuss it. I didn't
11	really tell them, one way or the other.
12	We did discuss what a prior art search
13	would probably yield.
14	Q. Ms. Connor described the
1 5	Paisley work as being about a passionate
16	physical experience, on Page 191.
17	Do you agree with the lyrics of
18	Paisley; do you agree with that?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
2 0	A. I would say so.
21	Q. And the Paisley work is a
2 2	conversation between a man and a woman?
2 3	A. It's a duet.

Q.

24

25

It's a duet?

Yes.

JUDITH FINELL

- Q. Connor is not a duet?
- A. That's an element of arrangement. Whether or not you split a song between one or two singers is an arrangement element. It's not the core of the underlying work.
- Q. In Ms. Connor's deposition, she agreed that for her song to be a duet, she would have to rewrite it.

Do you agree with that?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. She might have to rewrite some of the lyrics. But she might be able to share them between the two singers in a duet. A duet is an arrangement decision.
 - Q. What is a call and response?
- A. That, there are two ways to describe it in a sense that in music there can be a theme that ends in a kind of question sense, meaning it doesn't end on, technically in the final position of the music such as the tonic or another settling position at the end of the phrase.

JUDITH FINELL

And then it's responded to, either melodically or harmonically or both with what would be the resolution of that question into an answer. And it's sometimes called call and response.

But the other use of call and response is a compositional structural feature. For example, in a lot of religious gospel music, it came out of that call and response structure in which a singer or the reverend from the stage would call out some kind of religious statement, and the audience or the congregants may call back hallelujah. And it goes back and forth like that. But it has both musical characteristics, as I described, as well as performance characteristics.

- Q. Do you agree that the Paisley work is a call and response?
- A. Well, no, it's a duet. A call and response -- I don't know, I haven't thought of it as a call and response.

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

- Q. If you look at the words of Paisley, where the male sings part of the verse and the female responds "Remind me," or the Underwood verse, where she's singing, and she makes a statement and Brad Paisley says "Remind me," do you agree that that's a call and response?
- A. It could be seen that way, but I don't know, I didn't look at it as a call and response structure.
- Q. Well, looking at it right now, would you agree that it's written as a call and response structure?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. I would have to spend a little time on it to look at it that way.
- Q. Do you need to look at the lyrics to do that or you need to look at the sheet music?
- A. Well, if you want to play the recording, I could do it that way. That would help me understand it, too.
- Q. Maybe we can do that on a lunch break or something along those lines.

JUDITH	FINELI

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

- A. I mean, I understand that it's structured that way in the sheet music.

 It says female and male, and there's an alternation between the two singers. But I really saw it more as a duet.
- Q. Do you agree that Connor and Paisley express the overall lyrical idea in two different ways?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. No, because they expressed it musically in similar ways.
- Q. I am asking you about lyrics right now, Ms. Finell.
 - Do you agree that they express the overall lyrical idea in two different ways?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. I would say they are pretty
 closely related. Both of them talk about
 remembering through physical contact.
 That to me is parallel. The level of,
 the depth of the sexuality is not an
- 24 issue. The transformative action was the
- 25 physical contact.

	rage 155
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. So the depth of sexuality is
3	not an issue?
4	A. Well, the Paisley song has, you
5	might say, less steer implications than
6	the Connor song. But both of them, the
7	transformative moment is remembering
8	through the physicality.
9	Q. In your study of musicology and
L O	over your career, are you aware of any
L 1	writer or composer borrowing from
L 2	themselves using elements that they have
L 3	written in the past?
L 4	A. Yes.
L 5	Q. And you're aware of situations
L 6	where composers have reused elements from
L 7	their earlier works?
L 8	A. Oh, yes.
. 9	Q. Can you give me some examples
20	of that?
21	A. I can only give you general
22	ones. To validate it, I would have to do

But certainly, Mozart is known

24

25

for that. Many of the prolific classical

	rage 134
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	composers, not only borrowed from
3	themselves but from one another and wrote
4	variations on one another's works.
5	Sometimes as a attribute. But they
6	started with the other work and then
7	wrote extensions of the work musically.
8	Q. Would it be accurate to call
9	that self-borrowing?
10	A. I haven't heard it used, but it
11	could be, yes.
12	Q. Well, when a writer or composer
13	borrows from its earlier works, what do
14	you call it?
15	A. Borrowing.
16	Q. Borrowing from myself?
17	A. I understand the meaning. I
18	just never heard it used in literature in
19	that way.
20	Q. Does musical literature discuss
21	self-borrowing?
22	A. Oh, of course. But as I say,
23	I've mostly been exposed to it in the

25

classical sense. But certainly, it's the

same musical mind. So the idea -- it's

Page 135

JUDITH FINELL

- part of that particular composer's style
 or signature, if you will, sometimes.
- Q. Other than the Paisley "Remind Me," can you identify any work that Brad Paisley wrote or cowrote prior to 2011?
- 7 A. No, I really couldn't without sinvestigating.
 - Q. And you didn't go look at the copyright office records to look that up?
- 11 A. No.

1

9

10

- Q. Do you have any idea how many
 albums Brad Paisley had released prior to
 2011?
- 15 A. I don't. I mean, I know he's

 16 very successful. But I don't really

 17 know, no.
- Q. Would you describe -- let me finish this part.
- Do you know how many songs he had written prior to 2011?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Or cowritten?
- A. Not at all.
- Q. What about either Mr. DuBois or

Page 136

1	JUDITH	
	OUDITE	CINCLL

- 2 Mr. Lovelace, are you aware of how many 3 songs either of them had written or
- 4 cowritten prior to 2011?
- 5 A. Not at all.
- Q. When Mr. Paisley writes with

 Mr. Lovelace and Mr. DuBois, do you have

 any idea of who writes the music?
- 9 A. No. I don't know their 10 process.
- Q. What have you done, if

 anything, to consider or to eliminate

 self-borrowing by Paisley in this case?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. I don't understand your
- Q. Have you done anything to
- 18 eliminate the possibility of
- 19 self-borrowing by Brad Paisley in this
- 20 case?

14

16

- 21 A. Is your question did he write
- 22 an earlier song that resembled "Remind
- 23 Me"?
- I don't quite understand what
- 25 you're asking.

question.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. HARVEY: Read the question
3	back, please.
4	(The reporter read back as
5	follows:
6	"QUESTION: Have you done
7	anything to eliminate the possibility
8	of self-borrowing by Brad Paisley in
9	this case?")
10	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
11	A. I don't understand your
12	question.
13	Q. Yes, ma'am, I think you do.
14	Let me ask you this way. Did
15	you do anything in this case, in your
16	engagement, to eliminate the possibility
17	of self-borrowing by Brad Paisley in the
18	Paisley "Remind Me"?
19	MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
2 0	A. Are you saying did I compare it
21	to his earlier works? I don't understand
2 2	the point of the question.
2 3	Q. Did you do anything ma'am,
2 4	did you do anything to eliminate the
25	noggibility of solf-horrowing by Brad

```
Page 138
                    JUDITH FINELL
1
2
    Paisley in the Paisley "Remind Me"?
 3
                MR. SANDERS: Same objection.
 4
         Α.
                The only thing that I would be
5
    able to do is compare it to his earlier
6
    works.
             So in that sense, no, I did not.
7
                (Off the record.)
8
                (Time noted: 12:34 p.m.)
                (Lunch recess taken.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	·
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	(Time noted: 1:38 p.m.)
4	
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. HARVEY (Continued):
6	Q. Ms. Finell, we are back after
7	the lunch break.
8	Are you ready to get started?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. If a composer never heard the
11	music of a song that is allegedly
12	infringed, is copying possible?
13	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
14	form.
15	Q. Let me straighten that out. If
16	a composer never heard the melody of an
17	allegedly infringed song, is copying
18	possible?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
20	form.
21	A. It depends on what might be
22	copied. The melody is only one element.
23	Q. Okay. Then let me put it this
24	way. If the composer never heard the
25	melody of an allegedly infringed song, is

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	copying of the melody possible?
3	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
4	form.
5	A. No, I wouldn't be maybe it
6	wouldn't be possible to copy that melody,
7	but maybe both melodies relate to
8	something else. It's really just in
9	theory, but it would be unlikely. I will
10	say it that way.
11	Q. So both melodies may have been
12	copied from some prior work, correct?
13	A. I am just talking in the
14	abstract, but could be, yeah.
15	Q. Assume, if you will, that none
16	of the melodic similarities in the
17	Paisley work are the result of copying;
18	what does that do to your opinions?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
2 0	form.
21	A. Excuse me, can you explain what
2 2	you mean, please.
2 3	Q. I can restate it.
2 4	A. Thank you.
2 5	O T don't holione that the

	rage 141
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	question requires an explanation.
3	Assume that none of the melodic
4	similarities are the result of copying;
5	what does that do to your opinions in
6	your report?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
8	form.
9	A. The similarities would still be
10	there. It wouldn't change the
11	similarities. I might not know the
12	source or the reason driving the
13	similarities, but it wouldn't change the
1 4	finding of similarity at all.
15	Q. Would it change your finding of
16	copying?
17	A. I didn't find copying. I found
18	similarities.
19	Q. In your preliminary report that
20	you prepared in March of 2013, or at
21	least it's dated March of 2013 that we've
2 2	seen for the first time today, prior to
2 3	that report being prepared and finalized,

24

25

how many times had you listened to the

Paisley and the Connor songs?

_	ı
7	ŀ
_	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDITH FINELL

- A. I don't remember. It was too long ago.
- Q. Well, more than 10, more than 5 20?
 - A. Before I wrote my preliminary report, I don't know. It takes many listenings to transcribe music, so I don't know really how many are required.
 - Q. Well, in your career as a musicologist, many is more than 10, more than 20, in order to prepare a preliminary report that you did in this case?
 - A. It's probably somewhere between 10 and 20. Not the entirety of the songs, but portions that I had already isolated as similar.
 - Q. So you listened to either all or portions of both songs, somewhere between 10 and 20 times, before you prepared the preliminary report?
- A. Yes, but in that process, a
 transcription is being prepared. So then
 I start to rely on my transcriptions

	Page 143
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	instead of the listening process.
3	Q. If you will, take a look at
4	your preliminary report, and tell me if
5	the term appoggiatura is found in that
6	report?
7	A. It is not.
8	Q. Would you agree that it is
9	possible that there are some elements
10	that you have said that are similar
11	between the Connor work and the Paisley
12	work that are, in fact, contained in
13	Paisley works written prior to Connor's
14	2008 song?
15	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
16	A. I would just be speculating. I
17	don't know any other Paisley works.
18	Q. You don't know because you
19	didn't look?
20	A. I am not I wasn't asked to
21	look and I am not aware of them.
22	If you gave me the titles, I
23	may have heard some of them. But I don't

25

have a diskography of Mr. Paisley's work

available to me in this meeting today.

1	JUD	Ι	Т	H
---	-----	---	---	---

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Would you agree that it's possible that some elements that you said are similar between Paisley and Connor are, in fact, contained in other Paisley songs written in 2008?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

FINELL

- A. Yes, it's possible.
- Q. Can you tell me how the Connor song is structured?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. Yes. It's an alternation of verse and three choruses. It has a bridge, a very short bridge section, also before the last chorus.
- Q. I am going to hand you a set of lyrics that has the Connor "Remind Me" on the left side and the Paisley "Remind Me" on the right side.

MR. HARVEY: And I will ask the court reporter to mark that as the next Exhibit, please.

[The side by side comparison of the lyrics of Connor and Paisley songs, was hereby marked as

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Defendants' Exhibit 5 for
3	identification, as of this date.]
4	Q. Now, in your notebook, you have
5	a copy of the lyrics for Connor "Remind
6	Me" that she had prepared and supplied to
7	you by her counsel, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you have a set of lyrics
10	in, that were prepared by someone about
11	the Paisley "Remind Me" in your notebook,
12	correct?
13	A. Yes. I don't remember who
14	prepared the "Remind Me" lyrics by
15	Connor.
16	It does, at the bottom, say
17	"Words and music by Lizza Connor." I
18	really don't know the source of that. I
19	was just sent this by the client.
2 0	Q. All right. And then, what you
21	have there for the lyrics of Paisley
2 2	"Remind Me," you're not sure who prepared
2 3	that, correct?

That's correct. I think it

24

25

tells right here lyrics mode. It gives a

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	source right in the midst of the lyrics
3	that I received.
4	Q. All right.
5	MR. HARVEY: And I don't think,
6	Mr. Sanders, that we got a copy of
7	that set of lyrics. So we'll get a
8	copy of that on a break.
9	MR. SANDERS: Okay.
10	Q. Anyway, let's start, please,
11	with what I had handed to you as Exhibit
12	number 5, which is a side by side of the
13	lyrics of Connor and Paisley's two songs.
14	So let me ask about the and
15	you've listened to the Connor song many
16	times.
17	So in terms of the Connor
18	structure, it starts with an intro,
19	correct?
2 0	A. There is a brief intro before
21	the words start; is that what you mean?
22	Q. Yes, ma'am.
23	A. It's been a while, but I
2 4	believe there is a short quitar intro.

Q.

25

Well, you listened

Page 147

JUDITH	FINELI
	JUDITH

- A. I would have to listen to it now to verify that.
- Q. You listened to the two songs in the last week, didn't you?
 - A. Mostly I studied my report.
- Q. Did you listen to the two songs in the last week?
- 9 A. Yes.

1

- 10 Q. Thank you. So in the Connor 11 song, starts with an intro, correct?
- A. I believe it does, but I would prefer to listen to it again if you're going to ask technical questions about it.
- Q. I'm not trying to trick you. I
 am asking you about a song that you've
 listened to in the last week.
- It starts with an intro and then leads into verse 1, correct?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. I am asking about the structure of the Connor song now, Ms. Finell.
- So you go from intro to verse

 1, and then to the first chorus, correct?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And then it goes to verse 2,
4	and the second chorus, correct?
5	A. I think there is the second
6	chorus, yes, you're right.
7	Q. And then there is a bridge in
8	her song?
9	A. In the Connor, mm-hmm.
L 0	Q. Yes. And then there is a
11	partial chorus at the end of the song?
12	A. Mm-hmm, yes.
13	Q. Is that correct?
14	Now, you made no musicological
15	conclusion that the Connor structure is
1 6	original or unique, did you?
17	A. No.
18	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
19	form.
2 0	Q. You made no musicological
21	conclusion that the Connor structure is
2 2	copyrightable, did you?
2 3	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
2 4	form. Legal conclusion.
2 5	A. There are structural elements

THE	T	ΤН	FIT	NE	T. T.
$\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}$	<i>)</i>	ιп	E 1	IN E	шш

to it that don't have to do with the alternation of verse and chorus that I did point out in my report. And those are structural, so -- and some of them are unusual.

But if you're talking about what you just recited as the alternation between verse and chorus, no, I did not give an opinion on that.

- Q. What structurally did you find to be unique or original about the Connor song?
 - MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. The way in which the chorus is composed of two side by side partner phrases and then -- I am sorry, not partners -- phrase sets, consecutively, and then for the sixth phrase of the same chorus, there is a partner phrase, which is the "Baby, remind me" phrase in Connor. And that's pretty consistent throughout Connor.
 - Q. So what you're referring to --
 - A. And that's structural in

JUDITH FINELL

2	n	а	t.	12	r	e	

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. So what you're referring to on the chorus is where she has two sentences or lines back to back, "All it takes is one kiss to remind me. All I need is your touch to remind me." Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you think that is a unique structural device that Ms. Connor invented?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
 - A. I haven't investigated. But I doubt that she invented it, but it is distinctive in her song.
 - Q. So you think there is a prior art that would show that other writers have used back-to-back uses of a title in sentences like that?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
 - A. I don't know if they used a title in sentences like that. But certainly, phrase A and B, B being a variation of A, is not unusual.
 - Q. Okay. And in Paisley, the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	phrases are not back to back, correct?
3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
4	Q. Excuse me, in Paisley, they are
5	not structured the same way as in Connor?
6	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
7	A. I see them as the same
8	function, but they are not immediately
9	consecutive.
10	I need to look at my report to
11	give you a little more detail on that, if
1.2	you would allow me.
13	Q. I am not here to ask you to
14	read your report, ma'am. Because you
15	read your report to prepare for the
16	deposition, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. But I would like to check
20	something that isn't clear in this
21	document.
22	Q. You want to check
2 3	A. About the structure.
24	Q. Let me proceed on, because I'm

not asking you questions about structure

1 JUDITH FINELL

I'm consistent in it.

2 on this.

report.

7

- Can you tell me how the Paisley
 song is structured?
- A. Yes. But I really would -- I would want to refer to my report so that
- Q. Well, let me ask you questions
 without you referring to your report, and
 then we can see if your memory and your
 testimony today is consistent with your
- So tell me the structure of the Paisley song?
- A. Well, the Paisley song is also an alternation of verses and choruses.
- 17 It also has an interlude,
- 18 instrumental interlude that isn't
- 19 contained in the Connor song.
- 20 It also has a long, fairly
- 21 lengthy introductory section.
- But if I could -- if I need
- 23 more detail, I did not memorize my
- 24 report. I wasn't told I needed to for
- 25 today.

JUDITH	FINELI
000	

- Q. Nobody told you you need to memorize your report, ma'am.
- So on the Paisley structure, if
- 5 you will, just walk through it with me.
- 6 It starts off with, you said, a lengthy
- 7 intro and then leads into verse 1?
- 8 A. I would like to look at the 9 sheet music. This is only words. I would need to look at the sheet music.
- 11 O. Sure.
- 12 A. It's not represented in this.
- Q. Feel free to look at what you annotated as Exhibit number 2, the sheet
- 15 music for the Paisley "Remind Me."
- 16 A. Thank you.
- Q. Let's have you look at Exhibit
- 18 2 that's marked so we have no
- 19 disagreement about what you're looking
- 20 at.

- A. I don't know where that is.
- 22 Thank you.
- Q. So the Paisley starts with a
- 24 lengthy intro and leads into verse 1?
- A. Lengthy is a relative term.

JUDITH	FINELI

- Q. Lengthy is the term you used a second ago.
- Do you agree it's a lengthy intro?
- A. You're right. It's basically eight bars.
- Q. Okay. Do you think that's lengthy or not?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to the
- form.

- A. It's more lengthy than the
 Connor introduction is, what I meant by
 lengthy.
- Q. Is it a significant structural device to use an eight bar intro for a country song?
- A. It's very common.
- Q. Common. So you have an intro that leads into verse 1, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then it goes to chorus 1,
- 23 verse 2, chorus 2?
- A. Chorus 1 begins on Page 2 of the sheet music. And then verse 2 begins

www.veritext.com

	1490 100
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	on Page 4 of the sheet music. Chorus 2
3	begins on Page 4 of the sheet music.
4	Q. And then after chorus 2, there
5	is a bridge?
6	A. I'm not sure if it's a bridge.
7	I didn't I did not I did mark an
8	interlude, if that's what you mean by a
9	bridge. I am not sure where you're
10	looking.
11	Q. And then there is a guitar
12	solo. Do you remember that from the
13	song?
1 4	A. No. I did not focus on that.
15	I don't remember.
16	But the interlude section has
1 7	some pretty pronounced instrumental parts
18	in it. But I really need to listen to it
19	again to answer that.
2 0	Q. On the Exhibit 5, and it's
21	recorded, the times on the song. So
22	we've got it goes from intro to verse

I don't know who wrote those.

Α.

23

24

25

1 to chorus 1, verse 2 to chorus 2, and

then a bridge and a guitar solo?

	Page 156
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	I have never seen this document.
3	(Off the record.)
4	Q. Ms. Finell, having listened to
5	the Paisley "Remind Me" song multiple
6	times, including a few times in the last
7	week, does the Connor song include a
8	guitar solo?
9	A. No, it doesn't.
10	Q. Does Paisley include a guitar
11	solo?
12	A. I believe it does. But I have
13	to listen. I note it's noted here on the
1 4	Exhibit 5, but I never used Exhibit 5 or
1 5	seen it before today.
1 6	So according to whoever
17	prepared that, there is one. That
18	doesn't mean I agree with that.
19	Q. Are you saying, Ms. Finell,
2 0	that having listened to the song multiple
21	times, you don't recall a Paisley guitar
2 2	solo in his song?
2 3	A. Well, I didn't note it, and I

25

don't recall whether or not there is one

in between, before chorus 3.

Connor, sorry, I was a little

Α.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	distracted, because I didn't understand
3	the word you used.
4	I thought you were talking
5	about Paisley. Connor does not. Not in
6	the copyright version of it.
7	Q. Not in the deposit copy that's
8	the subject of this lawsuit?
9	MR. WARNOCK: Can you ask that
10	again Robb, because I think the record
11	got jumbled up.
12	Q. Does the Connor song end with
13	an outro or coda?
14	A. I don't believe it does, no.
15	Q. It does not, does it?
16	A. I don't believe it does.
17	Q. Does the Paisley song end with
18	an outro or a coda?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Is that a significant
21	structural difference between the two
22	songs?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
24	A. It's a difference, but it
25	wouldn't impact their similarity, in my

Page 159

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	opinion.
3	Q. Because it's not similar,
4	right?
5	A. No. It wouldn't impact it
6	because I'm looking at the content that's
7	contained within all of those sections,
8	not whether or not they have sections.
9	Q. Because you're looking at
10	similarities, not differences, correct?
11	A. No, because I don't consider
12	the architecture of the song to be a
13	determining factor in two songs that are
14	written in a very common structure, by
15	itself.
16	Q. Did you make any musicological
17	conclusion that the Paisley structure is
18	unique or original?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
2 0	A. Not at all. I don't think it
21	is.
22	Q. Does Paisley fade out?
23	A. That's a recording question,
2 4	not an underlying musical question. It's
2 5	a matter of whether or not they soften

	-
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the sounds as it ends, and I don't
3	remember. But it wouldn't affect the
4	underlying music, whether it fades out or
5	not.
6	Q. On the deposit copy of Paisley
7	that you've listened to numerous times
8	and was provided a copy by counsel
9	MR. SANDERS: You mean Paisley
10	or Connor?
11	MR. HARVEY: Excuse me.
12	Q. On the deposit copy of Paisley
13	that was provided to you by Plaintiff's
14	counsel, does that end with a fade-out?
15	A. I don't recall. It wouldn't
16	have been relevant to my analysis at all.
17	I never said that anyone copied someone's
18	fade-out.
19	Q. Is the number of measures of
20	verses is the number of the measures
21	of verses different in the two songs?
22	A. I haven't counted them. But
23	the Paisley song is longer than the

Is the number of measures in

24

25

Connor song.

Q.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the chorus of Connor and Paisley
3	different?
4	A. I haven't compared them in
5	terms of how many bars they have.
6	Q. Because your focus was on the
7	music and lyrics "remind me"?
8	A. My focus was on what made them
9	resemble one another, and the number of
10	bars or other kinds of elements,
11	structural elements like that didn't
12	influence what rose, in my thoughts, in
13	terms of similarity.
14	Q. Is the number of measures
15	structural in musicology?
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	Q. And is the number of measures a
18	significant structural element?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
20	Q. In songs?
21	A. It's part of the architecture
22	of the song. It's like saying is the
23	number of chapters significant in a book,
2 4	but what matters is what's happening in
25	the chapters, not how many chapters there

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	are normally when you're comparing two
3	novels, for example.
4	Q. You have used the term "partner
5	phrase" several times.
6	Is there any academic
7	literature where the phrase "partner
8	phrase" is used that you can identify?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. The concept of phrases relating
11	to one another melodically or lyrically
12	or in some way one echoing another is a
13	common analytical observation. But the
1 4	partner, the use of that term, I am not
15	sure. I don't recall.
16	Q. The phrase "partner phrase," is
17	that something of your invention?
18	A. It's not my invention. But I
19	honestly don't know, I can't recall any
2 0	particular article I've read using that.
21	Q. Can you identify any
22	musicological literature that uses the
23	term "partner phrase"?
2 4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

Α.

25

I cannot recall.

1			JUDITH	FINELI
2	ο.	Ιn	Connor,	explai

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

- Q. In Connor, explain to me what it is you call the partner phrase?
- A. The partner phrase in Connor is the phrase that echos the hook, and actually is part of the hook, but it's the part that wherever the music uses the lyrics "Baby, remind me," it's the same in Paisley.
- Q. Paisley uses the three words
 "Baby, remind me," correct?
- A. It uses the same partner phrase words, yes.
 - Q. Ms. Finell, on the chorus of Connor, it reads "All it takes is one kiss to remind me"; correct, the first line of the chorus?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then it goes on to say "All I need is your touch to remind me,"

 21 correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then it skips three lines, and the sixth line is "Baby, remind me";
- 25 is that correct?

JUDITH FINELL

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. So the structure you're talking about is the use of the phrase "to remind me" in the first two lines and the phrase "Baby, remind me" in the sixth line of her chorus, right?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. Not exactly. It's "remind me" not "to remind me" in the first two phrases.
- And Connor's song always closes each chorus with the partner phrase "Baby, remind me." She does it in all three choruses.
- Q. Does Connor, in her song -- let me take that back.
- In her choruses, she's using the phrase "to remind me" as part of a line, correct, in the first and second lines of her choruses?
- A. I see the hook as "remind me"

 not "to remind me."
- Q. In the first two lines of her choruses, she's not saying "remind me" as

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	a command. She's saying "to remind me,"
3	as part of a line, correct?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
5	form.
6	A. Well, I am not a linguist, but
7	I would agree with you, that's correct.
8	Q. Are you claiming that tying the
9	word "baby" to the phrase "remind me" is
10	copying?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. I don't think I used the word
13	copying in any of my findings. But it is
14	similar. It is substantially similar, in
15	both the use of it and the way it's used,
16	the way it's partnered with the initial
17	statement of it in each case.
18	Q. In the Connor chorus, she
19	doesn't she uses "to remind me" in the
20	first and second lines of the chorus as a
21	prepositional phrase, correct?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
23	A. It's an affinitive. The "to
24	remind me, " it's an affinitive.
25	What's your question?

	rage 100
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. I am asking how she uses the
3	phrase "to remind me."
4	You say it's an affinitive and
5	not a prepositional phrase?
6	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
7	A. Well, it might be.
8	Again, I'm not I'm a
9	musicologist. And the words and music
10	combination is what I'm expert in. But I
11	am not here as a grammarian. I mean, I'm
12	not I don't know how far you'll take
13	this. But I am not here to analyze
14	grammar. And I didn't do it in my report
15	and I never have been asked to.
16	Q. I know you didn't do it in your
17	report.
18	A. Good.
19	Q. Tell me why you eliminated the
20	word "to" as part of the phrase "to
21	remind me" in the first and second lines
22	of Ms. Connor's chorus?
23	A. Because I see the hook as
24	"remind me " that that's what's being

And it's also the title of the

conveyed.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	song. But it conforms with the criteria
3	that is most normally seen in a hook
4	which is repetitious use related to
5	the
6	Q. In her choruses, the first and
7	second lines of the first and second
8	chorus, she's got "to remind me," "to
9	remind me." She ends both of those lines
10	with the phrase "to remind me," correct?
11	A. Where are you?
12	Q. Look at the first chorus.
13	A. Mm-hmm.
14	Q. She ends the first and second
15	lines with the phrase "to remind me,"
16	correct?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. In the second chorus, she ends
19	both lines with the phrase "to remind
20	me," correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And that's the repetitious use
23	using the phrase that you just used,
2 4	correct?
25	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

1			
J	-		

JUDITH FINELL

- A. I see it as just the words
 "remind me" not the "to." That's what
 stands out in my listening and analytical
 experience is "remind me." Not preceded
 by the word "to."
 - Q. So you ignored --
 - A. Although I do see the word there, of course.
 - Q. All right. Let's use your example of "Girls Just Want to Have Fun." And then you get repeated "fun, fun, fun." So, the repetitious use is "girls just want to have fun, girls just want to have fun," correct?
 - A. Well, I haven't analyzed that entire Cyndi Lauper song, but if you did just separate the word fun, then you would have to look at the T-Bird song by the Beach Boys, where they sing "fun, fun, fun till her daddy takes your T-Bird" -- I mean there would be some kind of accusation if you just separated out one word like that.
 - Q. So if you just took one word,

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	there might be an accusation of copying,
3	correct?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
5	A. It could trigger that, is what
6	I'm saying.
7	Q. And if you
8	A. But if it can't be taken
9	necessarily out of that context, it would
LO	probably not be accurate.
L1	But I am just saying, your
L 2	question was such that the parallel would
L 3	be to remove one word like that.
L 4	Q. You took the phrase "remind me"
L 5	out of the context of the first line of
L 6	the chorus, right? The chorus says "All
L 7	it takes is one kiss to remind me." And
L 8	you focused on a discrete two-word
L 9	section?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
21	Q. Right?
22	A. That is, that is the anchor of
23	the song, the "remind me." You hear it

To me, that is the hook

in the chorus.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	of the song. It's not the whole phrase.
3	And it changes from one phrase to
4	another. What stays is "remind me." The
5	words change from "All it takes is one
6	kiss. All I need is your touch," et
7	cetera. Those all change. What doesn't
8	vary is "remind me."
9	Q. And in your transcriptions in
LO	your full report, you didn't transcribe
11	any of the words leading up to the phrase
L 2	"to remind me" and you did not include
L3	the word "to"?
L 4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 5	A. No, I did not.
L 6	Q. So again, your transcriptions
L 7	are focused on the words "remind me" and
L 8	then adding the word "Baby" to it,
L 9	correct?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
21	A. Well, not only. They're also
22	focused on the shared use of the
23	appoggiatura, the shared descending minor
2 4	third and perfect fourth in both songs,

25

and many other characteristics that they

т	TT	\mathbf{r}	I	m	н	177	T	NT	F.	т	т
u	u	IJ		1	п	Ľ	1	IA	Ľ.	ட	ىد

share. And the leap up from the first phrase to the second phrase, where they each leap up one scale step, the second time that "remind me" is repeated in both songs, they do that the same way.

There is a lot of similarities besides just the words. But the words definitely are one of the important similarities, yes.

Q. We'll get into that.

Would you -- what about the words "Oh, baby, remind me, yeah," that phrase appears nowhere in the Connor song, does it?

- A. What was the phrase again?
- Q. "Oh, baby, remind me, yeah."
- A. "Baby, remind me" does, but not the "Oh" and not the "yeah."
 - Q. And in the transcriptions that you did of the Paisley song, where there were additional words other than "remind me" or "baby," you didn't transcribe any of those other words, did you?
 - A. I don't understand what part

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	you're referring to.
3	Q. Well, if you look, for example,
4	at the outro of the Paisley song where
5	Carrie Underwood sings "Oh, oh, baby,
6	remind me, baby, remind me, yeah, " you
7	did not transcribe that line, did you?
8	A. I transcribed the "Baby, remind
9	me" part in my index of iterations.
10	Q. And you excluded the "Oh, oh,"
11	and the ending word "yeah," correct?
12	A. Right, that wasn't relevant.
13	Q. And you concluded it wasn't
14	relevant because it didn't appear in the
15	Connor song, correct?
16	A. It wasn't relevant to the
17	comparison process.
18	Q. It wasn't relevant to the
19	comparison process because those
20	additional words in the Paisley song were
21	not included in the Connor song, correct?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
23	A. It wasn't relevant to the
24	reasons why they sounded similar to me.

Q.

25

Why?

-		
_		

JUDITH FINELL

- A. Because that part differs.

 That's not what made them sound related to each other. And I was exploring and trying to investigate what made them sound similar to each other. And what made them sound similar was their selection, their creative choices that they shared in using "remind me," and the way they set that specifically to music.
 - Q. Isn't "Oh, oh" and "yeah," both of which is contained in the outro of the Paisley song, creative choices?
 - A. It might be, but it wasn't relevant to comparing it to Connor.
 - Q. Ms. Finell, do you understand that the words "Oh, oh" and "yeah" are not included in Connor. So is it accurate that when you were forming your opinions, you looked specifically for an identical word in the two songs, the phrase "remind me" and the phrase "Baby, remind me," correct?

 ${\sf MR.\ SANDERS:\ Object\ to\ the}$ form.

JUDITH	FINELL

- A. I think you're mischaracterizing, if you don't mind me saying so.
 - Q. Well, I do, but I'm here to get your testimony.
 - A. I didn't cherry-pick. I found what sounded similar between them that they shared. And in both cases those were really anchors of the song.

In the Paisley song, that occurs 19 times. That's over a quarter of the song in terms of the time that it occupies out of the four minute plus song.

And in the other song, it occurs nine times. So it's the single most repeated characteristic that the two songs contain. And they're the same, or they are the same in the ways that I pointed out they're being the same.

They do have differences, but what really isn't unusual is that they both vary their melodies that sing those words "remind me." And that even is a

1	JUDITH	FINELL
---	--------	--------

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- creative choice, to not have the same refrain always come back with the same 3 melody every time the words are the same. 5 That's even a creative choice.
 - But using other words, like "Oh, yeah" or, you had just mentioned, that wouldn't, that wouldn't influence what sounded similar about them to me.
 - It wouldn't influence what would be similar to you because they are different, correct?
 - Α. In my assessment, that wasn't relevant.
 - What I was trying to do was determine what relationship the two songs had to each other. And that's what I discovered.
 - Okay. In your assessment, the use of the phrase "Oh, oh" or "yeah" added in or around "Baby, remind me" were different between the two songs, correct?
 - Α. They were pretty irrelevant. mean, that's very common. There are millions of songs that use "Yeah" and

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	"Oh, oh" and those kinds of explanations.
3	What matters really are the key
4	words of the phrase. And the key words
5	were the same.
6	And they also varied in the
7	same way by adding the word "Baby" before
8	the key words "Baby, remind me."
9	Q. Is the use of the word "baby"
10	in music commonplace, Ms. Finell?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. By itself, it isn't. But it
13	was set in the same way. It was really a
1 4	combination of the similarities that
15	convinced me that this was similar.
16	Q. Is "Baby, remind me" different
17	from "Remind me, baby"?
18	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
19	A. I don't know. It would depend
20	if it was in a song, it would depend
21	on what notes were sung and their
22	rhythms. It could be very different.
23	Q. It could be very different.
2 1	Doog

Not in meaning, but perhaps

Α.

JUDITH FINELL

2 musically.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Does concluding substantial similarity between two songs justify excluding lyrics that are not common between the two songs?

MR. SANDERS: Object to the form. Legal conclusion.

- A. The job of the musicologist is to separate the -- and evaluate the nature of the similarities, how -- if they are generic or distinctive, and their importance, their commonality.
- Q. In this case, you chose to exclude certain lyrics in making your substantial similarity analysis, correct?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. I didn't choose to exclude those lyrics. But in the process of analyzing them, yes, I focused on the lyrics that I found to be similar that were important to both songs.
- Q. Is the methodology of electing to exclude certain lyrics a practice among other musicologists?

	Page 178
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
3	A. It's not just lyrics. It could
4	be anything. It could be melody. It
5	could be harmony.
6	Q. Let's focus on my question,
7	please.
8	Is the practice of electing to
9	exclude lyrics that are not similar, not
L O	common between two songs, a practice
L 1	that's common among musicologists?
L 2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 3	A. In ways, yes. A musicologist

- is trained to assess the levels of importance of various material. And if you're comparing two works, you do focus on what is similar between them. the nature of comparative analysis.
- Don't musicologists, in Q. conducting an analysis, analyze both similarities and dissimilarities?
- Α. Yes. They separate them out. But then at some point, if they feel there is a similarity, as I pointed out in my both reports, the substantial

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	similarity is between the hooks of the
3	songs. I don't look at, you know,
4	everything.
5	I look at what seems to be
6	driving my conclusion that they're
7	similar or not. In this case, it was the
8	hooks.
9	Q. You have used the word melisma
10	in your report.
11	Is melisma a commonplace device
12	in songwriting?
13	A. As a device, it's common. But
14	how it's used in different pieces of
15	music would determine whether or not one
16	piece of music was similar to another.
17	Not just the use of the melisma, but how
18	it's used.
19	Q. In your report at Page 47,
2 0	excuse me, at page excuse me.
21	In your report at paragraph 47,
22	you state that "There are individual
2 3	differences in the melodic, harmonic or
2 4	structural material."

Would you please tell us and

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	list them out for us what the differences
3	in structural material are?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
5	Q. Between the Paisley and Connor
6	songs?
7	A. I don't have a list, but the
8	reason why I said this in paragraph 47 is
9	because I'm acknowledging that their
10	structures are not identical, but that
11	what I am saying is it doesn't influence
12	the similarities that I found.
13	Q. In your report, you did not
14	list out differences in the structure of
15	the two songs, correct?
16	A. No. It didn't seem relevant.
L 7	Q. So tell me, please, everything
18	that you can think of that are
19	differences in the structural material
20	between the two songs?
21	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
22	A. Well, we went over this before.
2 3	The Paisley song has a lengthier
	introductors continu Th bas as

interlude section. It has a closing

CIIT.	тти	FINELL
עטט	T T II	CINCLL

- section that's more complex, and has some instrumental in the recording, which is different from the underlying song. But the recording, at least, has some instrumental materials that aren't found in a similar location in Connor.
- Connor is a simpler structure and a shorter song. But the overall structure of two musical compositions, unless there is something extremely unusual about it that appears to have been copied, in general, the structure of the overall architecture of a piece of music and the way it's organized is not what I normally focus on.
- Q. So the overall structure of Paisley and Connor is not substantially similar?
 - A. It's similar --
 - MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. In their alternations in choruses and verses.
- Q. And you've agree there is nothing unique or original about that,

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

	1490 101
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	correct?
3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
4	A. Not with that, but what I did
5	notice that within each section, there
6	are similarities of phrase sets and
7	partner phrases. And that's part
8	should I keep going?
9	Q. Please do.
10	A. And that's part of the
11	structure, as well.
12	Q. Let me ask you about harmonic
13	issues.
L 4	Do you know what key Connor is
15	recorded in?
16	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
17	A. You asked me that before. I
18	believe I stated in my report, but I
L 9	don't remember without having this.
2 0	Q. Now, in keeping with
21	musicological practices, is it correct
22	that upper case Roman numerals are used
23	to denote major cords?
2 4	A. It varies in methodology, but
ס ג	thatle one way of doing that

	1490 100
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Is that a practice that you use
3	as a musicologist?
4	A. I have been taught to use it.
5	It varies. But it's one way of
6	communicating.
7	Q. And as a musicological
8	practice, lower case Roman numerals are
9	used to denote minor cords?
10	A. Usually.
11	Q. And as a matter of practice,
L 2	that's generally what you do?
L 3	A. Sometimes. That's a way of
L 4	denoting the function of the cord.
L 5	Q. You did not chart out the cords
L 6	in the Connor song, did you?
L 7	A. No.
L 8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 9	Q. You did not chart out the cords
20	in the Paisley form?
21	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
22	A. Mostly that was done by the
23	sheet music. It was accurate enough for
) A	a frame of reference

But I didn't -- I did not find

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	that the cords, similarities or
3	differences between the cords were
4	relevant to the similarities that I found
5	between the songs.
6	Q. You did not find substantial
7	similarity between the cord structure of
8	the Paisley song and the Connor song?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. I didn't develop an opinion on
11	whether or not they were similar nor did
12	I claim that they were. That's not
13	really what I focused on in this
L 4	comparison.
15	Q. You focused on giving the
L 6	Plaintiff as many similarities as you
L 7	could, correct?
L 8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 9	A. No. No. That's not correct at
2 0	all.
21	Q. Did you, in your report, list
22	any harmonic similarities between Connor
23	and Paisley?

Well, the appoggiatura is

Α.

24

25

partially a harmonic. It creates a

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	dissonance. That's a harmonic concept.
3	Q. Did you chart out the cords in
4	which you claim the appoggiaturas exist?
5	A. No, I did not. But I heard
6	them, and that's how I determined that
7	they were appoggiaturas.
8	Q. Did you chart out the cord
9	progressions in either song?
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. They were mostly provided by
12	the sheet music.
13	Q. You don't have any sheet music
14	for Connor, do you?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did you make the determination
17	whether the cord progressions in Paisley
18	and Connor were similar or different?
19	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
20	A. They were similar in style.
21	But they weren't unique enough in either
22	song to warrant looking further. That is
23	not what made them sound the same.
24	Q. So they were similar in style,

but they weren't the same, correct?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
3	A. I do not know the answer to
4	that. I haven't conducted that study.
5	Q. So as part of your analysis,
6	you did no analysis to determine whether
7	cord progressions are similar or
8	different, correct?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. They didn't seem remarkable.
11	And so I did not focus on the cord
12	progressions.
13	Q. Ms. Finell, as part of your
14	analysis, you did no analysis to
15	determine whether cord progressions in
16	the Connor and Paisley were similar or
17	different, correct?
18	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
19	A. No, I did not.
2 0	Q. With the exception of the
21	bridge, do you know what the major
22	strike that.
23	Can you tell me what harmonic
2 4	rhythm is?
25	A. Yes. Harmonic rhythm describes
- 1	

1	JUDITH	FINELT.
		*

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- the rhythmic pace at which the cords, cord progressions change from one cord to the next. So if a cord, for example, is held for two or three beats before there is a new cord, the two or three beats would be part of the harmonic rhythm. It means how long the cord is sustained before the next cord.
 - Q. In doing your musicological work in this case, did you analyze the harmonic rhythm in Connor?
 - A. In terms of the strumming, is that what you're talking about? I don't understand.
 - Q. In terms of the definition that just used of harmonic rhythm, did you conduct that analysis about the Connor song?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you conduct an harmonic rhythm analysis about the Paisley song?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Do you even know what it is for either song?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. I don't care what it is for
3	either song.
4	Q. In your report at paragraph 47
5	on Page 26, you say that "There are
6	differences in harmonic material."
7	I want you to please list out
8	all the differences in harmonic material
9	between Connor and Paisley.
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. I did not mean that when I said
12	that.
13	Q. You didn't mean that?
14	A. I did not mean it the way you
15	just interpreted it.
16	Q. All right. Your sentence there
17	ends "and this similarity overrides any
18	individual differences in the melodic,
19	harmonic or structural material."
20	And by that I mean between the
21	two songs, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. So tell me what the harmonic
24	differences are between Connor and
25	Paisley?

JUDITH FINELL
MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
A. What I meant in that sentence
is that whatever the differences are,
they are not as pertinent as the
similarities. That's what I was
conveying there.
It is not that I listed the
differences. It's that I acknowledge
that there were some differences,
probably, as would be in any two songs,
especially of different lengths.
And despite those differences,
the similarities overrode that.
Q. What harmonic differences do
you acknowledge being in the two songs,
Connor and Paisley?
A. They are not identical to one
another, but I did not do that analysis.
So I can't tell you.
But I know that the
similarities are stronger than any
harmonic deviations they have.
Q. I understand that that's what

you want to say.

	Page 190
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	I am asking you, as a
3	musicologist, having listened to these
4	two songs dozens of times, what the
5	differences are harmonically?
6	A. It's not something I can sit
7	here and recite
8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
9	A like that, by memory,
10	without really analyzing it.
11	Q. And because you did not find
12	harmonic similarities, you did not
13	include those in your report?
14	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
15	A. The harmonic similarities which
16	were probably also present were not what
17	I focused on.
18	Q. Just
19	A. Even the dissimilarities or the
20	similarities. I didn't focus on it,
21	period.
22	Q. For the harmonic differences
23	that you did find, just tell me which

I don't -- I did not analyze

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the harmonies. I did not analyze for
3	similarities and differences, either. I
4	did not feel it was relevant.
5	MR. WARNOCK: Do you want to
6	take a break?
7	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
8	(Off the record.)
9	Q. Ms. Finell, we are back after
10	the break.
11	Let me ask you to look at
12	Exhibit 5, which is side by side the
13	lyrics of the Connor and Paisley songs.
14	And let's look at the first
15	chorus. Ms. Connor's song starts with
16	the line "All it takes is one kiss to
17	remind me. " Correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And that line does not appear
20	in the Paisley chorus or anyplace else,
21	correct?
22	A. Well, there is the word kiss
23	there, "The way I used to kiss your neck"
24	in chorus 1, the second line.
25	Q. Does the phrase "All it takes

1	TIDIMU	FINELI
Τ.	UUDIIR	в тиртт

- 2 is one kiss to remind me" appear in the 3 Paisley song?
- A. The exact phrase, no.
- Q. The second line of the Connor chorus is "All I need is your touch to remind me."
 - Does that phrase appear anywhere in the Paisley song?
- 10 A. No.

8

- Q. Then if you look at the Connor chorus, it jumps three lines and ends with what you characterize as the partner phrase "Baby, remind me." Correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Look at that first chorus on Paisley, there is no "Baby, remind me" in
- 18 there, is there?
- A. No, not here.
- 20 May I look at the sheet music
- 21 for a minute?
- Q. If you need to.
- A. Thank you.
- 24 (Witness reviews document.)
- A. Okay, the answer is no.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Is 4/4 meter a basic
3	foundational musical building block?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
5	form.
6	A. It's common. It's called
7	common meter.
8	Q. What's the tempo of the Connor
9	song?
10	A. You mean how many beats per
11	minute does it have.
12	Q. You tell me what tempo is.
13	A. Tempo describes the pace in a
14	recording as it's described by beats per
15	minute. Otherwise it could be, it could
16	be shown by a metronome marking or
17	various musical words that indicate the
18	pacing, whether it's fast, slow, or
19	someplace in between.
20	Q. Okay. What's the tempo of the
21	Connor song?
22	A. I didn't measure the beats per
23	minute. It's on the slower side.
2 4	Q. What's the tempo of the Paisley
25	song?

516-608-2400

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. It's sort of medium. I hate to
3	use terms like that without giving
4	precise. But I didn't measure them in
5	that way.
6	Q. Okay. So Connor's slower.
7	Paisley is medium?
8	A. Yeah. And that's a recording
9	element. It can be a performance
10	element. It's somewhat interpretive on
11	the part of the musicians.
12	Q. Is the overall rhythmic feel in
13	the two songs different?
14	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
15	A. Rhythm is not the same as
16	tempo. I don't are you relating this
17	to the tempo question?
18	Q. Why don't you tell me what, how
19	you characterize rhythm or define rhythm?
20	A. Rhythm describes the duration
21	of musical material in this case or in
2 2	musical cases.
23	In other words, the length of

25

time, usually in beats, that one

particular note or cord is sustained

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	before the next occurrence of the same
3	thing, whether it's an individual note or
4	a cord, which is a series of notes
5	together.
6	Q. Is the rhythm of the in the
7	recording of Connor that you listened to,
8	it had a guitar, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And the recording you listened
11	to of Paisley had more instrumental
12	parts, correct?
13	A. Do you mean parts as in
14	sections?
15	Q. It had more instruments playing
16	on the recording that you listened to?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. And is the rhythm of the guitar
19	in Connor different than the rhythm of
20	the instrumental parts in Paisley?
21	A. I didn't analyze that. In
22	order to do that, I would have to
23	transcribe the entire accompaniments of
2 4	all the instruments, because in Paislev

there are multiple instruments playing

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	all at the same time. And each one has
3	its own rhythm.
4	And in Connor, it's a single
5	accompaniment, which is the guitar.
6	Q. And you did not do so, because
7	you determined, in your mind, that they
8	were not sufficiently similar to merit
9	transcription?
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. No, that is not why I did it.
12	I did not think that it was relevant to
13	the reasons why I thought the song
14	sounded similar to each other.
15	Q. Is the melodic rhythm in the
16	vocals of Connor and Paisley different?
17	A. What portion? You're talking
18	about a four minute song.
19	Q. Let's say the chorus. Is the
20	melodic rhythm in the vocals of the
21	chorus of Connor and Paisley different?
22	A. I would have to you would
2 3	have to be more specific. Which phrase?
2 4	There are many phrases.

So, I mean, I can't generalize

1	JUDITH FINELI	r
	JUDITH FINELI	L

- 2 over that many notes.
- Q. You can't generalize over a chorus, ma'am?
- 5 A. It's not --
- 6 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- 7 A. It's not a valid question about 8 rhythm.
- 9 Q. Ms. Finell, let's look at the 10 first choruses in both Paisley and 11 Connor, if you will, please, on Exhibit 12 5.
 - And can you compare line by
 line what the rhythm is in each of the
 lines? Can you compare the melody to
 which the words have been said line by
 line in the first chorus in Paisley and
 Connor?
- MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. I haven't transcribed the full melody of either song, so I cannot do that here, sitting here, without having done that first.
- Q. So a transcription of the entire choruses would be required in

13

14

15

16

17

1	JUDITH	FINELL

- order to draw a comparison between the entirety of the two choruses, correct?
- A. In order to draw a comparison of the recording of the two choruses, yeah.
 - Q. No, ma'am. In order to draw any similarities or differences in the compositions embodied in the choruses -- excuse me, the composition embodied in the recordings?
 - A. Well, as far as I know, there is nothing that preceded the Connor recording. There wasn't a handwritten piece of sheet music that -- the recording is the first existence of the song, as far as I know.

Is that what you're asking?

- Q. Wouldn't your transcription of the chorus, in fact, create the -- wouldn't your transcription, in fact, create the composition embodied in the chorus of Connor?
- A. A transcription is of something that's heard. So in this case, it would

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

embody the recording. So to the extent that the recording represents the composition, yes. But I don't really know if there were other versions that aren't heard in the recording. All that a transcription does is record what is heard.

- Q. Okay. Does the transcription represent the composition embodied in the recording?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you agree that setting a note on the beat -- well, first of all, can you tell me as a musicologist what setting a note on the beat means?
 - A. Well, beats are divided into -are subdivided, for example, in 4/4 time.
 There are four primary beats, but each of
 those can be subdivided into halves.

In other words, instead of -every beat would be equivalent of a
quarter note, which would mean it's a
quarter of the measure, in essence, so
four beats equals one measure in 4/4

l	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDITH FINELL

2 time.

You can subdivide that into 8th notes. So that you have two notes per beat, each equidistant from one another.

- Q. And then you can further subdivide into 16ths and 32nds and so on, on to nearly infinity or as fast as someone's fingers or tongue could go?
- A. Well, in musical culture, but after about 64th notes, that's about as far as I go, or western music usually stops somewhere around there.
- Q. Do you agree that setting a note on the beat is rhythmically different than setting a note off of the beat?
- A. To some extent it is, but it depends on how far off the beat and what the context is.
 - But, yes, on the beat is different from off the beat. But if it is still on the same beat, then it is not very far off.
- Q. You've identified that there

- 2 are nine iterations of "remind" sung in
- 3 the Connor song, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in each of the iterations,
- 6 based on your transcriptions, isn't it
- 7 true that the second syllable "mind"
- 8 lands on the downbeat each time?
- 9 A. I would have to look at my
- 10 iteration --
- Q. Please do.
- 12 A. -- index.
- Well, iteration 9 and 10 do
- 14 not.
- 15 Q. Tell us where you're looking.
- A. Wait a minute, I am looking at
- 17 the wrong section.
- 18 Q. You're looking at the demo
- 19 copy, aren't you?
- 20 A. Exhibit B, yes. That was a
- 21 mistake. I'll look at the correct one.
- 22 Exhibit A is the one I should
- 23 have been looking at.
- Excuse me, could you repeat the
- 25 question, please?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Of the nine iterations of the
3	word "remind" sung in the Connor song,
4	isn't it true that the second syllable
5	"mind" lands on the downbeat each time?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. WARNOCK: Sorry, I didn't
8	hear where we were. What page?
9	MR. HARVEY: Exhibit A of her
10	report.
11	THE WITNESS: It's Page 27.
12	Q. And that's a creative choice,
13	correct?
14	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you're not claiming that
17	that's unique to Ms. Connor?
18	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
19	A. I don't know if it's unique to
2 0	Ms. Connor, but it is a creative choice.
21	Q. You don't know if it's unique
22	to Ms. Connor because you haven't looked,
2 3	correct?
24	A. In my experience. I am not

aware of another song that has the second

	-
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	half of the word "remind" landing on the
3	downbeat. That would take investigation
4	to find. I don't know if it's there or
5	not.
6	Q. That would require a prior art
7	search that was not conducted in this
8	case, correct?
9	A. That's correct.
LO	Q. And did you consider whether
11	other songs prior to 2008 had "mind" on
12	the downbeat for the word "remind"?
L 3	A. You mean in isolation?
L4	Q. Yes, ma'am.
L 5	A. No. I don't search in prior
L 6	art in that level of dissection.
L 7	Q. If they did, if there was prior
L 8	art that had the word had the syllable
L 9	"mind" on the downbeat, would you agree
20	that that's not unique?
21	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
22	A. I might. But there are so many
23	other similarities. I wouldn't isolate

25

the, a half of a word as being the

turning point of whether or not two

-		

JUDITH FINELL

- similarities are important or not. I mean, there are the others. But it's possible that some piece of music somewhere places the word "mind" as part of a fuller word "remind" on the downbeat.
- Q. In your report on Page 2, one of your conclusions is the lyrics, the phrase "remind me" and "Baby, remind me" are repeated throughout the songs always with varying melodies.

Do you see that in your report?

- A. Are you in paragraph 6?
- Q. Yes, I am. In paragraph 6 of your report you state "The lyrics 'remind me' and 'Baby, remind me' are repeated throughout the songs always with varying melodies."
- A. I don't see the "Baby, remind me" part. I must be looking at a different part of my report. In paragraph 6 it just talks about "remind me."
 - Q. Okay. Excuse me. So the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	lyrics you're talking about being
3	repeated throughout the songs always with
4	varying melodies are the words "remind
5	me"?
6	A. That's right.
7	Q. Not the words "Baby, remind
8	me"?
9	A. "Baby, remind me" is part of
10	the relationship, but it's the "remind
11	me" part that I was talking about there.
12	Q. So you will agree that there
13	are no identical melodies between Connor
14	and Paisley about the words "remind me"?
15	A. There are identical features
16	between them, but not and they do have
17	some, and they have some scale degrees
18	and rhythms in common, but they are not
19	identical in every aspect, no.
20	Q. My question, Ms. Finell, and I
21	think you understand this, you will
22	agree, there are no identical melodies
23	between Connor and Paisley that include
2 4	the lyrics "remind me"?

25

That's correct.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. And the only identical lyrical
3	similarities between these two songs are
4	the use of the phrases "remind me" and
5	"Baby, remind me," correct?
6	A. Well, as I said, there are
7	other words that are also scattered
8	between the songs. I think kiss. And I
9	believe neck. There are some other
10	isolated words.
11	But what makes them sound
12	similar is the shared use of "remind me"
13	and the partner phrase "Baby, remind me."
14	Q. You're not claiming there is
15	some copying about the use of the word
16	"kiss," are you?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
18	A. No, I'm not.
19	Q. In your report, at paragraph
20	47, you state that there are differences
21	in melodic material.

Can you please tell us what the

Object to form.

22

23

24

25

differences in the melodic material are

between Connor and Paisley?

MR. SANDERS:

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. My answer is the same as it was
3	for harmony. Didn't itemize every
4	melodic difference. But what I was
5	saying is the similarity is what is
6	prevalent over melodic dissimilarities,
7	in my opinion.
8	Q. So you didn't draw any
9	comparison about harmony, correct?
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. I thought you were asking me
12	about melody.
13	Q. I am asking that. You didn't
14	draw any comparison about harmony,
15	correct?
16	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
17	A. I compared it and listened to
18	it, but I didn't choose to focus on it.
19	Nor did I discuss it.
20	Q. So you didn't focus on
21	differences in melody, correct?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
23	A. No, I did. I acknowledged that
24	there are differences in the melody

throughout my report.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. So tell me the differences in
3	the melody between Connor and Paisley,
4	please.
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
6	form.
7	A. I would have to go through
8	every single iteration to do that.
9	Do you want me to?
10	Q. Mr. Finell, in your report, you
11	don't tell us what differences there are.
12	I am asking you if you can tell
13	us what differences you identified
14	between Connor and Paisley?
15	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
16	form.
17	A. The main point in my report is
18	that in both Paisley and Connor, they do
19	not use one singular melody every time
20	they use the hook "remind me." In fact,
21	what makes it coherent is the hook itself
22	because the melody doesn't recur.
23	In Paisley, out of the 19
24	iterations, there are 16 versions of the
2 E	hook molody over to "mominal mo"

1	TILD T MIL	
Т :	JUDITH	FINELI

- In Connor, out of nine, there
 are five versions of the melody. They
 vary.
 - And actually, Paisley is much closer to itself in its variations, because it has certain characteristics that recur in every single iteration.
- And those similarities do reflect in Connor's song, too.
 - But as I say, they each are unusual in that characteristic, that they don't have one melody that returns every time the hook lyrics return.
- Q. In your Exhibit A to your
 report, Page 27, you have iterations of
 "Remind Me."
- Is it correct that the melody

 19 set to "Remind Me" is the same in al and
 20 a4?
- 21 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

- Q. Is it correct that the melody
 is the same, not varied in a2 and a5?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it correct that the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	melody set to "Remind Me" is the same and
3	not varied in a3, a6 and a9?
4	A. Wait. a3, a6?
5	Q. And a9.
6	A. a6 is a little bit different
7	rhythmically, so it is varied.
8	And a9 is the same rhythmically
9	as a6, but not the same rhythmically as
10	a3.
11	Q. I understand you're talking
12	about rhythmically.
13	Look at the words "remind me."
14	Isn't the melody set for "remind me" the
15	same for a3, a6 and a9?
16	A. Not the whole melody, but just
17	the part that has the words "remind me,"
18	not "baby"; is that what you're saying?
19	Q. Yes, ma'am.
20	A. The ending rhythm in a3 is a
21	tied is for "Remind Me" is an 8th
22	followed by two 8ths, followed by a half
23	note tied to an 8th note, that's a3.
24	a6 is, for "Remind Me" is an
25	8th, two 8ths and a dotted half note.

	Page 211
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A what was the other one you
3	asked me about?
4	Q. a9.
5	A. a9 is the same rhythm as a6.
6	Q. That contradicts the "always"
7	in paragraph 6 of your report, doesn't
8	it?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. What paragraph, please?
11	Q. Doesn't the answer that you
12	just gave me contradict the word "always"
13	that you used in paragraph 6 of your
14	report?
15	A. That's taken no, I mean they
16	continue to change the melody. It's not
17	the same over and over again, as it is in
18	most hooks in most popular songs. That's
19	what I meant. That's different than most
20	popular songs.
21	Q. Tell me what you mean when you
22	say it's "different than most popular
23	songs"?
) / C	A Most popular congressortain a

hook, a hook that's an identifying

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	feature. If it's a vocal work, then it's
3	usually certain lyrics that repeat. And
4	when those lyrics repeat, the melody that
5	goes with those lyrics also repeat. It
6	becomes a signature of the song. If you
7	will, kind of a musical logo of the song.
8	In this song, the melody that
9	supports the lyrics "remind me" continues
10	to change throughout the song, and it
11	does it in both songs like that.
12	There are similarities, as I
13	pointed out, within the song itself.
14	Sometimes it recurs again. But it's
15	never ongoing. It's never constantly the
16	same. And that's unusual.
17	Q. In paragraph 6, you write
18	"Always with varying melodies." Correct?
19	A. Yes, they always vary.
20	Q. But that's not the case in six
21	out of nine iterations of "remind me" in
22	Connor, correct?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
24	A. No. There are five different
25	melodies out of nine in Connor. Not six.

7	
_	
_	

JUDITH FINELL

2	But, yes, there are some that
3	recur, but they don't recur
4	consecutively. They alternate.

There is a kind of rotation in Connor where it's melody A, then melody B, then melody C.

And then the second chorus comes back, and yes, that's a repeat of the first chorus. So, it's A, B, C again.

The third chorus comes back, it's a little varied. That's why the rhythms are different.

What I am saying is in Connor, there is a rotation of differing melodies for the same words. That's unusual.

- Q. And is it that rotation of iterations in Paisley?
- A. Paisley is really grouped in pairs rather than threes. So Paisley -- but the way in which the melodies are constructed is really similar. And that's one of the first similarities that I noticed beyond the words.

1	
_	

JUDITH FINELL

So in Paisley, the first time "remind me" comes in, there is a leap involved of a distance of what you call a 5th interval, from a C to a G for "remind me."

The second time "remind me" comes back and immediately in a consecutive phrase. And instead of 1 to 5, it's 1 to 6 -- I am sorry, 2 to 6.

Let me look at it. It starts 1 to 5 for "remind me." And then it's 1 to 6. It goes up. It becomes the highest pitch of the phrase for Paisley each time.

And it's the same in Connor's.

The "mind" is the highest pitch of the phrase for the word "remind" in Connor also.

And both times, Connor, the second iteration, goes up a step, just like it does in Paisley. And that's something I noticed. And then they both go down the same interval often, which is the minor third and sometimes a perfect

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	fourth. It's called the same contour.
3	Q. So to use your word, the
4	contour.
5	What's the musicological
6	definition of contour?
7	A. The shape of a melody. Does it
8	go up, does it go down or does it stay
9	static.
10	Q. So for the phrase "remind me,"
11	in Connor, it goes up and then down,
12	right?
13	A. It's not the up and then down.
14	It's the up, and then the next phrase
15	going up an extra step. They both do
16	that exactly the same way on the same
17	word.
18	Q. Ms. Finell, for the Connor
19	song, when she sings "remind me" or has
20	written the song "Remind Me," she goes up
21	and then down for "remind me," correct?
22	A. Well, yes, but I am talking
23	about the "remind" part.
24	Q. And for Paisley, for the
25	composition around the words "remind me."

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	there's up and then down, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Now, just in normal vocal
5	inflection, doesn't a human voice
6	naturally go up on the word "remind me"?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
8	A. I would say that that doesn't
9	always translate into music, because in
10	the other music that you may find, using
11	the word "remind," there would be plenty
L 2	of examples where it's not elevated,
L 3	where it's descending, or it's static and
L 4	there is no leap at all.
L 5	What's interesting is that they
L 6	highlight that "mind" by raising it
L 7	exactly the same amount the second time
L 8	from the first, in both songs. It's a
L 9	whole step.
1	

21

22

23

24

	lage 11,
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
3	A. Connor's grouped in threes in
4	each chorus. There is the part there
5	is the phrase sets, which are the two
6	remind mees. And each of them have that
7	same characteristic that I am mentioning
8	in Paisley of the second "remind me"
9	being one step higher than the first one
10	for the syllable "mind." And then the
11	third within the same chorus is the
12	closing phrase. And that's the phrase,
13	that's the partner phrase "Baby, remind
1 4	me."
15	And that's how Connor is
16	organized for three choruses.
17	Q. And you agree that there is no
18	partner phrase in the first chorus of
19	Paisley?
20	A. No. It starts in the second
21	chorus.
22	Q. So you say that Connor is
23	grouped in threes. And Paisley is

It's not that they're only two

24

25

grouped in twos; is that correct?

	JUDITH	FINELL
1		

in the chorus. There are many more than two in the chorus. But the group is that the first, in the key of C, since I've transposed them all into the key of C, in the key of C "remind me" in Paisley is C to G for "remind." The next phrase "remind" is C to A, that's one step above the first one. It's higher. And in each case, that's the highest pitch of the "remind me" phrase, that's exactly what they do in Connor. "Mind" is always the highest note of the phrase.

- Q. Sure.
- A. And the second phrase that echos the first also goes up one whole step the same way.
- Q. To just touch on what you said a second ago, Connor is organized in groups of three, right?
- A. That's structural, yes. But I am looking at the way the melody is constructed, not the structure of the songs.
- Q. And Paisley is organized in

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	groups of twos, correct?
3	A. In pairs, yes. Sets, yes.
4	They both have sets.
5	Q. So we've got Connor organizing
6	in groups of threes. Paisley organizing
7	in pairs.
8	A. No. I I am sorry, I
9	shouldn't interrupt you.
10	Q. Is that a difference between
11	the two songs?
12	A. I think you're conflating two
13	elements here. They both have phrase
14	sets, which are pairs. And they also
15	have a partner phrase. I show it in my
16	chart in my report, which I can show you,
17	if you would like.
18	What I am saying is that in
19	every chorus of Connor she has three
20	iterations. The first two are the phrase
21	set. And the third one is the partner
22	phrase with "Baby."
23	In Connor, it's organized in
24	phrase sets, too. But there isn't a
1	

partner phrase until the second chorus.

Page 220

1	TIDIMI	
	JUDITE	I FINELL

- Q. And that's a difference between the two songs, correct?
- A. It's a difference in the number of iterations. It's not a difference within the iterations.
 - Q. And your transcriptions of
 Remind Me, there are different scale
 differences in Connor and Paisley, aren't
 there?
- A. Yes. I said that their melodies are not identical.
- Q. You've talked about nine
 different iterations of the words "remind
 me" in Connor.
- How many different phrases

 incorporating the words "remind me" are
 there in Connor?
- 19 A. There are nine.
- Q. Okay. I am asking about different phrases.
- A. I am sorry, I don't understand.
- Q. I am asking you how many
 different phrases incorporating the words
 "remind me" are in Connor. You've got

7

8

9

	Page 221
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	two "remind me," the affinitive, you've
3	already identified, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And that's in lines 1 and 2 of
6	her chorus, correct
7	A. Okay. Yes.
8	Q right? You've got, at the
9	end of her song at the end of her
10	choruses 1 and 2, and then at the very
11	ending, she uses the phrase "Baby, remind
12	me." Correct?
13	A. At the end of each chorus, yes.
14	Q. Yes, ma'am.
15	A. $Mm-hmm$.
16	Q. And then
17	A. And she does sometimes just use
18	"remind me," as you can see in the final
19	chorus.
20	Q. Yes. One time in her song she
21	uses the phrase "remind me" standing by
22	itself, correct?
23	A. I haven't analyzed that, but I
24	just saw that. I didn't analyze the two,

as you can see in my iteration index

1	JUDITH	FINELL
---	--------	--------

- here. So it would take me some time to go through the lyrics.
- Q. It's a short song. I just want to know how many different phrases she uses. She uses the phrase "remind me" by itself one time in her song in the last chorus, correct?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. Within the Exhibit number 5 that you gave me. But I haven't compared this to the recording.
- Q. If you're having trouble with Exhibit 5, then look at the lyrics that you've got in your notebook that you studied.
- A. Thank you. This differs from what you gave me here. There are differences in the lyrics that you gave me in Exhibit 5 and the lyrics that I received from the Connor law firm.
- Q. The reason I think, Ms. Finell, is because what you have in Exhibit 5 is actually what's on the copyright deposit copy. And I guess Ms. Connor's version

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	that she gave you doesn't go word for
3	word?
4	A. It isn't word for word.
5	Q. Look at the chorus, please.
6	A. Okay, I looked at chorus 1.
7	Should I continue just for choruses or
8	verses also?
9	Q. Just look at the choruses.
10	A. The "remind me" phrase alone
11	without the word "to" in front of it on
12	Exhibit 5 doesn't appear on the sheet, on
13	the lyrics sheet that I received.
14	There are other I think
15	there are differences in the verses, too,
16	but I didn't complete that.
17	Q. I am on the choruses. So if we
18	will, just to count the number of
19	different phrases that Ms. Connor uses in
20	her song that include the words "remind
21	me," she uses the phrase "to remind me"
22	four times, correct?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
24	A. Now I have to count, because I
25	haven't really analyzed that.

1		
_		

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDITH FINELL

Q. I know you haven't analyzed it.

But do me a favor and look at choruses 1

and 2, and confirm that she's used the

phrase "to remind me" four different

times.

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. In choruses 1 and 2 on the lyric sheet that I received, as opposed to Exhibit 5, I see it twice. Chorus 1 and probably on the repeat. But it's not written out the second time. It just says chorus. And then it says nothing.
- Q. Okay. In her song, how many times did she use the phrase "Baby, remind me"?
- A. On the lyric sheet that I received? Is that what you mean?
 - Q. On what Ms. Connor has represented to you are the lyrics of her song, sure, take a look at that.
 - A. Well, I see it for the only full writing out of the chorus, which is one full writing out, but it's meant to represent three, three choruses all the

Page 225

	rage 225
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	way through. "Baby, remind me" is the
3	sixth phrase of that chorus.
4	Q. So "Baby, remind me" is at the
5	end of chorus 1, at the end of chorus 2
6	and appears twice in the final chorus,
7	correct?.
8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
9	A. Well, it's not that final
L O	chorus is not notated at all on my lyric
L1	sheet.
L 2	Q. So you don't know?
L 3	A. I think in listening, I recall
L 4	that, but I would want to verify it.
L 5	Q. In the Paisley song, how many
L 6	different phrases incorporating the words
L 7	"remind me" are there?
L 8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L 9	A. Okay. May I use the Paisley
2 0	lyrics that I received or am I supposed
21	to use Exhibit 5, because they differ?
2 2	MR. WARNOCK: Let's do it both
23	ways.
24	MR. HARVEY: Yeah.

Look at them both. Exhibit 5

Q.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	includes a word for word a side by
3	side with the actual recording. I don't
4	know what you've been provided by
5	Plaintiff's counsel that is part of your
6	notebook that we need to get a copy of.
7	But take a look at both, and
8	tell me, if you can, how many different
9	phrases incorporating the words "remind
10	me" there are in Paisley?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. It should be 19. But I'll
13	count it. I only count 18 here, but I
14	found 19 in the recording. But I don't
15	know if that's because it's part of the
16	fade-out or if I missed one in Exhibit 5.
17	But I counted 18 right now.
18	Q. Did you write out the lyrics of
19	either song?
20	A. No. I compared the lyrics of
21	the song to make sure they were accurate.
22	But I used the sheet music for itself for
23	reference of Paisley.

Wouldn't that be a best

24

25

practice as a musicologist to write out

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the lyrics yourself so you know that
3	you're looking at something that's
4	accurate?
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
6	A. I did write out the lyrics that
7	seemed pertinent.
8	Q. In performing a musicological
9	analysis, why aren't all of the lyrics
L O	important?
L 1	A. Because my focus was on the
L 2	relationship between the two songs. They
L 3	are important in an individual song, of
L 4	course, because it impacts the rhythms
L 5	and many other things. But in comparing
L 6	two musical works for relationship
L 7	between them, I focus on what's related
L 8	between them, not what is not.
L 9	Q. So you're focused on
2 0	similarities, not differences?
21	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
22	A. It's assessing the
23	similarities. If there are similarities
2 4	that seem significant, then that's where
-	

my analysis goes. If they seem

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	insignificant or generic, then I dismiss
3	them.
4	Q. If there are differences that
5	are significant, do you put those in your
6	report?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
8	A. Definitely, if there are
9	differences within the similar features,
L O	I do point them out, how they distinguish
L1	from one another.
L 2	Q. How many times does Connor use
L 3	the phrase "Oh, baby, remind me"?
L 4	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
L 5	form.
L 6	A. I think it's four. I will have
L 7	to count.
L 8	Q. How many times does Connor use
. 9	the phrase "Oh, baby, remind me"?
2 0	A. I am sorry, I didn't hear the
21	"Oh." I just thought it was "Baby,
22	remind me." I will have to look.
2 3	Q. Please look, and make sure the
2 4	answer is your own.
2.5	And while you're looking

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
3	MR. HARVEY: Excuse me, I am
4	trying to make this quick,
5	Mr. Sanders.
6	MR. SANDERS: I want to get it
7	on the record.
8	Q. While you're looking, I want
9	you to look for the following phrases,
10	and you can confirm that none of these
11	phrases appear in Connor: "Oh, baby,
12	remind me"; "Oh, baby, remind me, yeah";
13	"Yeah, remind me"; "Baby, remind me,
14	yeah."
15	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
16	A. Well, it contains "Baby, remind
17	me." It doesn't have the "Oh" and the
18	"yeah." I see nothing like that in at
19	least not in the lyrics sheet of Connor.
20	Q. So Connor includes
21	A. But I should just say I am not
22	positive that it's not there in the
23	performance, but it doesn't appear to be
24	there.
25	Q. Take a look at Exhibit 5, and

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	if you want to, I want you to go ahead
3	and confirm for us that none of those
4	four phrases that I just read to you
5	appear in Connor.
6	A. Can you read them again,
7	please.
8	Q. "Oh, baby, remind me"; "Oh,
9	baby, remind me, yeah"; "Yeah, remind
10	me"; "Baby, remind me, yeah."
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. You're saying that the preface
13	to "Baby, remind me" is what you're
14	asking about, and the subsequent word
15	after "Baby, remind me?"
16	Q. I'm asking about those four
17	distinct phrases that I just read to you;
18	I want you to confirm that none of those
19	phrases appear in Connor?
20	A. The key words occur "Baby,
21	remind me," but no, they don't have the
22	exclamation "Oh," or I think it was
23	"Yeah." No, they don't have that.
24	Q. Connor's singing of "remind me"

seven times is separated by other melodic

JUDITH FINELL
and lyrical expressions, correct?
A. I don't understand your
question.
Q. If you look at the choruses in
Connor, her singing of "remind me" seven
times is separated by other melodic and
lyrical expressions, correct?
A. It's always in two consecutive
phrases.
Q. Ms. Finell, confirm for me,
please, that only once in Connor is the
phrase "remind me," "Baby, remind me"
sung without separation?
A. Separation from what?
MR. SANDERS: Each other?
Q. From that phrase.
Confirm for me the phrase
"Remind me, baby, remind me" appears once
in Connor?
MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
A. I really don't understand your
question. Can you rephrase it, perhaps?
Q. How many times in Connor do the
words "Remind me, baby, remind me" occur

Page 232

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	in that exact order?
3	A. Are you saying does "Baby,
4	remind me" immediately follow "Remind
5	me"?
6	Q. Those five words in order, how
7	many times in Connor do the words "Remind
8	me, baby, remind me" appear in that
9	order?
10	A. Well, on Exhibit 5, I see it
11	well, it's "Remind me, baby, remind me"
12	on the second page, which is the closing
13	chorus.
14	Q. So once?
15	A. I'm not done.
16	Q. And that's the only time in
17	Connor where those five words appear in
18	sequence, correct?
19	A. I am still looking.
2 0	(Witness reviews document.)
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So in every other iteration in
23	Connor, the words "Baby, remind me" are
2 4	always separated from the words "to

remind me," correct?

710.000-	JUDITH	FINELL
		стистт

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes, they are always at the end of the chorus. The sixth phrase.
- Q. And each time, except for that one time that you just cited, "Remind me, baby, remind me," being five words in order, there is never an instance in Connor where "remind me" is followed by the words "remind me." It's always separated by other words, correct?
- A. Except for the one time in the final chorus, that's correct.
- Q. In Paisley, in the chorus, the first chorus, "Remind me, remind me" appears twice. Do you see that? Without separation between those phrases.
- A. In the lyrics, I think there is some musical separation between them. I would have to listen to the recording. I think there is actually -- there are some beats in between them.
 - Q. Lyrically.
- A. But on the page, on the page, yes, you're right.
 - Q. And that phrase "Remind me,

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	remind me" never appears in Connor, does
3	it?
4	A. You mean the words?
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
6	Q. The four words, Ms. Finell,
7	"Remind me, remind me" never appears in
8	Connor, correct?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. That's two words. Are you
11	talking about the repeat of it? "Remind
12	me, remind me" as four words?
13	Q. I am not sure how this is hard.
14	Four words. "Remind me, remind me,"
15	those four words never appear in that
16	order in Connor, do they?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
18	A. Well, "Remind me" occurs. Not
19	"Remind me" repeatedly immediately
2 0	separate in the final chorus in Connor.
21	Q. Ms. Finell, please answer my
22	question, and we will get done quicker, I
23	assure you.
2 4	Nowhere in Connor do the four
2.5	words "Remind me remind me" annear in

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	that order as they do in Paisley?
3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
4	A. No, they don't.
5	Q. And did you ever make a count
6	of how many times that occurs in Paisley,
7	where you have those four words "Remind
8	me, remind me" in order?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
10	A. They were distinct phrases.
11	Each of them was a separate phrase, so I
12	analyzed them as phrases, as iterations,
13	yes. That's what my whole index is
14	about.
15	Q. Ms. Finell, going up by a
16	third, in and of itself, is nothing
17	unique, right?
18	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
19	A. I don't understand your
20	question.
21	Q. I am talking about your
22	contour, where you're talking about going
2 3	up and then down on the words "remind
2 4	me"; "mind" goes up and "me" goes down,
2 5	right?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
3	A. What's your question?
4	Q. Is there anything unique about
5	going up a third by itself from a "re,"
6	"mind"?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
8	A. It's not a third. It's a
9	fifth.
10	Q. Is it ever a fifth in Connor?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. One moment, I will have to
13	check. Are you talking about with the
14	words "remind me" only?
15	Q. Yes, ma'am. Those are the only
16	words you've been focused on all day. So
17	let's focus on those words right now.
18	A. No.
19	Q. It does not?
20	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
21	form.
2 2	Q. Using your Exhibit C, how many
2 3	times does "remind me" go up by a fifth?
2 4	And that's in the Paisley song.
2 5	A. Do you mean the entire "remind

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	me" or do you mean just the word
3	"remind," because that's what goes up by
4	a fifth?
5	Q. "Remind."
6	A. Thank you. Nine.
7	Q. And it never goes up by a
8	fifth, the word "remind me" never goes up
9	by a fifth in Connor?
10	A. Which word, "remind" or "me"?
11	Q. "Remind."
12	A. Thank you. No, it doesn't.
13	Q. It does not.
14	And six times in Paisley,
15	that's the difference between the two
16	songs, correct?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
18	form.
19	A. I don't think I said six.
20	Q. You're right. You said nine.
21	For the nine times it goes up
22	by a fifth in Paisley and none in Connor,
23	that's a difference between the two
24	songs, correct?

Object to form.

25

MR. SANDERS:

Page 238

JUDITH FINELL

A. Yes.

- Q. And in Connor, on "mind," she's
- 4 going up by a third to the second
- 5 syllable, right?
- 6 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- Q. Excuse me, my mistake. She's
- 8 dropping by a third from "mind" to "me,"
- 9 correct?
- A. What do you mean by "She's"?
- 11 Q. Ms. Connor.
- 12 A. Oh, Ms. Connor's song?
- Q. The only female songwriter in
- 14 this lawsuit.
- A. We're talking about from "mind"
- 16 to "me." I lost you in terms of which
- 17 syllables you're talking about.
- Q. From "mind" to "me."
- A. Thank you.
- Q. She goes down by a third how
- 21 many times?
- A. One -- I am counting it out
- 23 loud. Two times in minor third.
- Q. And that's out of nine times?
- A. Yes.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. And that's another difference
3	between Connor and Paisley?
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
5	A. No. Paisley goes down by a
6	minor third also.
7	Q. And is that what you described
8	as the, having a descending leap of a
9	minor third?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And in the universe of melodies
12	in western music that have been written,
	In webself mubic share have been willeten,
13	how many times do you think a melody has
14	included a descending leap of a minor
15	third?
16	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. There is nothing unique about
19	it, is there?
20	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
21	A. You're talking about only four
22	or five notes. And that's the similarity
23	that I thought was significant, because
24	there are so few notes here. It's not a

So

gigantic number of notes or leaps.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	within that small number of notes with
3	the same identical lyrics and all, that's
4	noticeable.
5	Q. What other songs
6	A. And in Paisley, it's done most
7	of the time. It doesn't have to imitate
8	every aspect of Connor to be similar.
9	That's one aspect that it did imitate
10	from "mind" to "me," minor third.
11	Q. In the songs that are titled
12	"Remind Me" or have the words "remind me"
13	in their lyrics, in the universe of
14	melodies in western music, how many times
15	do you think a melody had a descending
16	leap of a minor third?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
18	A. I have no way to answer that
19	question.
20	Q. And prior art would be required
21	to come up with an answer to that
22	question, correct?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
24	A. You mean where it went from the
25	second syllable of "remind" to "me" in

	rage 241
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the same exact interval of a minor third?
3	Q. Yes, ma'am.
4	A. Yes, it would require research.
5	Q. And you have no idea if it
6	existed out there in the prior art, do
7	you?
8	A. I would be surprised if it
9	would be easy to find, especially what
10	the other similarities
11	Q. You don't know because you
12	never looked, right, Ms. Finell?
13	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
14	A. I felt it would not have been
15	useful to look because of all the
16	combined similarities that they share.
17	Q. Of the hundreds of songs titled
18	"Remind Me" registered with the United
19	States Copyright Office, you never looked
2 0	at a single other song except for
21	Paisley, did you?
2 2	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
2 3	A. No. But I would be surprised
2 4	if they contained this many of between

seven or nine similarities that come

JUDITH	FINELL

together in the same way that these two correlate with each other. I would be surprised if any of them have all of those similarities together.

- Q. You would be surprised? Okay.

 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- Q. Is there anything musicological distinctive about going up and then down on the words "remind me"?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

- A. I don't know how to answer your question.
- Q. Is there anything musicologically significant about there being a musical contour of going up and then down on two words consisting of three syllables?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

A. It's one of the possibilities, but the other possibility that the songwriter could have done is to go down and then up, or not go up or down, but to stay on the same note. They didn't have to -- they did not have to copy the, or

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	imitate the contour of the Connor song to
3	write their song. They had many options
4	available to them.
5	Q. Aren't there only three
6	possible musical contours, going up and
7	then down, repeating the same note or
8	going down and then up?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And there is nothing
11	musicologically distinctive about any of
12	those three, is there?
13	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
14	form.
15	A. It depends on the notes, the
16	rhythms, the harmonies. I would say that
L 7	some of the melodies that went down and
L 8	up by Beethoven or Mozart are pretty
L 9	distinctive, musicologically distinctive
2 0	and significant. And some of them went
21	down, some of them went up, some of them
22	stayed static.
23	Q. So let's stick with Connor and
2 4	Paisley and not Mozart right now.

But the scale degrees and

	rage 244
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	rhythms of "Remind me" in Connor and
3	Paisley are different, aren't they?
4	A. Some are different and some are
5	the same, but they aren't overall the
6	same.
7	Q. Can you point to any example,
8	between the two songs that are identical
9	in melodic rhythm and scale degrees?
10	A. It will take me a minute to do
11	that. Scale degrees I can do that
12	immediately, but it will take me time
13	with the rhythm.
L 4	Q. So the answer on scale degrees
L 5	is there is nothing identical between the
L 6	two songs in the composition of Remind
L 7	Me?
L 8	A. Just one moment, please.
L 9	Q. And my question is, so you
2 0	understand it while you look, it's in the
21	complete iteration.
22	A. Oh, that's a different
2 3	question, entirely.
24	Could you repeat the question,

then?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. We'll come back to that in a
3	second.
4	In the universe of melodies in
5	western music, Ms. Finell, how many times
6	do you think that a title has been
7	repeated in a chorus?
8	A. I don't understand your
9	question. A title lyric? What do you
10	mean?
11	Q. Do you know of any songs that
12	have notes as the title, Ms. Finell?
13	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
14	A. I don't understand the
15	question.
16	Q. Can we agree that the title of
17	a song consists of words?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. So in the universe of melodies
20	in western music over the last 100 years,
21	so limit it to the last 100 years, how
22	many times do you think a title is
23	repeated in a chorus?
2 4	A. You mean the title lyrics

repeated in a chorus?

	1 age 240
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Let's try it this way,
3	Ms. Finell, because you have been a pro
4	at this since the early 1970s, and I
5	understand what you're doing.
6	So let me put it this way: In
7	Connor, the title of the song is "Remind
8	Me, " correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Consists of words?
11	$A. \qquad Mm-hmm.$
12	Q. Paisley, the title is "Remind
13	Me," consists of words, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. In both songs the title is
16	repeated in the chorus, correct?
17	A. The title is, yeah. But it's
18	not just the title. It's set to music.
19	And it's not set to music in the title.
2 0	Q. And you use the example of
21	"Girls Just Want to Have Fun" as a
22	repeated title in a chorus, correct?
23	A. No. I called it a hook because
2 4	it has musical characteristics and

The title is

25

expression in the song.

	Page 247
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	just words with no music.
3	Q. Okay. So let's focus on words
4	with no music.
5	In the universe of melodies,
6	western music in the last 100 years, how
7	many times do you think a title gets
8	repeated in a chorus?
9	A. There is no
LO	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
L1	A. There is no universal rule or
L 2	consistency, but it does get repeated in
L 3	a lot of popular songs with their
L 4	choruses.
L 5	Q. Is it commonplace, Ms. Finell,
L 6	for a title to be repeated in a chorus?
L 7	A. The title lyrics to be repeated
L 8	in the chorus and set to music, yes.
L 9	Q. Is it commonplace for a title
20	to be repeated in a chorus in country
21	music?
22	A. It's not the title. It's the
23	way it's set to music. It's the melody

25

that goes with it. That's different from

just saying the title.

	Page 248
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Ms. Finell, please answer my
3	question.
4	Is it commonplace in country
5	music for a title to be repeated in the
6	chorus?
7	A. The title lyrics set to music,
8	yes.
9	Q. And what about just in songs
10	that Brad Paisley wrote prior to 2008, do
11	you have any idea if he repeated the
12	title lyrics in chorus?
13	A. I do not know.
14	Q. Is that what you would call
15	prior art?
L 6	A. Just repeating, just the
L 7	concept of repeating, no. It's how it's
18	set to music and it's used that's
19	important. Not just that construct.
20	Q. Is there anything distinctive
21	musicologically about repeating the lyric
22	title in a chorus?
23	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

It's not the repeating of it.

24

25

It's how it's used in a chorus that makes

1	JUDITH E	CT NI CT T
-		

it distinctive musicologically. It's the rhythms that are applied to it. It's the various ways that it scored. It's the phrasing that's used with it.

There is a lot more to it than just using the title lyrics. It's how they're used and how they are expressed that sets them apart from one another.

Q. I understand your point.

I am going to go back to the question I was trying to ask you before.

And I'll state it very

carefully and ask you to listen to my

question: Is there any iteration of the

phrase "remind me" or the phrase "Baby,

remind me" melody in Connor that is

identical to any iteration of the "remind

me" or "Baby, remind me" melodies in

Paisley?

A. No.

Q. Is there any iteration of "remind me" or "Baby, remind me" scale degrees in Connor that is identical to those two phrases in Paisley?

	Page 250
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. Yes, there are a series of
3	scale degrees in both, especially in the
4	melisma sections that are identical
5	between the two.
6	Q. The entire phrase?
7	A. No, but there are consecutive
8	identical scale degrees.
9	Q. So in fairness, when I asked
10	you the question is there any iteration
11	of the "remind me" or "Baby, remind me"
12	scale degrees, the entire phrase in
13	Connor, that is identical to the
14	iteration of those phrases in Paisley,
1 5	the answer is no?
16	A. Right. You didn't ask it that
17	way the first time.
18	Q. Is there any iteration of the
19	"remind me" or "Baby, remind me" rhythmic
2 0	duration in Connor that is identical to
21	any iteration of those phrases in
22	Paisley?
2 3	THE WITNESS: Could you please

(The reporter read back as

24

25

read that back.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	follows:
3	"QUESTION: Is there any
4	iteration of the "remind me" or "Baby,
5	remind me" rhythmic duration in Connor
6	that is identical to any iteration of
7	those phrases in Paisley?")
8	A. I don't understand the
9	question. You mean the entire phrase or
L O	do you mean are there any individual
L 1	rhythms?
L 2	Q. The entire phrase "remind me"
L 3	and the entire phrase "Baby, remind me"?
L 4	A. Rhythmically? I don't believe
L 5	so.
L 6	MR. HARVEY: Let's take a short
L 7	break.
L 8	(Off the record.)
L 9	Q. Ms. Finell, back after the
20	break. We had talked a little bit about
21	melisma. Your report in paragraph 45
22	refers to the term double melismas, and
2 3	so on, recurring constantly throughout
24	these songs.
2 5	A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Would you please look at your transcriptions of "Remind Me" in Exhibit A, and can you tell me if there are any double melismas in the Connor deposit copy?
 - A. No, there are not.
- Q. And in your report, you understand that the demo version of the Connor song is out of this case, correct?
- A. Yes. But I didn't have that information when I wrote the report.
- Q. I understand. I am not casting blame. I just want to make sure.
 - So of your report, Pages 14, beginning with the caption Comparison 2, running through the mid-part of Page 24, is all about comparing Paisley with the Connor demo copy, correct?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. So we can just tear that out and throw it away, correct?
- A. I think there are some
 references. It's been a while since I
 wrote it. I believe there's some

Page 253

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	references in there that say this is a
3	similar kind of similarity as in
4	comparison 1, but I'm not sure where I
E	car that

- Q. But that is all about the demo copy of Paisley, correct?
- A. No, what I am saying is that sometimes in this report, rather than being redundant, I referred to the other Connor song, sometimes. I think I said that, so I just wanted to make sure that you knew that.
- Q. So the reference in your conclusions upon which your opinion is based on double melismas don't occur in the Connor deposit copy?
 - A. They do not.
- Q. And mid Page 24 compares the Connor deposit copy with the Connor demo copy. So mid Page 24 through your summary on 25 is all about the demo copy comparison, correct?
- A. Page 24, paragraph 40 to 43 are the comparison of the two different

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Connor recordings.
3	Q. So paragraphs 40 through 43.
4	So we can agree that beginning at
5	paragraph 26 at Page 14 through paragraph
6	43 on Page 25 all have to do with
7	comparisons made to the demo copy, which
8	is not a part of this lawsuit, correct?
9	A. Well, sometimes it says they
L O	share the same similarity. I would have
L1	to look to see when I did that.
L 2	But to the extent that I am not
L 3	referring to the copyright deposit copy
L 4	version of Connor, yes, the other part is
L 5	referring to the demo.
L 6	Q. And your report seems to
L 7	suggest that a melisma is a unique
L 8	musicological device. It is not, is it?
L 9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
2 0	form.
21	A. That is not what my report
22	meant to suggest.
23	Q. Okay. You agree that a melisma
2 4	is not a unique musicological device,
2.5	right?

	rage 255
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
3	form.
4	A. My report wasn't to make that
5	statement.
6	It was to point out the
7	similarities in the way that the melisma
8	was used in both songs.
9	Q. Did you consider scale degrees
10	of the word "me" to see if they were
11	similar or not between Paisley and
12	Connor?
13	A. I considered all the scale
1 4	degrees. But I don't know if I focused
1 5	on the ones having to do with the word
16	"me."
17	Q. Where did you write the scale
18	degrees for Connor?
19	A. I compared them, but I didn't
2 0	write the scale degrees out.
21	Q. In your report which compares
22	Paisley to the Connor deposit copy, which
23	is what's at issue in this case, so that

25

beginning at Page 4 through paragraph 25

would be, would that be paragraphs 12,

	rage 256
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	ending on Page 13?
3	A. Well, there is also the
4	conclusions and summary. Paragraph 12
5	to, what was the ending paragraph, you
6	said?
7	Q. On Page 13, ending at paragraph
8	25.
9	A. That's the separate section in
10	the report, but there are conclusions and
11	summary sections and the Exhibits that
12	also relate to these comparison.
13	Q. Yes, ma'am. You made a
14	specific comparison between the Connor
15	demo copy and the Paisley "Remind Me" in
16	paragraphs 12 through paragraph 25, Pages
17	4 through 13, correct?
18	A. No. It was Page 14 the demo
19	copy to Paisley is
2 0	Q. No, ma'am. Listen to me.
21	Listen to me. We'll get this straight.
22	You identified the similarities
23	that you found between the Connor deposit

25

paragraphs 12 through 25, Pages 4 through

copy and the Paisley "Remind Me" in

	rage 237
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	13, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. All right. So that's the
5	universe of similarities that we can look
6	for in your report, correct?
7	A. Those are the findings. But as
8	I say, I also
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
10	form.
11	A I also gave the conclusions
12	of those findings in the beginning of the
13	report and the summary at the end.
1 4	Q. And your conclusions had to do
15	with both the demo copy and the deposit
16	copy, correct?
17	A. At times.
18	Q. Did you consider the rhythmic
19	durations of the pitches in the "me"
2 0	melismas in Connor and Paisley; did you
21	compare those?
2 2	A. One moment, please.
2 3	Q. And I will ask a question then
2 4	on that. While you're looking, I will

ask you to please compare the rhythmic

Page 258

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	durations of the pitches in the "me"
3	melismas between the two songs.
4	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
5	A. Well, the "me" melisma, may I
6	just spell it out, because it's hard to
7	generalize about those two questions all
8	at once.
9	Do you want me to just do it
10	one at a time, the scale degrees and then
11	the rhythms or all together?
12	Q. Just the rhythms, please.
13	A. Just the rhythms?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. For me, only when it's a
16	melisma, right?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. So in "remind me" iteration
19	Connor, iteration a2 is two 16ths and a
20	dotted 8th.
21	Q. Isn't it two 32nds?
22	A. You're right. It's late in the

And that's a2. The same thing

Excuse me.

23

24

25

day. I need my glasses. Okay, yes.

Page 259

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	is true of a5. Okay. So that's
3	Q. And for the two examples that
4	you've just given, those are the only
5	examples of the use of a melisma in
6	Connor, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. So in two out of nine
9	iterations of "remind me," only two out
LO	of nine iterations she used a melisma,
L 1	correct?
L 2	A. No, only for the word "me."
L 3	She uses it for "mind," also.
L 4	Q. So for the use of the word
L 5	"me," she used a melisma of two out of
L 6	nine, correct?
L 7	A. Yes.
L 8	Q. And she used them the same way?
L 9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
20	form.
21	A. Pardon?
22	Q. And she used the melisma in the
2 3	same way, in those two examples?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. Earlier today you identified

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the sheet music that you had taken a look
3	at for Paisley. And that is Exhibit
4	number 2. Take a look at that, please.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. And I see on here that numbers
7	have been assigned 1 through 7, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And is it correct that in
10	keeping with musicological practices,
11	that assigning numbers 1 through 7 to
12	notes on a C major scale is common to
13	cover pitch?
14	A. I don't understand your
15	question.
16	Q. If somebody is charting pitch,
17	is it common musicological practice to
18	assign numbers 1 through 7 to notes?
19	A. Yes. That's called
20	representing the scale degrees.
21	Q. And that's what was done by you
22	or someone on your staff to the Paisley
23	song, correct?

Right, comparing it to the

Α.

recording.

24

|--|

- Q. And that's what you do as a matter of practice?
- A. Well, not always. There is not usually sheet music available. But when there is, we compared it.

But my musical examples were not taken from the sheet music, because there were mistakes in the sheet music. My musical examples are taken from the recording.

- Q. You didn't prepare a scale degree chart for Connor, did you?
- A. No, except the iterations that I show in my, throughout my report.
- Q. Are the pitch sequences in the melodies in the choruses in Connor and Paisley significantly similar from a musicological perspective?

MR. SANDERS: Object to form.

A. This is 10 pages of music in Paisley. And there are probably some pitch sequences. And I am sure they are the same. I know there are sequences like 3/2/1 and others that are similar.

1	JUDITH	FINEL

- And certainly, there is 1 and 6 that is very common in the use of Paisley's hook that I am sure I would also find at times in Connor.
- But I haven't done that analysis in that way where I've basically written down every single pitch that Connor sings.
 - Q. Are the pitch sequences in the melodies and the opening melodic phrase, the first line of the chorus in Connor, are they significantly similar as the opening phrase in the chorus of Paisley?
 - A. You mean for the words in Connor "All it takes is one kiss to remind me," that phrase?
 - Q. Is that how you would define the first melodic phrase in Connor?
 - A. In the chorus not -- that is preceded by the verse.
 - Q. Looking at the chorus, is that how you would define the first melodic phrase in the chorus of Connor?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. As being the first line?
3	A. Yes, that's right.
4	Q. And would you define the second
5	melodic phrase in chorus 1 of Connor as
6	the second line?
7	A. With the lyrics "All I need is
8	your touch to remind me."
9	Q. So you define that to be the
L O	second phrase?
L1	A. That's right.
L 2	Q. In Paisley, and if you refer to
L 3	Exhibit 5, if you look at chorus 1, would
L 4	you define the first melodic phrase to be
L 5	"Been so long that you forget the way I
L 6	used to kiss your neck"?
L 7	A. I think the phrase is probably
L 8	I would have to look at the music to
L 9	see if they take a breath after you
2 0	forget.
21	Q. Well, take a look at the music
22	that you had the sheet music on, which is
2 3	Exhibit number 2.
24	A. Yeah, I would say those are two

phrases.

JUDITH	E T IA EP PP

- Q. All right. So you think those two lines are two different phrases?
- A. Yes. And they are closely related. I am not sure. I would have to -- it could be either way. But it does -- the first "forget" ends on the tonic.

So it's hard to say, because it doesn't look like it's broken, but probably in singing, he probably takes a breath there. I would have to hear it.

- Q. It's based on where the singer takes a breath?
- A. In vocal music, often that's where the phrase changes. Sometimes there is a rest to indicate that.
- Q. So are the pitch sequences in the first melodic phrase in the chorus in Connor the same or significantly similar to the pitch sequences in the first melodic phrase of Paisley in the chorus?

 MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- A. I have to try to remember the chorus, because I didn't write that down.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. You testified that the rhythmic
3	durations of the notes in the melisma in
4	Connor for the word "me" consist of two
5	64th notes followed by a dotted 8th note,
6	correct?
7	A. Just one moment. Let me get
8	that. Which iteration?
9	Q. The melisma on the word "me."
LO	This is Exhibit A to your report. And I
11	believe the two melismas you identified
12	were a2 and a5.
13	Let me back up, and I will ask
14	the question again.
L 5	You stated that the
L 6	transcriptions on a2 and a5 showing a
L 7	melisma on the word "me" in Connor are
L 8	identical, correct?
L 9	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat
2 0	the question, please?
21	(The reporter read back as
22	follows:
23	"QUESTION: You stated that the
2 4	transcriptions on a2 and a5 showing a

melisma on the word "me" in Connor are

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	<pre>identical, correct?")</pre>
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And they are the only melismas
5	for the word "me" in Connor, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you testified that the
8	rhythmic durations of that use of the
9	melisma on the word "me" in Connor
L O	consist of two 64th notes followed by a
L1	dotted 8th note?
L 2	A. It should be two 32nds followed
L 3	by a dotted 8th note.
L 4	Q. Two 32nd notes followed by a
L 5	dotted 8th note?
L 6	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. And does that rhythm appear in
L 8	any melisma in Paisley, on the word "me"?
L 9	A. No.
20	Q. Let me ask you some questions
21	about prior art.
22	And you again, performed no
23	prior art search in this case, correct?
2 4	A. Correct.
2 5	Q. In your work as a musicologist,

JUDITH FINE

- how would you go about searching for prior art?
 - A. First, I would have to identify what I am looking for. If I am comparing two pieces of music and they have certain properties that are similar, those are the properties that would become the subject matter of my search. And it would differ with every single comparison.
 - Q. Let's assume as a hypothetical that you were asked to go find prior art or assume there was prior art for Connor. If you were asked to determine if Connor used themes, or music, or lyrics or some combination that had been used in earlier songs, what would you do to go looking for prior art?
 - MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
- Q. Or to determine if prior art, in fact, existed?
- A. What I looked for -- I mean, my process, in essence, I would make a list of all the properties that I find same or

	JUDITH	FINELI
--	--------	--------

similar between the two. And those, that would be my, so to speak, shopping list for prior art.

In this case, it would be that the word "remind" has a bar line in between it. It extends over the bar line. I would look for the fact that "mind" is always the highest pitch in the phrase. Say if I were to isolate groups of songs that had the terms "remind" or "remind me" in them, I'd be looking for those kinds of characteristics, including appoggiaturas, including leaps down of minor 3rds.

Basically, all the similarities that they have in common is what I would be looking for in prior art. As many as possible.

I don't think I would find all of them, but that's what I would look for.

And then if I found a song that, at least in one phrase, had all of the similarities that I've outlined, the

TIID	T	m	TT	177 17	AT.	т т	
JUD		Τ.	п	L T	. I V .	또	ı L

- next thing I would do is to look at the song as a whole, that I am comparing the prior art, and see if there are partner phrases in existence, also, if there are phrase sets and other kinds of similarities that I pointed out.
- So I basically would be looking for all of those properties, but especially, even if I didn't find the bigger similarities in terms of the phrase sets and the partner phrases, I would want to know that at least the individual phrase that was similar and possibly a candidate for prior art had all the same musical -- melody characteristics that I found between these.
- Q. Is it your position that prior art must contain every single similarity that have you identified between two songs?
- A. It's not always possible to
 find that. But sometimes an investigator
 for prior art finds fragmentary

.т	TT	\mathbf{r}	Т	т	H	ᇤ	т	N	ㅠ	Т.	T.
u	u	u	_		п	F	_	1.4	r.	-	- 1

similarities. So that in one group you find similar rhythms, in another group you find similar other devices, et cetera.

But to be legitimate, when you're comparing pieces of music that have so many, such a collection of similarities as these do, I would say it should have at least the majority. Say if you have eight, it should have at least five or six to be seriously considered. It shouldn't have one or two.

- Q. Assume that --
- A. I mean, that's just how I look at it.
 - Q. Assume that Connor has written the phrase "Remind Me," adopted as a title, and assume there is another song that predates hers named "Remind You" with identical music underneath those two words and those three syllables. Would you consider that to be prior art?
 - A. What do you mean by "identical

	JI	UD	IT	Ή	F	ſΝ	E	L:	Γ

music," because one her characteristics is that she keeps changing the melody for her hook lyrics.

Does it change the melody, too? See, it's a matter of judgment.

- Q. For both songs, melodies change throughout both of them, correct?
- A. Well, as I said, first you look at the sort of the granular level. If the greatest similarity between them is the shared lyrics and the way those lyrics are set in isolated phrase, and you find that, then you start to look beyond that into the rest of the prior art of that song, and see if it has other characteristics that are on a bigger level beyond just the isolated phrase.

Basically, you're trying to see how wide a net. So if it said "remind you," I would already say well, lyrically, it's not as close as these are to each other. But maybe if the same, if the same melody occurred rhythmically, and in terms of the appoggiatura clashing

	JUDITH	FINELL
--	--------	--------

with the harmony, et cetera, then, you know, that would be in a group, that might be a candidate. But that's theoretical. I never found that.

Q. You expressed your view about what prior art is.

Is your view, that you stated a moment ago, endorsed in any musicological treatise?

A. Well, musicology was not designed for copyright infringement disputes. It's a discipline that is in order to understand, analyze and delve into a scholarly level about music.

So terms like prior art and all, that is really a legal, if you will, a legal construct that's imposed on or combined with the musicological field. Especially, when I was at University of California, I mean, there was no such thing as forensic musicology. I don't think there still is in that way, certainly not where I graduated from.

So it's not a matter of taking

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	courses in how to determine substantial
3	similarity, or prior art or any of those
4	defenses.
5	Q. Okay. Ms. Finell, thanks for
6	that two-page answer.
7	I will ask you again: Is the
8	view you expressed a few moments ago
9	about prior art endorsed in any
10	musicological treatise; yes or no?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
12	A. I've never looked for it.
13	Q. So you have no idea?
14	A. I have no idea.
15	Q. Did you do anything to
16	determine if elements in Connor were
17	taken from the public domain?
18	A. No. Except my own knowledge,
19	but I did not, I did not search for it,
20	no.
21	Q. Do you agree that without
22	conducting a prior art search, there is
23	no basis for finding that the Connor
24	chorus is original or distinct?
25	MR. SANDERS: Object to the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	form. Legal conclusion.
3	A. It's distinct. I don't need to
4	do prior art to say that it's distinct.
5	Original, it would require
6	prior art investigation, yes.
7	Q. Are the similarities distinct?
8	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
9	form.
L O	A. I don't understand. Do you
L1	mean
L 2	Q. The same question here. Would
L 3	you agree that without conducting a prior
L 4	art search, there is no basis for
L 5	refining that the similarities are
L 6	distinct between Connor and Paisley?
L 7	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
L 8	form.
L 9	A. I don't understand what your
20	question means.
21	Q. You conducted no prior art
22	search about Connor; therefore, you
23	cannot say whether the similarities that
2 4	you identified between Connor and Paisley
> 5	are distinct correct?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
3	form.
4	A. What do you mean distinct? I
5	don't understand it.
6	Q. Ms. Finell, you're the one who
7	has been using the word distinct.
8	A. I used the word distinctive.
9	Q. All right.
10	A. I am sorry, but it does have a
11	different meaning to me.
12	Q. Okay. Same question, but using
13	the word distinctive: You conducted no
14	prior art search between these two songs.
15	You have no basis to say that
16	the similarities you have identified
L 7	between Connor and Paisley are
L 8	distinctive, do you?
L 9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
2 0	form.
21	A. Do you mean distinctive musical
2 2	features?
23	Q. Yes.
2 4	A. Well, what I I don't think I
2 5	would find any prior art that combined

Page 276

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	all of the similar musical features; and
3	therefore I mean, that they would,
4	these two songs would stand apart from
5	other prior art, because I don't think it
6	would be likely to find other art that
7	contains every single of the same
8	similarities in the same way that I found
9	here. I think that would be quite
10	unlikely.
11	Q. Have you been engaged to
12	provide a rebuttal report to a report
13	prepared by musicologists for the
14	Defendants?
15	A. I've never seen a rebuttal
16	report.
17	Q. It's not due yet.
18	A. Oh, okay. I just thought did I
19	miss something.
20	Q. You will see it, I am sure.
21	Have you been engaged by the
22	Plaintiff's counsel to provide a rebuttal
23	report to a report prepared by a
24	musicologist engaged by the Defendants?

Α.

No.

JUDITH	FINELL

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Assuming that Dr. Larry

 Ferrara, who you know and who is present

 with us in the room today, prepares a

 report, have you been engaged to prepare

 a rebuttal report to his report?
 - A. Not yet.
- Q. Where is it written in musicological treatises that only works that share a majority of similar features or elements are relevant?
 - A. Relevant for what?
- Q. Relevant for purposes of your comparison to make a -- draw an opinion about substantial similarity?
 - A. I have no idea. It's just -it is the criteria that I applied when I
 look for prior art.
 - Q. Do you know of anybody else who applies your criteria?
- A. I would say the majority of musicologists who address copyright issues do.
- Q. Okay. You're speculating, right, because you don't know?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
3	form.
4	A. Well
5	Q. You cannot identify other
6	musicologists who have adopted your view?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
8	form.
9	A. Just in the cases that I have
L O	been involved with for 25 years, that's
L1	what I've observed in my experience.
L 2	Q. List them. Tell me which
13	musicologists?
L 4	A. Who apply who look for a
15	majority of similar features?
16	Q. Yes. In your testimony, you
L 7	were talking about prior art, you were
L 8	talking about all similar features?
L 9	A. I didn't say "all." I said
2 0	"majority."
21	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
22	form.
2 3	A. I said "majority." I said if
2 4	there are eight similar features, I would
2 5	say something like they should have at

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	least more than half.
3	Q. So you're changing from "all"
4	to "a majority"?
5	A. I am not changing it. I said
6	more than half.
7	Q. And in the Connor deposition,
8	she was played a number of recordings of
9	the phrase "remind me" sung in a number
10	of other works.
11	Was that disclosed to you by
12	the Plaintiff's counsel?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Did you listen to any
15	recordings played for the Plaintiff at
16	her deposition?
17	A. May I excuse me, I have
18	something to correct in what I just said
19	a moment ago. Is this
20	MR. SANDERS: I think you need
21	to answer his question, and then you
22	can correct.
23	A. Okay. Excuse me. Can you
24	repeat it, please.
25	MR. HARVEY: Read it back.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	here, but I said in terms of looking for
3	prior art and my methodology and process,
4	I would look for prior art containing at
5	least a majority of the similarities.
6	So I wanted to clarify that so
7	that I didn't misstate my own testimony.
8	Q. Ms. Finell, why didn't you go
9	looking for identical or similar titles
10	as the Connor song?
11	A. It wasn't within the scope of
12	my work. And I also didn't feel I would
13	find music that had the combination of
14	similarities that are present here. It
15	would have been very incomplete to just
16	look for titles of songs containing
17	"remind me."
18	Q. Have you ever testified that
19	Whether there is prior art that existed
2 0	for the Claimant's work is a standard for
21	determining copyright infringement?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
2 3	THE WITNESS: Could you read

(The reporter read back as

24

25

that back, please?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	follows:
3	"QUESTION: Have you ever
4	testified that Whether there is prior
5	art that existed for the Claimant's
6	work is a standard for determining
7	copyright infringement?")
8	MR. SANDERS: Also a legal
9	conclusion.
L O	A. No, I don't understand the
L 1	nature of your question.
L 2	Q. Sure, you do.
L 3	Have you ever testified that
L 4	"Whether there is prior art that existed
L 5	before the Claimant's work is a standard
L 6	for determining copyright infringement"?
L 7	A. What do you mean whether there
L 8	is prior art. I don't understand the
L 9	structure of your question. I am sorry.
20	Q. Would you agree that whether
21	there is prior art is something that
2 2	would need to be considered to determine
23	whether there has been copyright
24	infringement?
2 5	MR. SANDERS: Objection to the

516-608-2400

	Tage 203
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	form of the conclusion.
3	A. I don't remember if I have or
4	not.
5	Q. Different question. Have you
6	ever spoken those words under oath that
7	"Whether there is prior art that existed
8	before the Claimant's work is a standard
9	for determining copyright infringement";
10	yes or no?
11	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
12	form.
13	A. I wouldn't testify on a legal
14	I am not a lawyer. I wouldn't have
15	testified on something that involves
16	legal opinions like that.
17	Q. So you had not said that
18	before?
19	A. I might have said something
20	like that. But I wouldn't I
21	definitely wouldn't cite a legal
22	standard. I don't have I am not a
23	lawyer. If I did say something like
2 4	that, I didn't mean it as a legal

24

25

opinion.

	т	TT.	n 1	rп	u	F	T	NТ	Tr '	T	T
	U	U.	υ.	LI	п	Ľ		TΑ	œ.	ш	Ŧ

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Have you published any books about music theory or analysis?
- A. Well, I published books, but -- a book, but it wasn't on music theory and analysis.
 - Q. And what book did you publish?
- A. It was commissioned by the New York State Council on the Arts and it was called the Contemporary Music Performance Directory.
- Q. So you have published no books about music theory and analysis, correct?
- 14 A. Articles, I have, but not books.
- Q. Have you had any articles
 published in peer-reviewed scholarly
 journals of music?
- A. No. In copyright journals and lawyer publications. Lawyers' publications.
- Q. So you have had no articles
 published in peer-reviewed scholarly
 journals of music, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1	
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. We can cut out at least one of
3	these questions if you answer the first
4	one. So let me keep at it.
5	Have you sat on the editorial
6	board of any peer-reviewed scholarly
7	journals of music?
8	A. Yes, I did.
9	Q. Which one?
10	A. American Music Center.
11	Q. American
12	A. The American Music Center.
13	Q. Is that a scholarly journal?
14	A. Oh, yes, at the time I was
15	involved with it, it was.
16	Q. What is it now?
17	A. Now it's it's an
18	organization, an advocacy organization
19	for American composers.
2 0	Q. What was your title?
21	A. My title changed over the years
2 2	that I worked there, but eventually, I
2 3	was director of publications.
2 4	Q. Are you a member of the
) E	American Musical arigal Cogiotus

	-
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	A. No.
3	Q. Are you a member of the Society
4	For Music Theory?
5	A. No.
6	Q. And your master's was obtained
7	in musicology in 1970?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Since 1970, you had no other
10	formal education in musicology, correct?
L 1	A. In musicology without
L 2	copyright, no.
13	I have been to many, many
L 4	professional seminars and conferences on
L 5	the application of copyright and music,
L 6	but no further degrees.
L 7	Q. No formal education since 1970?
L 8	A. I consider that formal. Most
L 9	of the people attending get professional
2 0	credit and pay for it. Pay for the
21	education.
22	Q. No degree-qualifying studies
2 3	since 1970, correct?
2 4	A. That's right.
25	Q. Generally, do you consider the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	most recent Harvard Dictionary of Music
3	as authoritative?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Generally, do you consider the
6	most recent printed version of the Grove
7	Dictionary of Music and Musicians to be
8	authoritative?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. The same question for the
11	Oxford Dictionary of Music?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Same question for Ronald
14	Rosen's Music and Copyright?
15	A. I don't know about that book.
16	Q. Are you aware of any
17	peer-reviewed literature that
18	musicologists regularly consult regarding
19	music analysis?
2 0	A. You mean involving copyright or
21	musical analysis without copyright?
22	Q. Involving the sort of
23	musicological analysis that you performed
2 4	in this case.
2 5	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	the question, please?
3	(The reporter read back as
4	follows:
5	"QUESTION: Are you aware of any
6	peer-reviewed literature that
7	musicologists regularly consult
8	regarding music analysis?")
9	A. There are many different
10	publications that, on music theory and
11	analysis. But they're not usually
12	written from the standpoint of copyright
13	law.
L 4	Q. So to get to the answer
15	A. I use many theory textbooks as
16	substantiation for my work. I am not
17	aware of a book, as you describe it.
18	Q. Ms. Finell, in your report in
19	this case, Exhibit number 1, no scholarly
2 0	articles or books are referred to.
21	Did you consult any scholarly
2 2	journals, or articles or sources in
2 3	conjunction with preparing your report in
2 4	this case?
2 5	A. Yes. In terms of some of the

	in the second
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	terminology and definitional work, yes,
3	definitely.
4	Q. So some of the definitions you
5	copied out of some source?
6	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
7	A. I didn't copy anything, but I
8	consulted them to make sure, in terms of
9	what I was interpreting.
10	Q. Which one?
11	A. I don't remember, but I have a
12	whole library full of them.
13	Q. But you don't recall
1 4	A. I use the Harvard Dictionary.
15	I use my music theory textbooks. It
1 6	depends on what I am looking at. If I am
17	looking at harmonic relationships, I have
18	different ones.
19	Q. In connection with the report
2 0	in this case, did you consult
21	specifically any authoritative journal or
22	book?
2 3	A. I don't remember the titles,

25

but for appoggiatura and some of the

other devices that I described here, I

1	
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	did look them up, yes.
3	Q. What did you look up for
4	appoggiatura?
5	A. Well, I looked up the
6	application of appoggiatura in various
7	reference books. I am not quite sure
8	which ones I used.
9	Q. You're not sure which ones.
10	As a musicologist, do you tend
11	to specialize in a particular genre of
12	music?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Do you think musicologists tend
15	to specialize in a particular genre of
16	music?
17	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
18	A. Academic ones do. But I'm not
19	an academic musicologist.
20	Q. What about musicologists who
21	are nonacademic, do they tend to
22	specialize in a particular genre of
23	music?
2 4	A. They might. But I don't know
0 E	to a manus who are a non-andomic

1	JUDITH	FINEL
_		

- Q. Have you ever testified that musicologists tend to specialize in a particular genre of music?
 - A. Pardon?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Have you ever testified that musicologists tend to specialize in a particular genre of music?
- A. I probably have, yes. That's during their academic training, just like I did in order to write a thesis.
 - Q. In the -- strike that.
- How many hours have you spent
 in connection with preparing your report
 in this case?
 - A. I do not recall.
- 17 Q. How much have you been
 18 compensated by the Plaintiff's counsel in
 19 connection with your preparation of the
 20 report in this case, or testimony in this
 21 case?
- A. I've been working for the
 Plaintiff since 2013. I really don't
 have my records here. Although at the
 end of my report, it does discuss the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	compensation.
3	Q. Yes. And can you give me a
4	ballpark, please, of how much you have
5	been compensated in preparation of your
6	report and testimony in this case?
7	A. You mean the second report or
8	both?
9	Q. Both, please.
10	A. I am sorry, I don't know. I
11	don't have those records with me.
12	Q. More than \$20,000, more than
13	\$50,000, less than \$2,000?
14	A. Let me see what I said at the
15	end of the report.
16	Q. You did not tell us how much
17	you have been paid. I am asking you now.
18	A. I am sorry, I don't have those
19	records with me.
20	Q. What's your ballpark?
21	MR. SANDERS: Can you estimate
22	without speculating?
23	THE WITNESS: I'd d be
2 4	speculating.
2 5	Q. Is there any portion of your

- 1	
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	compensation in this case related to the
3	outcome of the case?
4	A. Not at all.
5	Q. Musicology opinions are not
6	related to
7	MR. HARVEY: Mr. Sanders, what
8	I'd ask is that we leave a blank in
9	the deposition right there for how
10	much she's been paid from the
11	beginning of the case up through now,
12	if you don't mind.
13	(Production request for how much
14	Ms. Finell has been paid from the
15	beginning of the case up through now
16	indexed.)
17	MR. SANDERS: That's fair.
18	MR. HARVEY: And that would be a
19	late filed for purposes of giving that
2 0	to the
21	MR. SANDERS: Just put it in the
2 2	errata?
2 3	MR. HARVEY: The errata would be
2 4	fine.
2 5	MR. SANDERS: Thank you.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	MR. HARVEY: You intend to have
3	her read and sign?
4	MR. SANDERS: Yes. And just to
5	help, just remind me.
6	MR. HARVEY: Thank you, I will.
7	MR. SANDERS: I'm so sorry. If
8	you could just send me something to
9	jog my memory.
10	MR. WARNOCK: We were thinking
11	put in a blank that says to be
12	furnished.
13	MR. HARVEY: If she reads and
14	signs, then, okay.
15	Q. Musicology opinions are not
16	related to how the Defendants created
17	their song; is that correct?
18	A. I don't understand.
19	Q. Okay. Is your conclusions, do
2 0	they have anything to do with how the
21	Defendants created their own song, the
2 2	Paisley "Remind Me"?
2 3	A. You mean if they wrote it at a
2 4	piano or in the studio? I don't know
2 5	what you mean by how they created it.

Page 295

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. Did you listen to the
3	recordings of the process of the creation
4	of Paisley?
5	A. Never, no.
6	Q. Did you analyze the creation
7	process of Paisley?
8	A. No. I know nothing about it.
9	Q. And you never read any of the
10	Defendants' testimony?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Are you aware of testimony that
13	the music portion of Paisley was written
14	entirely by Brad Paisley and not by Kelly
15	Lovelace or Chris DuBois?
16	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
17	A. I don't think I knew that ever.
18	Q. Do you believe it's possible
19	that the Paisley "Remind Me" was created,
20	as they have testified, that Paisley
21	wrote all of the music of Paisley?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
23	form.
24	A. Do you mean the lyrics in the
25	music or just the melodies?

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Q. The melodies.
3	A. It's possible. It depends on
4	their process.
5	Q. In your report, you do not use
6	the word infringement.
7	Do you intend to use the word
8	infringement at trial or in a declaration
9	in this case?
10	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
11	A. I don't know if I'll be asked
12	to or not. But I see that as more of a
13	legal conclusion.
14	Q. So
15	A. I would use words like similar.
16	That would be more appropriate, I think.
17	Q. So you do not intend to express
18	any opinions about whether any of the

- any opinions about whether any of the Defendants infringed on the Plaintiff's song, correct? I am not sure how the word
- infringe is defined, if that's -- but if that's considered a legal conclusion, then I would not, it wouldn't be
- appropriate for me to do that. I don't 25

20

21

22

23

	Page 297
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	really know how.
3	Q. In your report, you use the
4	word copied.
5	A. $Mm-hmm$.
6	Q. Which Defendant do you claim
7	copied any element of Connor?
8	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
9	A. Would you mind telling me what
10	page you're looking at?
11	Q. Paragraph 46, you state "These
12	collective similarities in both Connor
13	and Remind Me-Paisley point sharply to
14	copying."
15	A. Okay. That's not the same
16	thing as saying they copied. It's just
17	that it's suggesting that there was
18	copying. I wrote it that way for a
19	specific meaning.
20	Q. You wrote it that way to
21	suggest that somebody copied?
2 2	A. I meant that the similarities
2 3	suggested that there is copying. Not

What Defendant are you

Q.

24

25

that I am suggesting it.

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	suggesting copied?
3	MR. SANDERS: Object to form.
4	A. Whoever composed the music.
5	"The music" meaning music and lyrics
6	which are married. But I am just saying,
7	whoever composed the composition itself.
8	MR. HARVEY: Why don't we take a
9	quick break.
10	(Off the record.)
11	Q. Ms. Finell, are there any
12	materials that you relied upon in forming
13	your opinions that have not been
1 4	disclosed to me?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did you reach any conclusions
17	or opinions that you later rejected in
18	coming up with your final report?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. Can you tell me how your
21	strike that.
22	You are not saying that the
2 3	entirety of Paisley is substantially
2 4	similar to Connor, are you?
2 5	MR. SANDERS: Object to the

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	form.
3	A. No.
4	Q. Just the fragments "remind me"
5	and "Baby, remind me" are what you
6	concluded are substantially similar?
7	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
8	form?
9	A. I don't consider them
LO	fragments. They are the primary
L 1	identifiers of each song.
12	Q. So just the words "remind me"
L 3	and "Baby, remind me" combined with the
L 4	music is what you determined, in your
L 5	opinion, to be substantially similar?
L 6	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
L 7	form.
L 8	A. Those are the essence of each
L 9	song, yes.
2 0	Q. In your opinion, right?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Let me direct your attention to
2 3	paragraph 46 of your final report.
2 4	A. Paragraph 46?
2 5	Q. Yes, ma'am. And that's on Page

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	25, running over to 26.
3	Do you agree with me that
4	lyrics are words?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you acknowledged today that
7	lyrics are distinct from music, correct?
8	A. In music, there is a
9	combination there is what I would call
L O	a marriage of words in music when it's
L 1	vocal music.
L 2	Q. I understand that your
L 3	conclusions in this case are based on
L 4	what you consider to be the marriage of
L 5	music and lyrics, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Lyrics, in your view, as a
18	musicologist, are distinct from the
19	music, correct?
2 0	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
21	form.
22	A. The lyrics and the syllable
2 3	count of lyrics and even the rhythms of
2 4	the lyrics have an impact on the music
2 5	that's set that sets them and vice

Page 301

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	versa. It's intertwined. I don't see
3	them as completely separate art forms.
4	Q. As a hypothetical, Ms. Finell,
5	and in your experience as a musicologist,
6	if I want to license the music of a song,
7	independent of licensing the lyrics of a
8	song, I may do so, correct?
9	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
10	form.
11	A. I think it depends on the deal
12	between the creators of the song,
13	frankly. But sometimes you can license
14	them separately.
15	Q. You understand what an
16	instrumental version of a song is?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Can you recite the words and
19	not play the music of a song?
20	A. Can a person do that?
21	Q. Yes, ma'am.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. So if you wanted to recite the
24	lyrics of Connor "Remind Me," you would

25

read the lyrics off of either Exhibit 5

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	or off the lyric sheet that you have
3	provided, or prepared by Ms. Connor,
4	correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So you could recite those words
7	separate from singing any part of the
8	music, correct?
9	A. Oh, yes.
10	Q. Can you play the music of
11	Connor and not include the words?
12	A. Not exactly, because the words
13	are set to melodies. What would you do,
14	just hum it and not include the words? I
15	mean, if you're trying to convey the way
16	the melodies are, they are setting the
17	words. So it's hard to separate them.
18	So in a vocal piece, it's hard to
19	separate them. But you could. Of
20	course, you could play it on an
21	instrument instead.
22	Q. So as a musicologist, you
23	understand that the music of a song can
24	be played independently of the words,

correct?

1 JUDITH FINE!

- A. It can be -- I'm a little puzzled by your use of the word the music, because I see the words as being part of that.
- But it's the melodies that the words are sung to that can be played independently without singing the words by playing it on an instrument or humming it, I guess.
- Q. So you would rather use the words melodies instead of music?
- 13 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

18

- Q. So you will agree, as a

 musicologist, that you can play the

 melodies of Connor independently of the

 lyrics of Connor, correct?
 - A. One could in theory, yes.
- 19 Q. Yes. And that would be an 20 instrumental version of Connor, correct?
 - A. There could be, yes.
- Q. And you can recite the lyrics
- 23 of Connor without using the melodies or
- 24 the music of Connor, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

•	
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

JUDITH FINELL

Q. I'm going to ask you to assume two things.

First, I am going to ask you to assume that Brad Paisley is the sole writer of the melodies or music of Paisley "Remind Me." And I am going to ask you to assume that Brad Paisley had no access to the Connor work.

Look at paragraph 46.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And in the first sentence of paragraph 46, if you remove the phrase "And their specific melodic features and deviations" from your sentence, making the two assumptions that I just told you, how does that change your or affect your opinion?

MR. SANDERS: Object to the form.

- A. I don't -- are you saying just divorce the lyrics from the melody?
- Q. Yes, ma'am. That's exactly what I am asking.
- 25 A. So I don't -- what is your

JUDITH FINELL

2 question then?

MR. HARVEY: Please read it back.

(The reporter read back as follows:

"QUESTION: And in the first sentence of paragraph 46, if you remove the phrase "And their specific melodic features and deviations" from your sentence, making the two assumptions that I just told you, how does that change your or affect your opinion?")

- A. It would be incomplete, because when I say the distinctive use of these lyrics, that has to do, also, with the melodic features. So I can't really divorce it.
- Q. I am asking you, as a hypothetical, which I am entitled to do, since you're an expert here, to divorce the two, divorce the melodies of music from the lyrics of Connor "Remind Me," if you take away the melody or music of

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	Connor, what impact does that have on
3	your opinion that it points sharply to
4	copying?
5	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
6	form.
7	A. I don't know.
8	Q. What impact does it have,
9	Ms. Finell, on your opinion about
10	substantial similarity or copying if Brad
11	Paisley wrote the melody of Paisley
12	"Remind Me," Brad Paisley had no access
13	to Connor, how does that impact your
14	opinion about substantial similarity or
15	point sharply to copying?
16	MR. SANDERS: Objection on
17	several grounds.
18	A. That's completely a different
19	question from the first one you just
20	asked me. I don't understand it now.
21	Q. Let me back up.
22	Assume that Brad Paisley had no
23	access to Connor, okay.
24	A. Yes.
2 5	And accume that Brad Daisley

	Page 307
1	JUDITH FINELL
2	wrote all of the melody of the Paisley
3	"Remind Me," okay?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Assume that Chris DuBois and
6	Kelly Lovelace did not write the melody
7	of the Paisley "Remind Me."
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Making those assumptions, how
L O	does that affect your opinion where you
L 1	claim points sharply to copying in
L 2	comparing the two songs?
L 3	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
L 4	form.
L 5	A. What about the harmony?
L 6	Q. There is no music in the
L 7	hypothetical I am asking you, ma'am.
L 8	A. You said assume that he wrote
L 9	the melody. That is music. I don't
2 0	understand your question.
2 1	Q. If all you had is the printed
2 2	lyrics in Connor, how would that affect
2.3	your opinion about copying or substantial

Object to the

24

25

similarity?

MR. SANDERS:

Page 309

1	JUDITH FINELL
2	they are still there. But it wouldn't be
3	a musical work. It would be a work of
4	poetry, I suppose or literature.
5	Q. If all you had to go on was the
6	comparison of lyrics in Exhibit 5 or the
7	two lyric pages that you have with your
8	report, and you had no melody or no music
9	to go on, how would that impact your
10	opinion on substantial similarity or
11	copying?
12	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
13	form.
14	A. It wouldn't be a musical
15	opinion. It would be a literary opinion.
16	Most likely, I wouldn't be the one
17	consulted.
18	Q. So you would not have a
19	musicological basis upon which to say
20	that the two works based on the lyrics
21	were substantially similar?
22	MR. SANDERS: Object to the
23	form.
24	A. Not if they weren't set to
25	music. Then they wouldn't be music.

1	ŀ

JUDITH FINELL

Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether these two sets of lyrics reflected in Exhibit 5 are substantially similar; yes or no?

A. They are substantially similar in their shared words. But what makes these works similar is the way in which they're used in the musical work, and the way in which they are highlighted in the musical work combined.

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE TO ACCOMMODATE THE JURAT.)

			Page 312
			•
1			
2		INDEX	
3			
	WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
4			
5	Judith Fine	ll Mr. Harvey	5
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
		EXHIBITS	
11			
	DEFENDANTS'	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12			
13	Exhibit 1	Ms. Finell's expert	3 8
		report	
14			
15			
	Exhibit 2	sheet music for the	3 9
16		Paisley Remind Me	
17			
18	Exhibit 3	preliminary report,	44
		dated March 28th, 2013	
19			
20			
	Exhibit 4	notations on Remind	4 4
21		Me - Connor Copyright,	
		dated September 12th,	
22		2015	
23			
24			
25			

```
Page 313
1
    EXHIBITS (Continued):
2
                                              144
    Exhibit 5
3
                 side by side
                  comparison of the
 4
                  lyrics of Connor and
                  Paisley songs
5
 6
     (The court reporter has retained all
    exhibits.)
7
8
9
                  PRODUCTION REQUESTS
10
    How much Ms. Finell has been paid
                                               293
    from the beginning of the case up
11
     through now
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I, Dawn Matera, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of November, 2015.

Dawx Materia

22 Dawn Matera

* * *

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

Connor & Connor Judith Finell Deposition Transcript Errata (from deposition on October 29, 2015) December 22, 2015

Change Reason Codes:

- 1. To clarify or complete the record.
- 2. For accuracy.
- 3. To correct transcript and typographical errors.

Location	Change from:	Change to:	Reason
(page/lines)			Code
7:16-17	"learned what are unusual, if you will, sort of	"learned what are unusual, if you will, solutions to a	1
	solutions to a musical beginning"	musical beginning"	
26:10	"they distinguish one another"	"they are distinguished from one another"	1
32:23	"song that we've talked about in my report"	"song that I've talked about in my report"	1
60:5-8	"or if I'm analyzing a recording of a musical work,	"or if I'm analyzing a recording of a musical work,	1
	which is a different element in a musical work."	instead of sheet music or a lead sheet of a musical	
		work."	
60:23	"elements to begin with to compare, which"	"elements to begin with, which"	1
61:3	"But those are some of"	"Those are some of"	1
62:12-14	"recording copied another, whereas every element	"recording copied another, whether every element	1
	that one hears is at issue, or is it the underlying body	that one hears is at issue, or if it is the underlying	
	of the music"	music"	
72:19	"didn't use one to define it that way in"	"did not use a specific source to define it that way	1

Connor & Connor Judith Finell Deposition Transcript Errata (from deposition on October 29, 2015) December 22, 2015

		in"	
84:2	"certain way that sort of drives my"	"certain way that drives my"	1
91:6,12,14,21, 92:8,11,22 93:2,6 93:11,25 183:14 184:7 185:8,17 186:7,11,15 187:3,3 187:4,6,8,9 194:25 195:4	"cord"	"chord"	3
91:3,8 92:4 93:20 94:4,5 182:23 183:9,15,19 184:2,3 185:3 187:2	"cords"	"chords"	3
94:20	"But there is certain consistencies I have"	"But there are certain consistencies I have"	1
98:4-5	"and there certainly parts of it where there probably is melismatic sections."	"and there are probably parts of it containing melismatic sections."	1
99:25	"Vocal"	"In vocal"	3
104:9	"No, I have never have."	"No, I never have."	3
133:5	"less steer implications"	"more sexual implications"	1

Connor & Connor Judith Finell Deposition Transcript Errata (from deposition on October 29, 2015) December 22, 2015

133:6	"But both of them"	"But for both of them"	1
134:5	"Sometimes as a attribute"	"Sometimes as a tribute"	3
163:4-9	"The partner phrase in Connor is the phrase that	"The partner phrase in Connor is found where the	1
	echoes the hook, and actually is part of the hook,	music contains the hook, preceded by the lyric	
	but it's the part that wherever the music uses the	'Baby,' just as in Paisley."	
	lyrics 'Baby, remind me,' it's the same in Paisley."		
167:5	"the"	"the key words of the song."	1
174:23	"what really isn't unusual"	"what is really unusual"	1
174:24	"melodies that sing those"	"melodies that set those"	1
176:8	"the key words "Baby, remind me."	"the key words "remind me."	2
194:4	"precise."	"precise descriptions."	1
194:20-25,	"Rhythm describes the duration of musical material	"Rhythm describes the duration of musical material;	1
195:2-5	in this case or in musical cases. In other words, the	in other words, the length of time, usually in beats,	
	length of time, usually in beats, that one particular	that one particular note or chord is sustained before	
	note or chord is sustained before the next	the next note or chord occurs."	
	occurrence of the same thing, whether it's an		
	individual note or a chord, which is a series of notes		
	together."		
207:3	"Didn't itemize every"	"I did not itemize every"	3

Connor & Connor Judith Finell Deposition Transcript Errata (from deposition on October 29, 2015) December 22, 2015

274:10-11	"I don't understand. Do you mean – "	"I don't understand."	1
293: 13-16	"Production request for how much Ms. Finell has	"My firm has been paid \$52,437.50."	1
	been paid from the beginning of the case up through		
	now indexed.)"		

STATE OF New York

COUNTY OF Westchester

BEFORE ME, an officer duly authorized to administer oaths, on this day, personally appeared Judith Finell, who is personally known to me or who presented photographic identification to me, and who deposes and states that, having read her deposition taken on October 29, 2015 in the cause of Bowen v. Paisley, et al, Case No. 3:13-0414, pending in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division, hereby certifies that said testimony is a true and accurate transcript, with changes noted on the attached errata sheet.

Judith Finell

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 22 day of December, 2015

Notary Public

State of New

My Commission Expires: 10 28 2017

FRANCISCO J. RIVERA Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Westchester County No. 01R/6291915 My Commission Expires Oct. 28, 2017